# STANDARDISATION OF FOOD BASES FOR SELECTED ANTAGONISTIC MICROFLORA AGAINST SOIL-BORNE PATHOGENS

.By

MINI S. NAIR

VELLANIKKAR

## THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

## Master of Science in Agriculture

Faculty of Agriculture Kerala Agricultural University

Department of Plant Pathology COLLEGE OF HORTICULTURE Vellanikkara, Trichur

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled "Standardisation of food bases for selected antagonistic microflora against soil-borne pathogens" is a bonafide record of research work done by me during the course of research and that the thesis has not previously formed the basis for the award to me of any degree, diploma, associateship, fellowship or other similar title, of any other University or Society.

Vellanikkara, 25 -5-1990.

MINIS. NAIR

## CERTIFICATE

.

Certified that this thesis entitled "Standardisation of food bases for selected antegonistic microflore against soil-borne pathogens" is a record of research work done independently by Kumari Mini.S.Nair under my guidance and supervision and that it has not previously formed the basis for the award of any degree, fellowship or essociateship to her.

Anus

Vellanikkera, 25-5-1990.

(Chairman Advisory Committee)

Approved by:

Chairman:

Any

Dr. P.V.Nair

Mombers:

Sr Huper 1990 31

Dr. Abi Cheeran

=<del>Co</del>f Dr. A.I. Jose

Dr. Sally K. Methew

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to Dr. P.V.Nair, Professor, Department of Plant Pathology and Chairman of my Advisory Committee for his expert guidance, immense help and constant encouragement throughout the course of this investigation and preparation of the thesis.

My profound gratitude is due to Dr.Abi Cheeran, Associate Director of Research, Kerala Agricultural University and member of the Advisory Committee for the keen interest, constant encouragement and critical suggestions received from him during the course of this study.

I express my heartfelt thanks to Dr. A.I.Jose, Professor and Head, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry and member of the Advisory Committee for the valuable suggestions rendered during the preparation of the thesis.

My sincere thanks are due to Dr. Sally K. Mathew, Associate Professor, Department of Plant Pathology and member of the Advisory Committee for her help and valuable suggestions throughout the course of this investigation.

With all regards, I acknowledge the co-operation and help rendered by all the staff members of the Department of Plant Pathology during the period of the study.

I am thankful to my friends particularly Sherina George, Ambika, S. and Madhu, S. for the help and co-operation rendered in the successful completion of thesis.

Thanks are also due to Sri.V.P.Asokan for the neat typing of the thesis. The award of Junior Research Fellowship for my post graduate programme by the I.C.A.R. is also gratefully acknowledged.

•

Vellanikisra, 25.5.'90

MINI.S. HAIR

## CONTENTS

•

INTRODUCTION	••	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	••	4
MATERIALS AND METHODS	••	-3,2
RESULTS	••	<b>`3</b> 'ð
DISCUSSION		775
Summary	<b>e</b> 6	<b>&amp;5</b>

REFERENCES

Appendices

ABSTRACT

### LIST OF TABLES

- 1 Antagonists and food bases selected for biocontrol of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> in cowpee, <u>Pythium</u> in ginger and <u>Phytophthora</u> in black pepper.
- 2 Growth and survival of <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>herzienum</u> in different food bases.
- 3 Growth and survival of <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>longibrachiatum</u> in different food bases.
- 4 Growth and survival of <u>Aspergillus</u> terreus in different food bases.
- 5 Growth and survivel of <u>Penicillium citrinum</u> in different food bases.
- 6 Growth and survival of <u>Penicillium simplicissimum</u> in different food bases.
- 7 Growth and survival of <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> in different food bases.
- 8 Colony forming units of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Aspercillus terreus</u> and <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> in the rhizosphere of cowpea amended with food based antagonist.
- 9 Colony forming units of <u>Trichoderma herzienum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Penicillium simpliciasimum</u> and <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> in the rhizosphere of ginger amended with food based antegonist.
- 10 Colony forming units of <u>Trichoderms herzienum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Penicillium citrinum</u> and <u>Becillus</u> <u>subtills</u> in the rhizosphere of pepper emended with food based antagonist.
- 11 Effect of different entegonists grown in various food bases on disease incidence caused by <u>Rhizoctonis</u> <u>solani</u> in cowpea.
- 12 Effect of different entagonists grown in various food bases on disease incidence caused by <u>Pythium myriotylum</u> in ginger.

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

- 1 Population of <u>Trichoderma harrienum</u> et different intervals of incubstion in various food bases.
- 2 Population of <u>Trichoderma longibrachistum</u> at different intervels of incubation in various food bases.
- 3 Population of <u>Aspergillus terreus</u> at different intervals of incubation in various food bases.
- 4 Population of <u>Penicillium citrinum</u> at different intervals of incubation in various food bases.
- 5 Population of <u>Penicillium simplicissimum</u> at different intervals of incubation in various food bases.
- 6 Population of <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> at different intervals of incubation in various food bases.
- 7 Population of <u>T. hargianum</u> at different intervals in comparations and the second state of the secon
- 8 Population of <u>T. longibrachistum</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amended with food based antegonist.
- 9 Population of <u>A. terreus</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amended with food based antegonist.
- 10 Population of <u>B. subtilis</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amended with food based antegonist.
- 11 Fopulation of <u>T. herzianum</u> at different intervels in ginger rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.
- 12 Population of <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> at different intervals in ginger rhizosphere amended with food based entegonist.
- 13 Population of P. simplicissimum at different intervals in ginger rhizosphere emended with food based entagonist.
- 14 Population of B. <u>subtilis</u> at different intervals in ginger rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.
- 15 Population of <u>T. hersianum</u> at different intervals in black papper rhizosphere amended with food based entegonist.

- 16. Population of <u>T. Longibrachiatum</u> at different intervals in black pepper rhizosphere smended with food based antegonist.
- 17 Population of <u>P. citrinum</u> at different intervals in black pepper rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.
- 18 Population of <u>B. subtilis</u> at different intervals in black papper rhizosphere emended with food based antagonist.

Introduction

#### INTRODUCTION

Biological control is regarded as one of the basic components of the integrated disease management system in modern agriculture. Intensive search on basic aspects of the interaction of the biocontrol agents with other soil microflora has gained momentum the world over. However, because of the extremely complex nature of the soil microbiote little of this work has led to practical application. In order to make biological control adoptable under field conditions research on certain basic aspects of the pathogen as well as the antagonists are needed.

Promoting growth of antegonistic organisms in non-sterile soil is basic to practical biological disease control which can be accomplished through manipulation of the environment, host or antegonist or by mass introduction of one or more antegonists. Addition of antegonists to non-treated soil has the best chance of initiating successful biocontrol when applied in such quantity as to swamp the resident microbiota or when the population of resident microorganism has been severely diminished by treatment. The efficacy of biocontrol is dependent upon the adaptability of the introduced antagonist under the prevailing environment as well as its ability to persist in the soil for longer periods. To establish the antagonist at population level high enough to produce the desired effect by direct massive soil augmentation, the organism being added must have additional selective nutrients to overcome the fungistatic effects of the native microflore. Presence of appropriate food bases in soil will thus result in the increased growth and colonization of the entagonist and in turn will lead to antibiosis at the desired level.

