## RICE VARIETIES SUITED FOR LOW FERTILIZER MANAGEMENT

Most of the modern rice varieties express their vield potential only under high fertility management. But, the farmers invariably apply lower levels of fertilizers so as to reduce cost of production. Further, application of higher levels of nutrients especially nitrogen usually invites pests and disease problems that will entail additional cost. Under such circumstances the varieties that provide fairly good grain yield with moderate levels of fertilizer application will be of much economic importance. Such varieties also would exploit and utilize both soil and fertilizer nutrients with better efficiency. It has been reported that certain modern varieties possess the above mentioned traits

The relative performance of new promising medium duration rice cultures under low fertilizer levels was studied at the Regional Agricultural Research Station, Pattambi. Another study at the same station indicated that Mahsuri performed equally well under eight fertilizer levels of NPK (KAU, 1985). Sreedevi (1985) studied the potential and differential performance of IR-42 under low and high fertilizer levels. Work done at IRRI has resulted in the isolation of some rice genotypes that support maximum grain production with a minimum input of fertilizer. Hence, a study was undertaken at the Agricultural Research Station, Mannuthy to evaluate the performance of rice varieties under four fertilizer levels viz. N :  $P_2O_5$ :  $K_2O @ 0: 0: 0, 30: 15:$ 15, 60 : 30 : 30 and 90 : 45 : 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. One hundred cultivars screened from 1500 entries and maintained by the NBPGR Centre, Vellanikkara along with three genotypes collected from IRRI (IR-21912-56-3-1-2-2, IR-15323-78-1-3-1 and IR-18349-135-2-3-1) and Mahsuri as checks were utilized for the study.

Preliminary screening was done during the first crop season (kharif) of 1989 and 20 cultivars which gave comparatively higher yield under the low fertilizer level (30 : 15 : 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were selected for initial evaluation trial (IET). Eight cultivars were screened out from the IET during the first crop season of 1990 and these were taken from CYT along with the checks. The experiment was laid out in the split plot design taking fertilizer levels as main plot and varieties as subplots. Pooled analysis of the data regarding two seasons revealed that two cultivars viz. TCR 4328 and TCR 4450 have recorded comparatively higher grain yield at N :  $P_2O_5$  :  $K_2O$  levels of 0 : 0 : 0 kg  $ha^{-1}$  and 30 : 15 : 15 kg  $ha^{-1}$  along with the check variety IR-21912-56-3-1-2-2. This agrees with the findings reported by De Datta at IRRI. Genotypes like IR-21912-56-3-1-2-2 and IR-15323-78-1-3-1 had consistently high

| Table | e 1. Grain yiel | d (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) und | er different fo | ertilizer levels |  |
|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| ST    |                 |                              |                 |                  |  |

| Treatment       | Cultivars / varieties | $\mathbf{N}: \mathbf{P}_2\mathbf{O}_5: \mathbf{K}_2\mathbf{O} \mathbf{kg} \mathbf{ha}^{-1}$ |          |          |          |      |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|----------|----------|----------|------|--|
| notation        | Cuntivars / varieties | 0:0:0   | 30:15:15 | 60:30:30 | 90:45:45 | Mean |  |
| $T_1$           | TCR 2951              | 1460  | 1528     | 1707     | 1010     | 1426 |  |
| $T_2$           | TCR 4462              | 404   | 1175     | 788      | 495      | 716  |  |
| Τ,              | TCR 3418              | 2273  | 1844     | 2020     | 2657     | 2199 |  |
| $T_4$           | TCR 4450**            | 3662  | 5051     | 4798     | 5869     | 4945 |  |
| $T_5$           | TCR 4453              | 1876  | 2293     | 2404     | 1515     | 2022 |  |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | TCR 3431              | 1579  | 2152     | 1586     | 1626     | 1736 |  |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | TCR 3439              | 210   | 2657     | 3040     | 1828     | 2434 |  |
| $T_8$           | TCR 4328*             | 4861  | 2566     | 3818     | 3990     | 3809 |  |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | Mahsuri               | 1185  | 1849     | 1899     | 970      | 1476 |  |
| T <sub>10</sub> | IR-18349-135-2-3-2    | 720   | 949      | 759      | 384      | 703  |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> | IR-21912-56-3-1-2-2   | 3687  | 3586     | 3535     | 4354     | 3791 |  |
| T <sub>12</sub> | IR-15323-78-1-3-1     | 1432  | 758      | 1040     | 455      | 921  |  |
|                 | Mean                  | 2112  | 2201     | 2283     | 2097     |      |  |

