#### **RESEARCH NOTES**

## Agri. Res. J. Kerala, 1978 16 (1) 70-73

# EFFECT OF HORMONES APPLIED AS FOLIAR SPRAY ON THE FLOWERING AND YIELD OF TOMATO

Hormones are important in ragulating the biological system of plants in many ways. The plant regulators have shown various responses in tomato such as induction of flowering, increasing fruit-set and higher yield (Randhawa, 1950, Krishna Murthy and Subramoniam; 1955). Hence the effect of three hormones at different doses on the flowering, fruitset and yield of 'Marglobe' variety of tomato was studied.

The investigations were carried out at Rafi Ahmed Kidwai Agricultural College Farm, Sehore (M.P.). Three hormones viz. indole butyric acid (I. B. A.), Indole acetic acid (i. A. A.) and 2, 4 - Dichlore - Phenoxy acetic acid (2, 4 - D) each in three concentrations (vide Tables 1 and 2) were tried. A factorial experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design. Each treatment replicated 4 times, There were 5 observational plants in each treatment plot. The first spraying was done when two flower clusters had started opening. A second spraying was done after there weeks. Plants sprayed with distilled water alone served as control. Observations on number of flower buds and flowers, number of fruits. Were recorded and data analysed as per the method suggested by Fisher (1950).

The results obtaind from the preseat investigations are presented in tables 1 and 2. The hormones 2, 4 - D at 5 and 10 ppm, IBA and IAA at 100 ppm were effective in producing more flower buds and flowers. These results are in agreement with the findings of Chattopadhyay (1956). An increased fruit-set was observed with 2, 4 - D at 10 ppm and IBA at 100 ppm. The treatments IBA and IAA at 200 ppm produced more fruit-set than their higher concentrations and control, but 2, 4- D at 20 ppm reduced fruit-set during later stages as compared to control The results obtained with 2, 4 - D at 10 ppm are in accordance with the earlier findings. (Strong, 1946; Krishnamurthy and Subramoniam; 1955; and Chattopadhyay, 1956). The findings with IBA at 100 ppm are in close agreement with the results obtained by Howlett (1945) and Chattopadhyay (1956).

With regard to the effect of hormones on the size of fruits, 2, 4 - D at 10 ppm was superior over IBA at 400 ppm and IAA at 200 and 400 ppm and the control. The hormones at highest concentrations did not significantly increase the size of tomato fruits (Strong, 1946; Mullison and Mullison, 1948).

\* From M. Sc. (Ag ) thesis submitted to the J. N. K. V. Vidyalaya.

Effect of hormones on the mean number of flower buds & flowers/plant (Seven days after first spraying); pooled mean number of fruit—set and pooled mean weight per fruit (g)

Hormone Treatment	IBA IAA 2,4- I Flower buds/plant			IAA owers/p	,	Mean		IAA 2 it-set/pl	,4– D ant	Mean		IAA ght/frui	2,4—D t (g)	Mean
с,	47.35 49.60 43.8	0 48.58	23.20	27.25	19.40	23.28	41.69	42.30	40.93	41.46	45.65	43.81	45.08	44.85
$C_2$	43.15 46.65 49.6	5 46.48	22.82	28.85	21.30	24.33	43.25	40.70	41.78	41.91	43.84	36.02	51.15	43.67
c	40.75 38.80 40.30	0 39.95	20.10	18.00	18.20	18.76	35.94	29.70	32.20	32.61	34.26	36.12	49.09	39.83
Control		39.60			•••	18.63		***		36.41			***	41.00
Mean	43.75 45.02 45.2	25	22.05	24.70	19.63		40.29	37.57	38.30		41.25	38.65	48,,44	
	C. D. I (5%) = 4. C. D. II (5%) = 8.2		C. D. C. D. I		= 3.31 = 5.74				= 2.45 = 4.243				) = 5. ) = 10.0	
	$A - C_i = 100 \text{ ppm};$ D C <sub>1</sub> = 5 ppm;			2			C. D. C. D. I				s or cor	icentrat	ion mea	ns

#### Table2

Hormone	IBA	IAA	2,4—D	Mean	IBA	IAA	2,4-D	Mean		
Treatment		Yield/plot		Mean number of seeds						
C1	18.91	16.99	16.61	17.53	255.45	260.00	113.10	209.51		
$C_2$	15.42	13 58	19.21	16.06	294.91	230.65	9.10	178,22		
$C_3$	11.39	8.58	11.84	10.66	211.42	154.73	3.80	123.32		
Control	(4.4.4)	***		11.64	***	***		222.49		
Mean	15.24	13.05	15,89		253.93	215.13	42.00			
	C. D.	I(5%) =	2.310		C. D.	I (5%) == :	53.787			
	C. D. I	I(5%) =	3.426	C D. II $(5\%) = 93.160$						

Effect of hormones on the yield/plot (Kg) and mean number of seeds/100 g fruit

IBA & IAA -  $C_1 = 100$  ppm;  $C_2 = 200$  ppm;  $C_3 = 400$  ppm 2,4-D -  $C_1 = 5$  ppm;  $C_2 = 10$  ppm;  $C_3 = 20$  ppm C. D. I - For hormone means or concentration means. C. D. T - For Combinations.