Once the effectiveness of any antagonist is established the most critical obstacle to be circumvented would be to develop method for mass culturing and delivery to field. The antagonistic preparation formulated should be in a form which can be handled conveniently by the farmers and at the same time it should be effective and economic. Thus the significance of food bases in formulating antagonistic preparation is two fold. Firstly the food bases provide additional nutrients for the establishment of introduced organism in soil. Secondly the carrier based preparation is convenient for transport and use in the field.

In recent years several soil-borne diseases have become serious causing heavy damage to many importent crops in the state. Management of these diseases by chemical methods is not often successful. Recent studies conducted at the College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara proved the strong antagonism of five fungi and one bacterium isolated from the forest soils of Kersla against the soil-borne pathogens viz., Rhizoctonia, solani Kuhn, Pythium, myriotylum Drechsler and Phytophthora palmivora (Butler) Butler. Having demonstrated the ability of these isoletes to control these pathogens it was considered worthwhile to standardise a technique suitable for the preparation of antagonist inocula which could be successfully used in the biocontrol of some of the important soil-borne disease prevalent in the state. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken with the objective of standardising a technique for mass multiplication and production of antagonistic microflors recently isolated from the forest soils of Kerala in the biocontrol of soil-borne pathogens viz., Rhizoctonia, Pythium and Phytophthore.

Review of Literature

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

The history of biological control dates back to 1908 when Potter showed that plant pathogens could be inhibited by their own metabolic products. Garrett (1956) defined biological control of plant diseases as "any condition under which or practice whereby survival or activity of a pathogen is reduced through the agency of any other living organism (except man himself) with the result that there is a reduction in the incidence of the disease caused by the pathogen". This involves the reduction of inoculum density or disease producing activities of a pathogen or a parasite in its active or dormant state, by one or more orgenisms, accomplished naturally or through manipulation of the environment, host, or entegonist, or by mass introduction of one or more entegonists (Baker and Cook, 1974).

Biological control of plant pathogens accomplished through host plant resistance and cultural practices continues to be a predominant disease control strategy. In contrast, biological control accomplished through introduction or encouragement of microorganisms antagonistic to plant pathogens has been slow to develop even though a few successful attempts to control disease in naturally infested soil have been reported. Biological control by introduction of antegonist into nontreated soil is difficult to achieve because it attempts to establish an alien antegonist in a biologically buffered community (Baker and Cook, 1974). Although this is difficult it can be done when the right organisms are obtained and properly used. In attempting to introduce antagonists into nontreated soil, microorganisms isolated from soil have to be screened for their antegonistic properties, and selected individuals have to be grown in mass culture.

## 1. Importance of food bases for multiplication and production of antagonistic microflora

Direct introduction of entagonistic micro-organisms into the soil were effective against certain soil-borne plant pathogens in previously sterilized soils (Garrett, 1956). But in natural soils, the selected micro-organisms, antagonistically active against pathogens in pure culture were not able to exert any biological control on pathogens when mixed in soil. The success in sterile soils may be due to their abundant nutrients and to freedom from competition by other micro-organisms.

The major problem of applying antagonists to soil is their inability to become established in the ecosystem and to overcome the resistance of soil microflora to the introduction of new micro-organisms (Alexander, 1971 and Boosalis and Mankau, 1970). Lockwood (1977) reported that when neked spores of most fungi are added to natural soils, fungistasis prevents their germination and proliferation. Biocontrol agents introduced into the soil in the absence of essily utilizable organic matter may lyse or revert to a resting stage. This temporary inactivation could depress the impact of massive introduction of antagonists that are expected to overcome soil-borne pathogens while the antegonists are still viable in soil. Addition of the proper food base to soil with the entagonist might overcome fungistasis and thus enhance the chances of the antagonist to grow and colonize the food base in soil.

Growth and colonization by antagonists would be essential if biological control depended on production of tonic substances, including antibiotics. Appropriate food bases in soil are essential for production of antibiotics (Wright, 1955 and 1956). For antibiotic production by introduced organisms to be of significance in the control of pathogens, the antagonist must be established, the antibiotic

must be produced, the toxin must accumulate to levels that are inhibitory, and it must then persist for periods sufficiently long for effectiveness to be assured (Alexander, 1971).

Direct soil augmentation with biological control egents for an impact on soil-borne plant pathogens has a greater chance of success when the agent is introduced with rather than without, the proper food base (Akhtar, 1977; Mangenot and Diem, 1979; Wells <u>et al.</u>, 1972). Wells <u>et al</u>. (1972) controlled <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> on tomatoes by temporarily over whelming the infection court with <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>harzianum</u> and a fresh food base and they stressed the importance of food bases for successful biocontrol. Hadar <u>et al</u>. (1979) found that bran was the best food base, not only for growth and sporulation of the antagonist, but also for suppression of damping-off caused by <u>Rhizoctonis solani</u> on beans, tomatoes and egg plants.

Lab grown conidia of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>Gliocladium</u> <u>virens</u> were sensitive to soil fungistasis (Beagle-Ristanio and Papavizas, 1985). They observed that chlamydospores from a liquid fermentation system or from potato dextrose broth germinated readily in soil. They also observed that the number of propagules increased hundred fold when either of the

• 7

two genera was added in fermentor biomass containing traces of a food base and consisting mostly of chlamydospores. Mukharjes <u>et al</u>. (1987) obtained more promising results when the antagonists were applied to the soil on a food base.

2. System of growth and delivery of antagonists into soil

One of the most critical obstacles to biological control by direct massive soil augmentation has been the lack or scarcity of methods for mass culturing and delivering antegonists to soil. Despite the limited progress, scientists are attempting to develop effective experimental systems of growth and delivery of antagonists into soil. For bacterial antagonists, nutrient broth has been extensively used (Mitchell and Hurwitz, 1965; Merriman et al., 1974; Mangenot and Diem, 1979). Broadbent et al. (1971) grow potential bacterial antegonists in shake cultures of yeast mannitol broth or nutrient broth. Bacillus subtilis was cultured on potato destrose broth for applying to kernels of corn (Kommedehl and Mew, 1975). Sun and Huang (1978) experimentally controlled watermalon wilt (caused by Fusarium <u>oxyeporum</u> f. sp. <u>niveum</u>). They graw an Attrobacter sp. on a mixture of sugarcane bagasse and urea and added the cultures to the soil before planting the susceptible host.

Formulation of biocontrol agents as powders or granules is frequently possible. Iswaren et al. (1969) and Rao (1977) reported that peat-like material available in India is a good carrier for Rhizobium, a nitrogen fixing bacterium. Lignite is another carrier which is widely used for preparation of Rhizobium inoculant (Kendesemy end Presed, 1971; Rao, 1977). Peatmoss, milled to a very fine powder, formulated with buffers and adjuvents and adjusted to 30 to 50 per cent moisture is the classical formulation for Rhizobium (Roughley, 1976). Of all the carriers tested, powdered and sterilized farm yard manure (FYM) + soil, FYM alone or FYM + charcoal supported the survival of Azospirillum upto 31 weeks (Lakshmi et al., 1977). Tilak and Reo (1978) observed that among the different carriers compared combinetions of Indian pest soil, farm yard menure, compost or presenud with charcoal (1:1) gave higher rhizobial count than individual carrier. Paczkowski and Berryhill (1979) demonstrated that cosl-based carrier containing Rhizobium are acceptable inoculants. Suslow at al. (1979) suggested that formulation of <u>Pseudemonas</u> may be prepared by coating the cells with guas and polyseccharides that stabilize them so they can be formulated as a dry powder.

Development of growth media for large-scale production of antegonists and of commercially acceptable carriers for

their production into soils is brighter for fungi than for bacteria. Wells <u>et al</u>. (1972) were the first to report use of <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u> preparations for field control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u>. They grew <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u> on a rye-grass soil medium. A diatom accous earth grenule impregnated with a molasses solution was found suitable for growth and delivery of <u>T. harzienum</u> (Backman and Rodriguez-Kabana, 1975).

<u>Verticillium dahlias</u> on cotton was best controlled by introducing oats infected by <u>Trichederma</u> (Marupov, 1976). He also reported that the mustard plant litter ploughed into the soil acted as a good substrate for development of <u>Trichoderma</u>. Akhtar (1977) used wheat straw as the growth medium for <u>Trichoderma virida</u>.

Many workers reported the use of wheat bran as a growth medium for <u>T. herzianum</u> (Henis <u>et el.</u>, 1978; 1979; Chet <u>et al.</u>, 1979; Maiti and Sen, 1985; Mukharjee <u>et al.</u>, 1987). Henis <u>et al</u>. (1978) observed that after 5-7 days of incubation wheat bran preparation contained 4.1 x 10<sup>9</sup> conidia/g of the substrate. They applied this preparation to soil for controlling damping-off of radiah seedlings caused by <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u>. Hadar <u>et al</u>. (1979) reported that wheat bran was the best medium for the growth and sporulation of

<u>T. harzianum.</u> Fungal preparations contained 2.9 x  $10^9$ spores/g dry wt after 8 days of incubation of the medium incculated with <u>T. harzianum</u>. Henis <u>et al.</u> (1979) concluded that <u>T. herzianum</u> preparation with wheat bran as a carrier is a long-term effective biocontrol agent in artificially and naturally infested soil and can be used to protect fumigated soils from reinfection with the pathogen. Maiti and Sen (1985) reported that when wheat bran formulation of <u>T. harzianum</u> was added to infested soil, it reduced the viability of sclerotia of <u>S. rolfsii</u> in the soil. Adding a very high dose of <u>T. harzianum</u> (hyperparasite) in soil on a food base (wheat bran) reduced seedling blight of jute (Mukharjee <u>et al.</u>, 1987).

Elad <u>et al.</u> (1980) graw <u>T</u>. <u>heraienum</u> on a wheat bran: saw dust: tap watex mixture (3:1:4 v/v). Abl-el-moity and Shatla (1981) reported that control of white rot of onion (<u>Sclerotium cepivorum</u>) was best when <u>T</u>. <u>herzianum</u> grown on barley grain was added to soil at the time of planting. Anilkumar and Gowda (1983) obtained control of <u>5</u>. <u>rolfsii</u> on sunflower by the incorporation of finger millet seeds colonized by <u>T</u>. <u>harzienum</u> into soil containing straws pre-colonized with <u>5</u>. <u>rolfsii</u>. They also reported that incorporation of straw pieces colonized by <u>T</u>. <u>harzienum</u> into

soil containing sclerotia reduced survival of the pathogen.

A lignite-stillage carrier system was tested by Jones <u>et al</u>. (1984) for applying biocontrol agents to the soil. <u>Gliocladium virens</u> and <u>T. herzianum</u> were used as test organisms. After storage of granules for four months at 20°C fungal viability remained > 90 per cent as determined by planting of granules. When colonized lignite-stillage carrier granules were applied to soil in growth boxes artificially infested with <u>R. soleni</u> root rot ratings end root and shoot dry weights revealed positive effects of biocontrol agents and carrier.

Lewis and Papavizas (1984) used a mixture of wheat bran, send and water to grow the antagonists viz., T. viride, T. harzianum, Trichodorma hematum, Gliocladium roseum, G. virens, G. catenulatum, Talaromyces flavus and <u>Aspergillus ochraceous</u>. Siven <u>et sl</u>. (1984) studied the growth potential of T. <u>harzianum</u> on organic food bases including several agricultural wastes like wheat bran, wheat straw compost, ground wheat straw, ground cotton straw, peat and a wheat bran/peat mixture (1:1 v/v). They found that wheat bran/peat was the best medium for the growth and survival of T. <u>harzianum</u>. Wheat bren/peat mixture was utilized for the preparation of T. <u>harzianum</u> incculum

(Elad <u>et al.</u>, 1986; Sivan and Chet, 1986a; 1986b; Sivan <u>et al.</u>, 1987).

Fermentor biomass containing traces of a food base was used for the growth of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>G. virens</u> (Beagle-Ristanio and Papavizas, 1985). They also reported that populations of both the antagonists increased in soil planted with cotton to which fermentor biomass was added. Pelletized formulations of wheat bren or kaolin clay in an alginate gel containing conidia, chlamydospores or fermentor biomass (FB) of several isolates of the biocontrol fungi <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>G. virens</u> were prepared by Lewis and Papavizas (1985a and 1987). Higher population densities were obtained when alginate pellets added to soil contained chlamydospores rather than conidia and bran rather than kaolin as the bulking egent.

A madium consisting of equal volumes of wheat bran, peatmoss and water was used for culturing <u>T. herzianum</u> (Chang <u>et al.</u>, 1986). Mukhopedyay <u>et al.</u> (1986) prepared <u>T. harzianum</u> in s wheat bran: saw dust: tep water mixture. Biological control of sugarbeet and tobacco damping-off was achieved in the glass house by the application of wheat bran sawdust preparation of <u>T. harzianum</u> to <u>Pythium</u> infested soils

(Mukhopadyay and Chandra, 1986). Mukhopadyay (1987) also used wheat bran saw dust preparation of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>koningii</u> for the control of damping-off in tomato and brinjal and wilt and root rots in lentil and chickpes.

. ,

Mess multiplication of <u>T</u>. <u>viride</u> in send sorghum medium (send 100 g and sorghum 20 g) was reported by Padmanaban and Alexander (1986). <u>T</u>. <u>viride</u> was fully grown after 10 days of incubation. Farakhia and Vaishnav (1986) prepared <u>T</u>. <u>harzisnum</u> inoculum in wheat husk bran and incorporated into soil for controlling <u>Rhlzoctonia bataticola</u> on <u>Cicer aristinum</u>. Conidia of <u>Trichoderma</u> were produced on autocleved barley and sprayed on flowering plants (Tronsmo, 1986).

<u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> was multiplied on sorghum grain and applied to soil in field, 30 g/m row before sowing for controlling <u>S. rolfsii</u> causing root rot in sugar beets (Upadhyay and Mukhopadyay, 1986). Of eighteen agricultural westes and bye-products tested as substrates for <u>T. harzianum</u> and <u>T. viride</u>, tapicca rind, tapicca thippi, well decomposed farm yard manure, gobar gas slurry, mushroom spent bed, paddy chaff and wheat bran were found to be suitable for mass multiplication (Gangadharan and Jeyarajan, 1988).

Potato dextrose agar was used for culturing <u>Chaetomium globosum</u> (Kommedahl and Mew, 1975) and <u>Penicillium oxalicum</u> (Kommedahl and Windels, 1978). Turner and Tribe (1975) and Ahmed and Tribe (1977) prepared the inoculum of biocontrol agent (<u>Coniothyrium minitans</u>) of white rot of onion in milled rice. Huang (1976) used barley, rye and sunflower seed in the ratio 1:1:1 for the production of <u>C. minitans</u> inoculum.

<u>G. roseum</u> was prepared in a mixture of peat, soil and nutrients (Moody and Gindrat, 1977). The antegonist <u>Corticium</u> sp. first grown on corn leaf meal (CLM) and incorporated into <u>Pythium</u> infested field gave reduction in the incidence of damping-off of table beet (Hoch and Abawi), 1979).

Lastiaaria arvalis was grown on wheat bran and used for the control of damping-off of tomato by <u>Pythium</u> sp. and root rot of black gram caused by <u>R. betaticola</u> (Martin <u>st al.</u>, 1984). Venkatasubbaiah and Safeeulla (1984) grew <u>Aspergillus niger</u> on rawa meal sand medium with 2 per cent sucrose solution to facilitate profuse growth. This was mixed at 5 per cent (w/w) level with soil artificially infested with <u>R. soleni.</u>

Cardoso and Chandi (1985) grow avirulent, <u>Rhizoctonia</u>-like binucleated fungi (BN) on sterilized oat kernels and mixed with soil at the rate of 3 g of kernels per litre of steamed soil. Remert (1985) discussed the importance of providing supplementary nutrients for the entagonists.

3. Control of soil-borne plant pathogens through introduced antagonists

1) Antagonistic fungi

After the well known experiments of Weindling (1932), many studies were made to explore the possibilities of using microbial antegonists to control plant diseases.

Weindling and Fawcett (1936) showed that <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>lignorum</u> introduced into acidified soil as spores suppressed damping-off of citrus seedlings caused by <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u>. Volovik <u>et al</u>. (1974) reported that treatment of seed potatoes with a spore suspension of <u>T</u>. <u>lignorum</u> (<u>T.viride</u>), one per cent polyoxin and one per cent trichothecin reduced infection by <u>Rhizoctopia</u>. A sterile basidiomycete was used as an antagonist against the charcoal root rot (<u>Macrophomina</u> <u>phaseolina</u>) disease of slash pine seedlings (De La Cruz and Hubbell, 1975).

<u>T. viride</u> reduced <u>Rhizoctonia</u> infection of <u>Phaseolus lunatus</u> and peas (Mall, 1975), controlled black rot of lettuce caused by <u>R. solani</u> (Bedlan, 1985 and 1988), and reduced seedling disease of cotton incited by <u>R.solani</u> (Alagarsamy <u>et al.</u>, 1987).

Integrated control of <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> damping-off of redish by PCNB and <u>T</u>. <u>harzienum</u> was reported by Henis <u>et al</u>. (1978). Kommedahl and Windels (1978) and Windels and Kommedahl (1978) found that seed treatment with spore suspension of <u>Penicillium oxelicum</u> was as effective as captan in controlling root diseases of pea caused by <u>Fusarium solani</u> and <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> in green house experiments.

<u>T. herzienum</u> reduced seedling disease of been, tomsto and peanut caused by <u>R. soleni</u> (Hedar <u>et al.</u>, 1979). Henis <u>et al.</u> (1979) reported that wheat bran preparation of <u>T. herzienum</u> added to methyl bromide fumigated soils protected carnations and straw berry plants from <u>R. soleni</u>. They also reported that <u>Trichoderma</u> preparation added to soil protected tomato seedlings from <u>S. rofsii</u>. Wheat bran \*saw dust preparation of <u>T. herzienum</u> introduced into the soil delayed the progress and incidence of demping-off of beans caused by <u>S. rolfsii</u> and <u>R. soleni</u> in the field for nine weeks, decreased the severity of disease increased yield by twenty per cent (Elad <u>et al.</u>, 1980a). Elad <u>et al.</u> (1980b) reported that incidence of <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> in a strawberry nursery was reduced by 20 to 46 per cent by applying <u>T. harzienum</u> after methyl bromide treatment.

In leb studies, treatment of radish and pea seeds with conidia of <u>T</u>. <u>hamatum</u> in a Methocel slurry protected seeds and seedlings from <u>Pythium</u> spp. or <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> nearly as effectively as fungicide seed treatments (Harman <u>et al</u>., 1980). Lewis and Pspavizas (1980) obtained control of cucumber fruit rot caused by <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> by augmenting soil with <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u>. Somang (1980) observed that <u>T</u>. <u>viride</u>, <u>T</u>. <u>aureoviride</u> and <u>Penicillium funiculosum</u> reduced the count of gram wilt fungus (<u>Rhizoctonis bataticola</u>) in the rhizosphere of gram.

Tests in the field to suppress root rot and blight of beans caused by <u>R. solani</u> and <u>Pythium</u> spp., respectively with <u>T. hersianum</u> in combination with chemical seed treatment was reported by Papavizas and Lewis (1981). Tu and Vaartaja (1981) showed that the presence of <u>G. virens</u> in soil artificially infested with <u>R. solani</u> reduced at planting the severity of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> root rot in <u>Phaseolus</u> vulgaris. They found

that root rot severity decreased with increasing concentrations of  $\underline{G}$ . <u>virens</u>.

Marshall (1982) opined that biocontrol of the been (<u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u>) disease depends on soil reaction and inoculum concentration of the pathogen. Reduction in disease incidence was observed when seeds coated with conidia of <u>T. harzienum</u> was planted in acidified <u>R. solani</u> infested soils. Neweigy <u>et al.</u> (1982) obtained control of damping-off in broad been incited by <u>Pusarium solani</u>, <u>R. solani</u> and <u>S. rolfsii</u> by seed treatment with <u>Bacillus</u> sp., <u>Streptomyces</u> sp. and <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. Jager and Velvis (1984) reported biological control of <u>R. solani</u> on potatoes by entagonist <u>Verticillium biguttatum</u>.

Mew and Rosales (1984) reported that when <u>T.harzianum</u> was introduced into rice field soil under rainfed conditions, it decomposed rice straw and by deplenishing the substrates reduced the survival of <u>R. solani</u>, causal agent of sheath blight of rice. Venkatasubbaiah and Safeeulla (1984) reported that incorporation of <u>Aspergillus nicer</u> inoculum to the soil infested with <u>R. solani</u> reduced the incidence of collar rot under glasshouse and field conditions. Soil incorporation of <u>T. harzianum</u> inoculum significantly reduced

collar rot of coffee seedlings incited by <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> (Venkatesubbaich <u>et al.</u>, 1984).

The bean plants were protected from root rot caused by <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> when avirulent, <u>Rhizoctonia</u>-like binucleated fungi grown on sterilized oat kernels were incorporated in the soil (Cardoso and Chandi, 1985). Ichielevich-Auster <u>et al</u>. (1985) reported that a nonpathogenic isolate of <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> (AG-4) suppressed damping-off of cotton, radish and wheat seedlings caused by virulent isolates of <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> and <u>R</u>. <u>zeae</u>.

Hycelial preparations of most isolates of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>G. virens</u> prevented damping-off of cotton, sugarbeet and radish seedlings caused by <u>R. solani</u> (Lewis and Papavizas, 1985b). Lifshitz <u>et al.</u> (1985) reported that seed treatment with conidial suspensions of <u>T. harzianum</u> was effective in reducing incidence of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> damping-off of radish. Strashnow <u>et al.</u> (1985a) obtained complete control of <u>R. solani</u> in bean seedlings with <u>T. harzianum</u> + a reduced dose of methyl bromide. Application of <u>T.harzianum</u> to soil or coating tomato fruits reduced <u>R. solani</u> fruit rot by up to 43 per cent and 85 per cent respectively under laboratory conditions (Strashnov <u>et al.</u>, 1985b). Bhaskaran and Seetheraman (1986) reported that seed treatment with <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> reduced the disease incidence of black gram. Addition of wheat bran preparation of <u>T</u>. <u>herzianum</u> in green house planted beans (<u>Phaseclus</u>) and coating melon seeds with <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> conidia reduced disease incidence caused by <u>M</u>. <u>phaseclina</u> by 37 to 74 per cent and 37.5 to 46.3 per cent respectively (Elad <u>et al.</u>, 1986). Sekhar and Anahosur (1986) reported that safflower cake + <u>T</u>. <u>viride</u> significantly suppressed the seprophytic survival of <u>M</u>. <u>phaseclina</u> causal agent of charcoal rot of sorghum in soil. Under green house conditions Vyas and Khare (1986) completely controlled dry root rot (<u>R</u>. <u>bataticola</u>) of soyabean seedlings with <u>T</u>. <u>herzianum</u> and a reduced dose of carbendazin.

In laboratory, green house and field experiments, <u>T. viride</u> performed well in reducing the growth of <u>M.pheseoline</u> and root rot disease in green green (Arjunan <u>et al.</u>, 1987). Amendment of soil with <u>Trichoderma aureoviride</u> controlled rice sheath blight disease (Manian and Paulsamy, 1987). Mukhopadhyey (1987) reported control of damping-off in tomato and brinjel and wilt and root rots in lentil and chickpee under glass house and/or field conditions using <u>Trichoderma</u> spp.

Mukharjee <u>et al</u>. (1987) tested the potentiality of certain antagonists viz., <u>Aspergillus fumigatus</u>, <u>A. terreus</u>,

<u>Penicillium citrinum</u>, <u>P. simplicissimum</u>, <u>T. harzianum</u>, <u>Streptoverticillium</u> sp. and <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> against <u>M. phaseolina.</u> Most effective emong the fungal antagonists was <u>P. citrinum</u>. Cole and Zvenyika (1988) achieved biological control of <u>R. solani</u> and <u>F. solani</u> infections in tobacco transplants by adding <u>T. harzianum</u> to methyl bromide fumigated seed beds before sowing of seeds. Bran preparetions of <u>Lactisaria arvalis</u>, <u>C. minitans</u>, <u>Dendrostilbella</u> sp. and <u>Cledorrhinum</u> sp. prevented demping-off of cotton caused by <u>R. solani</u> (Lewis and Papavizas, 1988).

<u>T. herzianum</u> has been used by many scientists against <u>S. rolfsii</u>.Wells <u>et al</u>. (1972) obtained control of <u>S. rolfsii</u> diseases of lupines, tomatoes and peanuts by use of <u>T. herzianum</u> in green house tests and on tomatoes in the field. The inoculum of <u>T. herzienum</u> applied in the form of diatomaceous earth granules to peanut fields 70 to 100 days after planting reduced southern blight (<u>S. rolfsii</u>) of peanuts by 42 per cent and increased crop yields (Backman and Rodriguez-Kabana, 1975). In pot experiments Agrawal <u>et al</u>. (1977) obtained control of collar rot of lentil caused by <u>S. rolfsii</u> by seed treatment with spore suspension of <u>T. herzianum</u>. Wheat bran preparation of <u>T. herzianum</u> applied to the soil reduced peanut disease caused by <u>S. rolfsii</u> (Chet <u>et al</u>., 1979; Grinstein <u>et al</u>., 1979).

<sup>2</sup> 22

Anilkumar and Gowda (1983) observed reduction in the survival of S. <u>rolfsii</u> when <u>T. harzianum</u> was added to soil. Addition of <u>T. harzianum</u> to soil reduced the viability of sclerotia of <u>S. rolfsii</u> in the soil (Maiti and Sen, 1985). Upadhyay and Mukhopadhyay (1986) reported that application of <u>T. harzianum</u> as infested sorghum grains to <u>S. rolfsii</u> infested soil gave upto 76 and 88 per cent disease control in the first and second cycles of sugarbeet seedlings respectively. They found that degree of control increased with increasing amount of <u>Trichoderma</u> inoculum.

Lozano and Pineda (1977) reported control of <u>S. rolfail</u> on tomato seedlings by inoculating seedlings with <u>Penicillium</u> sp. and then with <u>S. rolfail</u>. Pineda and Polanco (1981) obtained reduction in the incidence of <u>S. rolfail</u> on bean by the addition of <u>Penicillium notatum</u> grown on dry milled <u>Dicanthium aristatum</u> seeds to the soil. Maiti and San (1987) tested the ability of an isolate of <u>Gliocladium virans</u> to reduce stam-rot of groundnut caused by <u>S. rolfail</u> both in green house and field and it was found to be potent enough to reduce the disease.

Huang (1976) reported that <u>C. minitans</u> caused a 97 per cent reduction in the survival of sclerotia of <u>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</u> in soil 100 days after the

mycoparasite was added to soil artificially infested with the pathogen. Lee and Wu (1984) suggested that <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>G. virens</u> may have potential as agents for biological control of <u>S. sclerotiorum</u> by reducing survival of sclerotia<sup>in</sup><sub>A</sub> soil. Soil and seed treatments with <u>T. viride</u> reduced infection of sunflowers by <u>S. sclerotiorum</u> and <u>Botrytis cineres</u> in the glass house and prevented infection in the field (Sesan <u>et al.</u>, 1984).

Application of mycelium and spores of <u>T.harzianum</u> decreased <u>Sclerotium cepivorum</u> infection of onion in pots, glasshouse plots and in the field (Abd-el-moity and Shatla, 1981). El-Rezik <u>et al.</u> (1985) reported that application of suspensions of <u>Pencillium godlewskii</u> and <u>Aspergillus candidus</u>  $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ and } 4 \times 10^5 \text{ propagales/ml})$  to soil infested with <u>S. cepivorum</u> 15 d before transplanting onions decreased the percentege of white rot in glasshouse tests.

(Wright (1956) obtained control of <u>Pythium</u> infection of white mustard by seed inoculation with <u>T.viride</u> and Liu and Vaughan (1965) controlled damping-off of beet caused by <u>Pythium ultimum</u> by seed treatment with <u>T. viride</u>. Reduction of tobacco damping-off-(<u>Pythium aphanidarmatum</u>) by <u>T. harzianum</u> was reported by Fajola and Alasoadura (1975).
Kommedehl and Maw (1975) suggested biocontrol of corn root infection in the field by seed treatment with <u>Chaetomium globosum.</u>

An isolate of <u>Corticium</u> sp. was effective in controlling pre-and post-emergence damping-off of table beets caused by <u>Pythium ultimum</u> (Hoch and Abawi, 1979). Yehia <u>et al.</u> (1981) reported that <u>T. viride</u>, <u>Streptomyces</u> <u>griseus and Bacillus subtilis</u> reduced damping-off of tomato caused by <u>Pythium debaryemnum</u>, <u>Phytophthors</u> (<u>nicotianae</u>) vor. <u>parasitica</u> and <u>Fusarium oxysporum</u> f. sp. <u>lycopersici</u> in the glasshouse. Seed treatment with conidia of <u>P. oxalicum</u> reduced seed rot and damping-off of chickpes caused by <u>P. ultimum</u> in naturally infested soils (Kaiser and Hannen, 1984).

Seedling root rot caused by <u>Pythlum graminicola</u> in canes did not occurred when <u>T. viride</u> was incorporated in the soil (Padmanaban Alexander, 1984; 1986; 1987). Sivan <u>et al.</u> (1984) obtained efficient control of demping-off induced by <u>P. sphanidermatum</u> in peas, cucumbers, tomatoes and peppers by application of wheat bran/peat preparation of <u>T. herzianum</u> to soil. Teyes and Dirks (1985) reported that isolates of <u>Gliocladium catenulatum</u>, <u>G. virens, Myrothecium</u> <u>verrucaria</u> and <u>T. hamatum</u> suppressed root rot caused by <u>P. ultimum</u> in both stormed and unsteamed soil, but they were not effective in reducing root rot caused by <u>F</u>. <u>soleni</u> f.sp. <u>pisi</u> in steemed soil.

Application of conidia of isolates of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> or <u>T</u>. <u>koningii</u> to pea seed reduced the incidence of pre-emergence damping-off induced by <u>Pythium</u> sp. (Lifshitz <u>et al.</u>, 1986b).

Martin <u>et el</u>. (1986) observed that decrease in disease incidence in best seedlings and final <u>P. ultimum</u> inoculum densities were linearly related to increasing population density of the antegenist <u>Lestiseria arvelis</u> in raw field soils and infested steamed soils. Mukhopadhyay and Chandra (1986) achieved control of damping-off of sugarbest and tobacco incited by <u>P. aphanidermatum</u> by the application of wheat bran saw dust preparation of <u>T. herzianum</u> at different layers to soil. Incorporation of the antagonists (<u>T. harzianum</u> and <u>T. virida</u>) to the soil 9 days before sowing protected tobacco seedlings from damping-off up to 25 days (Negarajan and Reddy, 1986).

Seed treatment with conidia of rhizosphere competent mutants of <u>T. harzianum</u> reduced the incidence of disease in barley, cucumber, pea, radish and tomato induced by <u>P.ultimum</u> (Ahmad and Baker, 1986). Out of 17 <u>Trichoderma</u> strs tested,

three were effective against damping-off of sugarbeat caused by <u>Pythium</u> and <u>Aphanomyces</u> spp. (Camporota <u>et al.</u>, 1988).

Khare (1968) observed that A. fumigatus, A. orchreceous, Chaetomium globosum, Gliocladium deliquescens and Penicillium sp. were antegonistic to Phytophthora fragariae both in vitro and in vivo. Batter control of foot rot (Phytophthora parasitica var. piperina) of Piper betle was obtained when an antegonistic strain of T. viride was inoculated with the pathogen after fumigation of the soil with carbon disulphide (Tiwari and Mehrotra, 1973). Organic amendments of cotton seed meal and groundnut cake completely suppressed Phytophthora palmivora causing black pepper wilt. Among the antagonists isolated from treated soil, the most effective were Teleromyces wortmanii and Penicillium veriable (Dutta and Hegde, 1987). Smith et al. (1986) reported that Phytophthora root rot and crown rot of apple seedlings were controlled in green house trials by addition of selected isolates of Trichoderma to soil.

### ii) Antagonistic bacteria

Cordon and Haenseler (1939) were among the first to use an antagonistic strain of <u>Bacillus simplex</u> to inhibit <u>R. solani</u>. Addition of a bacterial suspension to green house soil gave control of seed decay and damping-off in cucumber

and pea seedlings. Working with lettuce seedlings Wood (1951) achieved a significant control of damping-off of lettuce caused by <u>R. solani</u> by addition of cultures of <u>Streptomyces</u> and <u>Bacillus</u> sp. Naim (1966) reported control of damping-off of cotton seedlings caused by <u>R. solani</u> with <u>B. subtilis</u> str.II. Direct inoculation of <u>B. subtilis</u> isolate to pre-steamed soil depressed damping-off of radiah caused by <u>R. solani</u> (Olsen and Baker, 1968).

Soaking wheat grains in <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> suspension and then planting in pasteurized green house soil or in field soil protected plants from infection by <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> (Merriman <u>et al.</u>, 1974). In field tests, treatment of seed pieces and whole tubers with <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> reduced the frequency of charcoal rot (<u>M</u>. <u>phaseolina</u>) and <u>Botryodiplodia</u> <u>solani</u> <u>tuberosi</u> at hervest (Thirumalachar end O'Brien, 1977). They suggested that biological control with a bacterial antagonist may supplement the cultural practices used in control of the disease. Vargas and Ramirez (1983) reported reduction in the seedling damage from 69 to 49 per cent in soil inoculated with <u>R</u>. <u>solani</u> by treatment of cotton seeds with <u>Bacillus megoterium</u>.

Tachen and Kuo (1985) obtained control of dempingoff of mung bean (<u>Vigna radiete</u>) caused by <u>R. soleni</u> by

adding <u>B. subtilis</u> to the soil. Treatment of coffee seeds with <u>B. subtilis</u> increased percentage seed germination and reduced disease incidence in field and green house tests with soils naturally and artificially infested with <u>R.solani</u> (Venkatasubbaich, 1985). Mew and Rosales (1986) noticed that the fluorescent and nonfluorescent becterie isolated from the rhizosphere of rice plants when used for seed bacterization suppressed the disease and protected the rice plant from infection by <u>R. solani</u> (sheath blight of rice).

Eight spp. of bacterial antagonists (<u>Bacilius</u> <u>cereus</u>, <u>Enterobacter cloace</u>, <u>Flavobacterium balustinum</u>, <u>Janthinobactorium lividum</u>, <u>Pseudomonas fluorescens biover</u> III, <u>P. putida</u>, <u>P. stutzeri</u> and <u>Xanthomanas maltophila indu</u>ced suppression of Rhizoctonia demping-off in container media emended with composted hardwood tree bark (Kwok <u>et al.</u>, 1987).

In <u>in vivo</u> tests there was a considerable improvement in wheat germination and stand in soil infested with <u>S. rolfsii</u> after seed treatment or soil drenches with <u>B. subtills</u> (Hegde <u>et al.</u>, 1980). Ordentlich <u>et al.</u> (1987) found that <u>Serratia marcesans</u> was the best control agent of <u>S. rolfsii</u> under green house conditions (up to 75 per cent disease reduction). They also reported that <u>S. marcesans</u> significantly reduced damping-off incidence of bean caused by <u>R. solani</u> by 50 per cent.

Seed treatment with <u>B. aubtilis</u> gave protection of onion against <u>Sclerotium cepivorum</u> (white rot of onion) under field conditions (Utkhede and Rahe, 1980; 1983). Zazzerni and Tosi (1985) reported that treatment of sunflower seed with a str. of <u>B. subtilis</u> and an unidentified bacterium reduced infection by <u>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</u> (Lib.) de Bary in glasshouse trials in which soil was inoculated with the pathogen.

Podile and Dube (1983) suggested that amendment of wilt sick soils with an isolate of <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> might provide biological control of fungel wilt disease.

In the greenhouse, demping-off of tomato caused by <u>P. debaryanum</u> was controlled by soaking seeds in cell suspension of <u>Arthrobacter</u> sp. (Mitchell and Hurwitz, 1965). (Howell and Stipanovic (1980) reported that treatment of cotton seed with pyoluteorin (from cultures of str. <u>Pseudomonas</u> <u>fluorescens</u> - 5) or <u>P. fluorescens</u> at planting in <u>P. ultimum</u> infested soil increased seedling survival from 33 to 65 per cent and from 28 to 71 per cent respectively.)

Out of 21 <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> isolates from local and exotic sclerotia of <u>S</u>. <u>cepivorum</u>, six isolates provided significant reductions of infection by <u>Phytophthora</u> cactorum

(crown rot of apple) on McIntosh apple seedlings in greenhouse (Utkhede, 1984). Lifshitz <u>et al</u>. (1986a) reported that strains of <u>Pseudomonas putida</u> or <u>P. fluorescens</u> reduced root rot of soybean when the seedlings were inoculated with 10<sup>8</sup> zoospores of <u>Phytophthora megasperma</u> f. sp. <u>glycinea</u>.

The foregoing amount of literature indicates that there is great potential to bring about reduction of the soil-borne plant pathogens (<u>Rhizoctonia</u>, <u>Pythium</u> and <u>Phytophthora</u>) by selecting correct antagonists and applying it to the field at the correct time. Therefore it seems that search for a suitable technique of mass multiplication and delivery of antagonists to the field is relevant.

Materials and Methods

### 1. Antagonistic microorganisms

The antagonists viz., <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u> Rifai, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> Rifai, <u>Aspergillus terreus</u> Thom, <u>Penicillium citrinum</u> Thom, <u>P. simpliciasinum</u> (Ouden.) Thom and a bacterial isolete <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> Cohn, emend available at the division of Plant Pathology, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkars were used for the study. These organisms were isolated from the forest soils of Idukki end Wyned districts of Kerela. The fungal isolates were maintained on potato dextrose agar (20 g potato, 20 g dextrose, 15 g agar and volume made to 1000 ml with distilled water) and the bacterial isolate on nutrient agar (5 g peptone, 3 g beef extract, 15 g agar and volume made to 1000 ml with distilled water).

1.1. Growth of antagonist in various food bases

The following seven food bases were selected for the study.

- 1. Rice
- 2. Wheat bran
- 3. Paddy straw
- 4. Rice bran
- 5. Cowpea
- 6. Forest soil
- 7. Soil + dried cowdung (111)

### 1.1.1. Preparation of growth media

Twenty five g each of various food bases were weighed and transferred to 250 ml conical flasks separately. Wheat bran, rice bran and ground paddy straw were sterilized following the method adapted by Henia <u>et al</u>. (1979) with slight modifications. Fifty ml of water was added to each flask and autoclaved at 1.04 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> pressure for 1 h on two successive days.

For sterilization of cowpea and milled rice, the procedure given by Ahmed and Tribe (1977) was followed. Twenty five ml of water was added and autoclaved at 1.4 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> for 20 min. Soil + dried cowdung (1s1) and forest soil were sterilized by autoclaving at 1.04 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> pressure for 4 h (Reo, 1977).

## 1.1.2. Inoculation of food bases with antagonists

Spore suspensions of T. <u>harrianum</u>, T. <u>longibrechiatum</u>, <u>A. terreus and P. citrinum</u> were prepared from 12 day old colonies growing on poteto destrose agar. Since the growth of <u>P. simplicissimum</u> was slow three week old colonies on potato destrose agar were used for preparing spore suspension. The suspension contained approximately 7 x 10<sup>4</sup> conidia per ml. The bacterial isolate was grown in nutrient broth (5 g peptone, 3 g beef extract and 1000 ml distilled water) for 72 h.

