INVESTIGATIONS ON PHOSPHATE AND POTASH MANURING OF TRANSPLANTED RICE

P. N. PISHARODY**, M. S. NAIR, R. R. NAIR AND S. SESHADRINATH Kerala Agricultural University Rice Research Station, Pattambi, 679 306, Kerala

phosphatic manuring of flooded rice vielded Studies on have conflicting results. While Mukeriee (1955), Ghose et al (1956), and Rao et al. (1967) observed positive response to applied phosphate, Sethi et al. (1952) found no significant effect due to phosphate application. Studies conducted in the waterlogged sandy loam soils of the Rice Research Station. Pattambi, Kerala, over a period 35 years (1933-1968) did not show any marked response to phosphate manuring. (Nair and Pisharody, 1970). Neither the forms nor the doses had appreciable effect on rice yield. Adequate phosphorus in the soil, however, is essential for nitrogen uptake and if phosphate is limiting, plants do not grow normally and yields are depressed (Lockhard, 1959; Aiyar 1946). It is of interest, therefore, to study the number of rice crops that can be successfully grown in the flooded soils without phosphate fertilization,

Materials and Methods

The soil of the experimental site was a sandy loam derived from low level laterite, acid in reaction, and moderately fow in available nutrients.

The analysis of th soil revealed the following characterestics. Mechanical:

Coarse sand-16.42%; Fine sand-29.51 %; Silt-7.60 Fine silt-20.32%; Clay 22.40%:

Chemical:

Organic carbon-0.92 (; Available P_2O_1 in air dried unmanured soil 11.7 kg/ha; Available P_2O_5 in wet unmanured soil-18.3; Available K_2O_1 in air dried unmanured soil-160 kg/ha; pH air dried soil-5.3; pH wet soil-6.1.

The experiment was conducted for six seasons commencing from the first crop (June-September) season of 1974-75. The design of the experiment was Randomised block replicated four times There were ten treatments (Table 1). The test variety was Triveni. All the treatments received a uniform dose of nitrogen at 70 Kg per hectare in two equal splits at planting and panicle initiation stages. The doses of phosphorus (P_{g0}_{5}) and potash (K_{s0}) were 35 Kg each per hectare. The gross plot size was 6.6x 3.6 m. Seedlings were raised in wet nursery beds and transplanted at a spacing of 15 cm x 15cm with two seedlings per hill.

Paper presented in the Symposium on Rice Research and Development, Pattambi, 21-22 Dee. 1977

** Present address; Directorate of Research, Kerala Agri. University, Vellanikkara, Kerala

The experiment was conducted in the same site without altering the randomisation of treatments.

Results and Discussion

The data gathered on pH, available $P_2 O_5$ and available $K_2 O_{of}$ the soil in the experimental plots at the end of the second crop season in each year are presented in Table 2. The pH of the soil showed no marked variation even after continuous cropping for 6 seasons. The status of available $P_2 O_5$ in the soil, on the other hand, varied in response to addition of fertilizers. The content of available $P_2 O_5$ in the plots receiving N, P and K in all the spasons exhibited a fall from 10.4 kg ha to 7.4- kg/ha. In the plots receiving N alone regularly, the available $P_2 O_5$ declined to 8.3 kg/ha from 10.1 kg/ha and this plot had the maximum available $P_2 O_5$ declined to 8.3 kg/ha from 10.1 kg/ha and this plot had the maximum available $P_2 O_5$ in the soil has no relation with the quantity of phosphatic fertilizers applied in the soil. Nelson, (1957) obtained mo response to various rates of application of $P_2 O_5$ even on soilsdeficient in P, This lack of response, was attributed to fixation of added P, transformation of native phosphate to available forms under flooded conditions and inadequacy of the analytical methods used to evaluate the available P. These might be the reasons for the low available P measured in the treatment receiving P in all the seasons in the present study also.