CD (0.05) Main plot = N.S; Subplot = 704; T| to  $T_8$  collected from NBPGR Centre, Vellanikkara;  $T_{10}$  to  $T_{12}$  collected from IRRI, Philippines; \*TCR 4328 (IC No. 86456) collected from Shillong; \*\*TCR 4450 (IC No. 86533) collected from Madhya Pradesh

| Treatment       | Cultinum ( unitation  | $\mathbf{N}$ : $P_2O_5$ : $K_2Okg ha^{-1}$ |          |          |          |      |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|----------|----------|----------|------|--|
| notation        | Cultivars / varieties | 0:0:0                                      | 30:15:15 | 60:30:30 | 90:45:45 | Mean |  |
| T               | TCR 2951              | 4546                                       | 6950     | 6758     | 7929     | 6546 |  |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | TCR 4462              | 3192                                       | 4889     | 4364     | 5747     | 4548 |  |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | TCR 3418              | 4737                                       | 7202     | 4737     | 3970     | 5162 |  |
| $T_4$           | TCR 4450              | 6758                                       | 5939     | 7202     | 7263     | 6791 |  |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | TCR 4453              | 4950                                       | 7263     | 7485     | 6767     | 6609 |  |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | TCR 3431              | 2657                                       | 5424     | 3394     | 2768     | 3561 |  |
| $T_7$           | TCR 3439              | 2778                                       | 6212     | 4495     | 3212     | 4174 |  |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | TCR 4328              | 5434                                       | 5515     | 6253     | 6232     | 5859 |  |
| т,              | Mahsuri               | 6697                                       | 6990     | 7646     | 5051     | 6596 |  |
| T <sub>10</sub> | IR-18349-135-2-3-2    | 3414                                       | 3980     | 4040     | 3222     | 3664 |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> | IR-21912-56-3-1-2-2   | 4828                                       | 5182     | 3889     | 5182     | 4770 |  |
| T <sub>12</sub> | IR-15323-78-1-3-1     | 2525                                       | 4232     | 6192     | 2657     | 3902 |  |
|                 | Mean                  | 4376                                       | 5185     | 5538     | 4998     |      |  |

Table 2. Straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) under different fertilizer levels

CD (0.05) Main plot = 860; Subplot = 996

rankings with and without fertilizer nitrogen. The same trend was observed in the case of straw yield also (Table 1 and 2). There was no significant difference among the fertilizer treatments regarding grain yield revealing the ability of these varieties to perform better even under low fertilizer levels. Straw yield was found to be increasing with increase in the fertilizer levels up to N :  $P_2O_5$  :  $K_2O$  @ 60 : 30 : 30.  $T_4$  (TCR 4450) and Mahsuri have recorded the maximum straw yields under lower

levels of N :  $P_2O_5$  :  $K_2O$ . The study revealed that it is possible to exploit the yield potential of some rice varieties / cultivars even under low fertilizer levels. Screening and evaluation of such varieties will help to get maximum yield with minimum inputs.

The authors are grateful to the NBPGR Centre, Trichur for contributing the rice cultivars and to the Kerala Agricultural University for the facilities provided.

Cashew Research Station Madakkathara, Trichur 680 656, India K. E. Usha, V. K. Muraleedharan T. F. Kuriakose, K. T. Prasannakumari

## REFERENCES

KAU, 1985. Annual Administration Report of RARS, Pattambi, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur

Sreedevi, P. 1985. Evaluation of low cost agronomic techniques for sustained rice production. Ph.D. thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur