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Confounded asymmetrical factorial (CAF) designs achieved attention mainly in the last two decades. Many research workers worked on this aspect.

Yates (1937) introduced CAF designs by constructing designs with two level and three level factors and method of least squares was used for analysing the same. Following Yates, Kempthorne (1952) constructed CAF designs with factors at different powers of same prime number. Chakravarti (1956) made use of orthogonal arrays, Zelen (1958) introduced the concept through group divisible designs, Kishen and Srivastava (1957) used Galois field. Das (1960) made use of association with symmetric factorials and Kishen and Tyagi (1964) resorted to balanced designs for constructing CAF designs, whereas, Das and Rao (1967), Banerjee and Das (1969) and Tyagi (1971) took the aide of pairwise balanced designs for construction. Still, a general method of constructing CAF designs does not exist.

In the present paper a general method of constructing CAF designs in the line of Kishen and Srivastava (1959) is attempted.

A generalised and easy method of analysis applicable to both symmetric and asymmetric factorials is also given following the method given by Yates (1937) with Good (1958) modification.

## Results and Discussion

Kishen and Srivastava (1959) constructed CAF designs $S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \ldots \times S_{11}$, $\left(S_{1}, S_{2} \ldots . S_{n}\right.$, are prime numbers or power of prime numbers). This method requires polynomials which will take only $S_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, values in $G F\left(S_{i}\right)$. In the present paper generalised method of obtaining such polynomials is given based on two lemmas.

Lemma (1) Let $S$ be a prime number or power of a prime number and $d$ a divisor of $s-1$. Then $x^{d}$ will take only $m+1$ values in $G F(s)$, when $x$ assumes all the $s$ values in GF(s), where, $s-1=m d$.

Proof Let $0, a, a^{2} \ldots \ldots a^{s-1}$ be the $s$ values of $G F(s)$. Then the values $x^{a}$ takes when $x$ assumes the values of GF(s) are $0, a^{a}, a^{2 a} \ldots \ldots a^{(s-1)}$. This can be rewritten as $0, a^{a}, a^{2 d} \ldots \ldots a^{m d}, a^{(m+1)} \ldots \ldots a^{(m a)}$. But in GF (s) $a^{s-1}=1=a^{m d}$ so that the distinct values $x^{a}$ can take are only $0, a^{a}, a^{2 a}, \ldots \ldots a^{\text {mud }}$. In other words $x$ will take only $m+1$ values in $G F(s)$.

[^0]This lemma can be used for the construction of CAF of typese $4 \times 4 \times 2,7 \times 4 \times 3$, $5 \times 3 \times 2$ etc.

Lemma (2) If S and T are two square matrices of order $\mathrm{s}-1$ such that, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{p}$ a prime number.


Then, T is the inverse of S .
Proof In order to prove the above result it is enough to show that $\mathrm{ST}=\mathrm{I}$.
Let $\mathrm{ST}=\mathrm{R}$, a square matrix of order $\mathrm{S}-1$ and the t - i h row, k - th element of $R$ be $r_{\text {tk }}$. Then, two case arises.

Case 1: When $t \neq k$

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1 \mathrm{k}}=\left(a_{1} a_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{s}-2}+a_{1}^{2} a_{k}^{2-3}+\ldots \ldots+a_{t}^{s-2} \mathbf{a}_{1}+1\right) /(\mathrm{P}-1) \\
\left(\left(\frac{a_{t}}{a_{\mathrm{k}}}\right) a_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{S}-1}+\left(\frac{a_{1}}{a_{k}}\right)^{2} a_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{S}-1}+\ldots+\left(\frac{a_{1}}{\text { flu }}\right)^{\mathrm{S}-2} a_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{S}-1}+1\right) /(\mathrm{p}-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

But in GF(s),

$$
i{ }^{S-1}=1 \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \ldots, S-1 \text { and } \frac{\mu}{a_{n}}
$$

Will be an element of GF(s) say $x$ so that

$$
r_{t k}=\left(x+x^{2}+\ldots \ldots \ldots+x^{-2}+1\right) /(p-1)=\frac{x^{-1}-1}{p-1}=0
$$

Since $x^{-1}=1$

Case II When $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1 t}=\left(a_{t} a_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{S}-2}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{S}-3}+\ldots \ldots \ldots+a_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{p}^{2}} a_{\mathrm{t}}+1\right) /(\mathrm{P}-1) \\
& =(\mathrm{S}-1) /(\mathrm{P}-1)=1 \quad \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\mathrm{ST}=\mathrm{l}$.

In order to restrict the values of any polynomial to a desired number (ie., the number of levels of a factor) it is enough to solve for $a_{\text {, }}, a_{,}, \ldots, a_{5}$, by multiplying the matrix T with an s-1 vector with elements as the different levels of that factor.

For instance in $4 \times 3 \times 2$ design let the polynomial be

$$
a_{1} x_{2}+a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+a_{3} x_{2}^{3}
$$

T matrix is

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a^{2} & a^{4} \\
1 & a & a^{2} \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a^{2} & a^{4} \\
1 & a & a^{2} \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
a \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
t \\
a+1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The resulting polynomial is

$$
a x_{2}^{2}+(a+1) x_{2}^{3}
$$

## Analysis

The analysis of a general three factor design given here can be easily extended to design with any number of factors.

Let $F_{1} F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ be three factors at levels $p$, $q$. and $t$ respectively $(p \leqslant q \leqslant t)$. Arrange pqt treatment combinations in the dictionary sequence with $F_{1}$ preceeding $F_{2}$ and is succeeded by $F_{3}$. Write the sum of responses for each treatment combinations in all replications against them. Group these numbers into qt groups of $p$ items each in the same order as they are written. These group sums will form 1/p fraction of the next column i. e., third column. In the next 1/p fraction linear contrasts corresponding to number $p$ of these groups are written, next fraction will be formed by quadratic contrasts and next fraction cubic contrasts and so on. Orthogonal contrasts can be taken from Fisher and Yates Tables (1938). Fourth column, from the third column is obtained in a similar manner as third is obtained from the second but the grouping is done by taking pt groups of q items each. The contrasts also correspond to the number q . In a similar fashion proceeding with the number $t$, the fifth column is constructed from fourth. The fifth column will consists of contrasts of the final design.

Divisors of contrast squares are obtained by taking Kronecker product A of matrices $M_{2}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ in the reverse order, where, $M_{1}$ is a $p \times p$ matrix with all elements in the first row unity and the coefficient of contrasts corresponding to $P$ in the remaining rows. Similarly, $M$ is a $q \times q$ matrix and $M$, txt matrix whose
elements are taken similar to that of $M_{1}$. A will be a pqtxpqt matrix with all elements in the first row unity. Diagonal elements of $A A^{\prime}$ when multiplied with the number of replications will provide the divisors of different contrasts. While doing the entire procedure care should be taken not to violate the order.

## /llustrative Example

Data on the dry weight of shoots at panicle initiation stage of rice (Oriza sativa) from an experiment conducted by Abdul Salam (1983) at the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University during north east monsoon season were taken. The design here was $4 \times 3 \times 2$ factorials with treatments 4 levels of $N, 3$ levels of $P$ and 2 levels of $Z n$.

## Table 1

Dry weight of shoots at panicle initiation stage of rice, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

| Treatment | Replication I | Replication II | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{0} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 2700 | 2100 | 4800 |
| $n_{0} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 3150 | 2250 | 5400 |
| $n_{0} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 3250 | 2450 | 5700 |
| $n_{0} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 3300 | 2750 | 6050 |
| $n_{0} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 2700 | 3350 | 6050 |
| $n_{0} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 2800 | 2700 | 5500 |
| $n_{1} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 2850 | 2400 | 5250 |
| $n_{1} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 2800 | 2700 | 5500 |
| $n_{1} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 2950 | 3900 | 6650 |
| $n_{1} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 3600 | 5100 | 8600 |
| $n_{1} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 3300 | 4200 | 7500 |
| $n_{1} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 3350 | 4300 | 7650 |
| $n_{2} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 3400 | 3600 | 7000 |
| $n_{2} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 3550 | 3750 | 7300 |
| $n_{2} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 3700 | 3900 | 7600 |
| $n_{2} p_{1} z_{2}$ | 4000 | 4200 | 8200 |
| $n_{2} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 3900 | 3900 | 7800 |
| $n_{2} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 4050 | 4000 | 8050 |
| $n_{3} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 4200 | 4500 | 8700 |
| $n_{3} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 4500 | 4800 | 9300 |
| $n_{3} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 4800 | 5000 | 9800 |
| $n_{3} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 5105 | 5200 | 10305 |
| $n_{3} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 5200 | 5100 | 10300 |
| $n_{1} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 5200 | 5100 | 10300 |

The above analysis shows that change in the levels of $N$ and $P$ had significant effect in shoot dry weight whereas change in the levels of $Z$ has no effect and interactions were also not significant.

Table 2
Table of contrasts

| Treatments | Contrast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $n_{0} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 4800 | 25750 | 87350 | 179605 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{1} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 5250 | 29950 | 92255 | 80115 | 240 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{2} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 7000 | 31650 | 39550 | 4805 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{3} p_{0} z_{0}$ | 8700 | 27500 | 40565 | 11705 | 240 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{0} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 5700 | 33255 | 8350 | 9900 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{1} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 6850 | 31500 | 1455 | 900 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{2} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 7600 | 13450 | 3850 | -2000 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{3} p_{1} z_{0}$ | 9800 | 13050 | 7855 | 9800 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{0} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 6050 | 13050 | 5900 | -10010 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{1} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 7500 | 13500 | 4000 | 4170 | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{2} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 7800 | 12265 | -400 | 3290 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{3} p_{2} z_{0}$ | 10300 | 14800 | 1300 | 11110 | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{0} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 5400 | 1250 | -200 | 4905 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{1} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 5500 | 1050 | -1800 | 1015 | 240 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{2} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 7300 | 1050 | 4700 | -1895 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{3} p_{0} z_{1}$ | 9300 | 1900 | 5100 | 4005 | 240 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{0} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 6050 | -545 | -2500 | -1900 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{1} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 8700 | 100 | -7510 | 1700 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{2} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 8200 | -1350 | 400 | -1600 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{3} p_{1} z_{1}$ | 10305 | 1850 | 3770 | 400 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{0} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 5500 | 3350 | 200 | -5010 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{1} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 7650 | -1500 | 3090 | 3370 | 480 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{2} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 8050 | 5755 | -1700 | -2890 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| $n_{3} p_{2} z_{1}$ | 10300 | 3600 | -9410 | -7710 | 480 |  |  |  |  |

Anova

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block <br> Treatments | 1 | 174604.69 | 174604.69 | 0.999 |
| N | 3 | 27795249.00 | 9265083.00 | 53.05** |
| P | 2 | 4106563.30 | 2053281.60 | 11.76** |
| Z | 1 | 501229.68 | 501229.68 | 2.87 |
| NP | 6 | 1136440.60 | 789406.76 | 4.52 |
| NZ | 3 | 145939.06 | 48646.35 | 0.28 |
| PZ | 2 | 374271.87 | 187135.93 | 1.07 |
| NPZ | 6 | 333565.61 | 55594.27 | 0.31 |
| Error | 23 | 4017015.84 | 17465984 |  |
| Total | 47 | 38410274.48 |  |  |

## Summary

The present study deals with the construction and analysis of confounded asymmetrical factorial designs. The authors have attempted to give a general method of construction with the help of two lemmas. The general method of analysis suggested by the authors can be used for symmetrical as well as asymmetrical factorial designs. An easy method of obtaining the divisions of the contrast to obtain SS in ANOVA is also explained. This method has also been illustrated through a practical example.
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