The grain yield recorded under each Treatment for six seasons are presented in Table 1. Of the 10 treatment combinations: treatment 1 received N, P and K during all the seasons while the treatment 10 received N only. Treatments 2 and 3 received P in alternate seasons and treatments 4 and 5 received P once in three seasons Similarly treatment 6 and 7 received K in alternate seasons while 8 and 9 received K once in three seasons only (Table 3).

During none of the seasons the treatment differences were significant Nevertheless, in the first and second seassns, the treatment receiving N alone recorded higher yields than that receiving N, P and K regularly (Treatment 1). During the third and fourth seasons, on the other hand, the plots receiving N alone produced the lowest yield of all treatments. It should be mentioned here that crop growth was relatively poor in this treatment during the last two seasons of the trial and physiological disorders resembling zinc deficiency and iron toxicity were noticed. Later, the symptoms were identified as phosphate induced Zn deficiency in this particular treatment. Similar observations on phosphate induced zinc deficiency have been reported by Sharma *et af.*, (1963). It has also been reported that in sandy loam and clayey soils, applied phosphate has a tendency to depress the zinc availabity (Seshachalam, 1971).

Table	1
1 0010	

Grain yield of Triveni rice corresponding to different treatments

	Treatments									Grain Yield (Kg/ha)				
	1974–75		1975–76		1976-77		1974–75		1975–76		1976–77			
	First crop	Second crop	First crop	Second crop	Mean									
1	NPK	NPK	NPK	NPK	NPK	NPK	3511	3223	3538	2381	2262	2127	2840	
2	NPK	N-K	NPK	N-K	NPK	N-K	3560	3042	3758	2271	2615	2458	2951	
3	N-K	NPK	N-K	NPK	N-K	NPK	3643	3144	3605	2337	2548	2524	2967	
4	NPK	N-K	N-K	N-K	N-K	N-K	3566	3276	3406	2138	2249	2293	2821	
5	N-K	NPK	N-K	N-K	N-P	N-K	3346	3267	3538	2337	2637	2623	2958	
6	NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	3649	3210	3638	2249	2482	2480	2951	
7	NP-	NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	NPK	3467	3056	3781	2083	2328	2403	2853	
8	NPK	NP-	NP-	NPK	NP-	NP-	3566	3236	3627	2194	2372	2315	2885	
9	NP-	NPK,	NP-	NP-	NPK	NP-	3616	3267	3649	2315	2535	2469	2975	
10	N	N-	N-	N	N-	N-	3538	3329	3263	2017	2328	2260	2789	
		F	Test				NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

NS *Not Significant

-Skipping over of P or K according to position

Treatments														
Tr. 1974-75 197		75-76	3 1976-77			1974-75			1975–76		1966-77			
No. First crop	Second crop	First crop	Second crop	Fisrt crop	Second crop	рН	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ 0	рН	P ₂ O ₅	К 0	рН	P ₂ O ₅	K _g C
1 NPK	NPK	NPK	NPK	NPK	NPK	5.2	10.4	223	5.2	10.2	246	5.3	7.4	228
2 NPK	N- K	NPK	ΝK	NPK	N-K	5,2	10.3	247	5.3	10.2	238	5.3	7.2	230
3 N-K	NPK	N-K	NPK	N-K	NPK	5.1	9.9	248	5.2	9.8	256	5.2	7.7	244
4 NPK	N-K	N-K	NPK	N-K	N-K	52	9.5	238	5,2	8.8	240	5.3	7,8	200
5 N-K	NPK	N-K	N-K	NPK	N-K	5.3	9.8	252	5.3	9.1	236	5.4	7,9	230
6 NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	5.2	9.9	269	5.3	9.9	232	5.2	6.9	224
7 NP-	NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	NPK	5.3	10.1	254	5.3	10.4	168	5.1	7.1	220
8 NPK	NP-	NP-	NPK	NP-	NP-	5.3	10.4	250	5.4	10.2	219	5,2	7.7	200
9 NP-	NPK	NPK	NP-	NPK	NP-	5.2	11.2	260	5.2	10.9	220	5.2	7.1	180
10 N	N	N	N	N	N	5.1	10.1	239	5.2	10.2	210	5.2	8.3	200

Soil pH, available $P_{a} O_{5}$ and $K_{2} 0$ at the end of the second crop of each year

-skipping-over application of P or K according to position-

Tal	ble	3

Mean grain yield (kg'ha) in each season as influenced by phosphorus and potach applied regulary and at intervals

	Application of P and K	P applied once in	Papplied	K applied once in	K applied once in	N Ilone in every	
Seasons	along with N in every season	2 seasons	3 seasons	2 seasons	3 seasons	season	
74-75 i crop	3511				_	3538	
74-75 II crop	3223	3182	—	3192	_	3329	
75-76 crop	3538	3682	3472	3710	3638	3263	
75-76 II crop	2381	2304	2238	2166	2255	2017	
76-77 1 crop	2262	2582	2443	2405	2454	2328	
76-77 II crop	2127	2591	2458	2442	2392	2260	
Mean of six seasons	2840	2848	2653	2783	2685	2789	

G

സംഗ്രഹം

ഫോസ്ഫോറ്, പൊട്ടാഷ് വളങ്ങരം രണ്ടു പൂ വുകളിലൊരു പ്രാവശ്യവും മൂന്നു പൂവുകളിലൊരു പ്രാവശ്യവും വീതം മാത്രം ഇട്ടികൊടുക്കുന്നതു ഈ വളങ്ങരം തുടർച്ചയായി എല്ലാ പൂ വിലും നൽകുന്നതുരായി പട്ടാമ്പി നെൽ ഗവേഷണ കേന്ദ്രത്തിൽ പരീക്ഷിച്ചുനോ കിയതിൽ (1974-75 മുതൽ 1976–77 വരെ) ത്രിവേണിയിനം നെല്ലിൻവിളവിനെ സംബ ന്ധിച്ചിടത്തോളം ഗണ്യമായ യാതൊരു വ്യത്യാസങ്ങളും കണ്ടില്ലെന്നുമാത്രമല്ല തുടർച്ചയായി വളപ്രായഗം നടത്തിയപ്പോരം നെൽവിളവീൽ കറവുണ്ടാകുന്നതായും കണ്ടു. P K, വളങ്ങര തുടർച്ചയംയി നൽകുന്നതനുള്ള നിലവിലുള്ള നിർദ്ദേശങ്ങരം ഈ പശ്ചാത്തലത്തിൽ പരിഗ ംനിക്കേണ്ടാംണ്.

- Ghose, R. L. M, Ghatge, M, B and Subramanyan. V. 1956. *Rice in India*, I. C. A. R, New Delhi.
- Lockhard, R G. 1959. Mineral nutrition of rice plant in Malaya. *Fed. Malaya Agr. Bull.* **108**, 148 pp (quoted in the Rice Production Manualcompiled by Rice Information (Cooperative Effort).
- Mukherjee, H. N. 1955. Repts. on the Experiments in cultivator's fields in Bihar (Mimeograph).

Nair, R. R. and Pisharody, P. N. 1970, *Agri. Res. J. Kerala*, 1970. 8, 6-13. Nelson, L. E , 1957. *Soil Sci.*, 1, 63-73.

- Sambasiva Rao, I, Sambasiva Rao, C. and Ranga Rao, K. 1967. Response of rice to higher levels of phosphorus and potash. *Andhra Agri. J.*, 14 202-203
- Singh, S. G. and Singh, S. J. 1962. Effect of some agronomic factors on yield of rice in Punjab. *Ind. J. Agri Sci.* 32. 62-70.
- Sethi, R. L, Ramaih, K. and Abraham, T. P., 1952. Manuring of Rice in India. ICAR Res. Bull, 38-122.
- Sharma K. C, Krantz, B. A., and Quick, A. L 1968. *Agron. J.* 60, 652-655. (Quoted by R.T. Dangarwala, Gujerat Agri. University in the paper Zinc-phosphorus relationship in plants and soils.

CM S Received: 22-12-1977)