It is seen in Table 2 that 2, 4-D at 10 ppm produced highest yield compared to the same hormone at 20 ppm and control. IBA at 100 and 200 ppm and IAA at 100 ppm also increased the yield when compared to those of control. But higher concentrations of the hormones were not effective in increasing the yield as compared to control. The increase in the yield due to hormone treatment particularly with 2, 4-D and IBA have been reported earlier (Strong, 1946; Mullison, 1948; Mehrotra *et al*, 1970 and Nair *et al*, 1974). The hormones were effective in reducing the number of seeds and inducing seedlessness particularly with 2, 4 - D at 20 ppm as reported by Zimmermann and Hitchcock (1944) and Randhawa (1950).

#### സംഗ്രഹം

തക്കാളിയിൽ ഹോർമോണകളുടെ പ്രയോഗത്താൽ കൂട്ടതൽ പൂഷ്പങ്ങളും കായ്കളും ഉണ്ടാകന്ന എന്നതിനെ raw,cjyQ£BO(SS)1 വൃതൃസ്ഥ സാന്ദ്രതയിലുള്ള മൂന്നിനം അമ്പോർമോണം കരാ (ഇൻഡോൻബൂട്ടയറിക്, ഇൻഡോൽ അസററിക് ആൻഡ് 2, 4–ഡൈക്ലോറോഫിനോക്ലി അസററിക് rerogioj gപയോഗിച്ച് റഫിഅഹമദ് ക്വിദ്വായ് കാർഷികകോളേജ് ക്ലഷിത്തോ ട്ടത്തിൽ (മദ്ധ്യപ്രദേശ്) ffijn5coʻcgosaj് ഇനം തക്കാളിയിൽ നടത്തിയ നിരീക്ഷണങ്ങളുടെ ഫ ലങ്ങളാണ് ഇവിടെ വിവരിക്കപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുള്ളത്ം.

മേൽ വിവരിച്ച ഹോർമോണകരം യഥാക്രമം ഒരു ദശലക്ഷത്തിൽ 100–200 ranംശവം; 100 അംശവം; 5–10 അംശവം rosn|<ftiej പൂക്കരം ഉണ്ടാകന്നസമയം സ°പ്രേ ചെയ്താൽ കൂട്ടതൽ പഷ്യങ്ങളം കായ്കളം ഉണ്ടാകന്നതിലുപരി വലിയ കായ്കകരം ഉണ്ടാകന്നതിനും സഹായകമാണെ ന്നും തെളിയുകയുണ്ടായി. 2, 4–ഡൈക്ലോറോഫിനോക്ലി raiacruoolA" അമ്മം ഒരു ദശലക്ഷത്തിൽ 10 അംശവും, 20 rarsoC/dajo ffiOQggojsicsj) raraenJcftfti"!^" യഥാക്രമം കൂടുതൽ വിളവും ലഭിക്കുന്നതി നം, വിത്തില്ലാത്തകായയം ഉല്പാദിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനും ഫലപ്രദമാണെന്നും ff>«Sig1<sn1i9a<a.cQ)sneocsil.

#### REFERENCES

Chattopadhyay, S. K. 1956. Results of further investigations on the effect of hormones on setting of fruits and induction of seedlessness on Tomato. Sci & Cult. 22, 334-336.

Fisher, R. A. 1950. Statistical methods for Research Workers Oliver & Boyd. London.

- Howlett, F. S. 1945. Latest development in stimulating tomato fruit—set and development with growth regulating Chemicals Ohie. Veg. & Pot. Growers Assoc. Proc. 30, 118-131.
- Krishnamurthi, S. and Subramoniam, D. 1955. Effect of 2, 4-D on the fruit-set of the 3rd to 5th clusters of tomato. Sci. & Culture. 20, 399.
- Manikantan Nair, P. Mohanakumaran, N. and Ramachandran Nair, R. 1974. Effect of growth regulators on the yield of tomatoes. Agri. Res. J. Kerala 12, 78-79.
- Mehrotra, O. N., Garg, R. C. and Singh, I 1970. Growth, fruiting and quality of Tomato as influenced by growth regulators. *Progressive Horticulture* 2, 57-64.
- Mullison, W. R. and Mullison, E. 1948. Effect of several plant growth regulators on fruit-set, yield and bloossm and rot of six tomato vatieties grown under high temperature. Bot. Gaz. 109, 501-506.
- Randhawa, G. S. 19 0. Development of the parthenocarpic tomato fruits. Ind. Jour Hort 13, 20-23.

Strong, M. C. 1946. Use of 2, 4-D for improvement of Green House Tomato production, Michigen Agri. E.<pt. Stat. Quart. Bull 26, 216-225.

Zimmerman, P. W. and Hitchoeock A. E. 1944. Substances effecting increased fruit-set and inducing seedless tomatoes. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 45, 353-362.

College of Agriculture, Vellayani.

### K. SIVAN PILLAI

(*M. S. Received* 12-10-1976)