Each food base was inoculated with one ml suspension of antagonist and incubated for two weeks at zoom temperature till maximum growth was noticed. Six flasks were kept for each treatment.

1.1.2.1. Population dynamics of the antegonist in food bases

The survival of antagonist in various food bases was estimated by serial dilution and plate count technique (Stanier <u>et al.</u>, 1977) at 15 days, 45 days, 75 days, 105 days, 135 days and 165 days after inoculation.

Serial dilutions of the entogonistic cultures were prepared up to one in 10<sup>8</sup> depending on the growth of the antegonist in various food bases. One ml of the appropriate dilution was pipetted to sterile petriplates and molten but cooled medium was added. The plates were then incubated at room temperature. Martin's rose bengal streptomycin agar (10 g dextrose, 5 g peptone, 1 g potassium dihydrogen phosphete, 0.5 g maxignesium sulphate, rose bengal (1 part in 30,000 perts of the medium), 30 mg streptomycin, 20 g agar and 1000 ml water) (Martin, 1950) for fungal antegonists and nutrient agar for bacterial isolate were used. Colonies of <u>T. harrienum</u> and <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> were counted on the third day of plating and colonies of <u>A. terreus</u>, <u>P. citrinum</u> and <u>P. simplicissimum</u> on the fourth day. Bacterial colonies were counted after 48 h of incubation. The population of antagonists in different food bases were expressed as number of colony forming units (cfu) per g substrate on oven dry basis. The data were analyzed statistically.

1.2. Selection of promising food beses

The most promising food bases for each antagonist were selected based on the growth and sporulation of antagonists for two weeks in various food bases.

Antegonists

Food bases

Trichoderma harzienum	Rice, wheat bran, paddy straw
Trichoderma longibrachiatum	Rice, rice bran, cowpsa
Aspergillus terreus	Wheat bran, cowpea, rice
Penicillium citrinum	Wheat bran, cowpea, rice
Penicillium simplicissimum	Rice, wheat bran, cowpea
Becillus subtilis	Rice, wheat bran, rice bran

 Effect of cerrier based entegonists in controlling soft rot of ginger (<u>Zingiber officinale</u> Rosc.) caused by <u>Puthium myriotylum</u>, collar rot and web blight of cowpea (<u>Vigna unquiculata</u> (L) Walp) caused by <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> and quick wilt of black pepper (<u>Piper nigrum L.</u>) caused by <u>Phytophthora palmivora</u>.

2.1. Experimental details

A pot culture experiment was laid out during the period from March to September, 1989 at the College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara. For each crop four antagonistic cultures grown in three different food bases were used. An untreated control was also kept. Thus a total of 13 treatments were there for each crop (Table 1).

The experimental design followed was CRD with five replications.

The exppriment was conducted under non-sterile conditions. About 8 Hg of potting mixture containing sand, dried and powdered cowdung and top soil in the ratio 1:1:1 was taken in earthern pots (30 cm).

Cowpsa seeds of the variety 'Kanakamony' were sown at the rate of 10 seeds per pot. Ginger crop was raised by planting three rhizome bits with sprouted buds per pot. The variety 'Maran' was used. One year old Panniyur-1 variety of pepper was used for planting.

Cultural operations were carried out as per the Package of Practices recommendations (Anon, 1986).

2.2. Application of antegonists to soil

The antagonists were grown in selected food bases for 15 days. This carrier based entagonists were applied at the rate of 50 g per pot after 110 days of planting of pepper and ginger and after 30 days of sowing of cowpea.

Table 1	of <u>Rhizoctoni</u> Phytophthora	nd food bases selected f a in cowpea, <u>Pythium</u> in in black pepper	ginger and
Crop	Pathogan	Antagonists	Food bases
Cowpea	Rhizoctonia	Trichoderma harzianum	Rice, wheat bran, paddy straw
		T. longibrachietum	Rice, rice bran, cowpea
		Aspergillus terreus	Wheat bran, cowpea, rice
		Bacillus subtilis	Rice, wheat bran, rice bran
Ginger	Pythium	<u>T. herzienum</u>	Rice, wheat bran, paddy straw
		T. longibrachietum	Rice, rice bran, Cowpea
		Penicillium Bimplicissimum	Rice, wheat bran, cowpea
		<u>B. subtilis</u>	Rice, wheat bran, rice bran
Bleck pepper	Phytophthora	T. harsianum	Rice, wheat bran, paddy straw.
		T. longibrachiatum	Rice, rice bran, cowpea
		Penicillium citrinum	Wheat bran, cowpee, rice
		B. subtilis	Rice, wheat bran, rice bran
		وی بیش بین	

### 2.3. Inoculation with the pathogen

Inoculation with pure cultures of the respective pathogens were done one week after the introduction of antegonist. <u>Pythium</u> was grown on oat meal agar in petriplates for 7 days and mycelial mat was mixed with the soil. <u>Rhizoctonia</u> was grown in chopped paddy straw for 15 days and this preparation containing sclerotia were used for ertificial inoculation. Zoospore suspension of <u>Phytophthora</u> was used for artificial inoculation. This was prepared by placing one week old culture in sterile water for 3 days.

#### 2.4. Observations

# 2.4.1. Assay for colony forming units of entegonists introduced into the soil

The population count of the antagonistic microflore introduced into the soil was assessed at intervals following serial dilution and plate count technique. Composite rhizosphere soil samples were obtained by pooling samples from five replications under each treatment at 7, 30 and 60 days after the incorporation of antagonists into soil for the estimation. Populations were expressed as colony forming units per g dry weight of soil.

2.4.2. The intensity of disease was record as percentage of infected plants.

Results

#### RESULTS

Six antagonistic microflora viz., <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>harzienum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Aspergillus terreus</u>, <u>Penicillium citrinum</u>, <u>P. simplicissimum</u> and <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> were grown in seven different food bases as described in Materials and Methods.

Population dynamics of antegonists in food bases
1.1. <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>

Results on the population count of  $\underline{T}$ . <u>herzianum</u> in different growth media are summarised in Table 2 and Fig.1. The data contain the number of colony forming units (cfu) per g dry weight of food base at 15, 45, 75, 105, 135 and 165 days after inoculation.

After 15 days of growth, the highest population count was recorded by rice  $(4827.58 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu per g of}$ substrate) followed by wheat bran  $(833.33 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu per g}$ of substrate) but soil + cowdung recorded the least count  $(70.55 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu per g of substrate})$ . The growth of the entagonist showed different trends at 45 days of inoculation. In rice the count was increased from 4627.58  $\times 10^6$  to

Food base	Colony forming units (in millions) per g dry weight of food base after incubation time of							
	15 days	45 days	75 days	105 days	135 days	165 days		
Rice	4827.58	7966.10	3855 <b>.41</b>	16.69 <sup>8</sup>	3.00	0.40		
	(8.482)	(8.983)	(8.257)	(2.814)	(1.098)	(-0.916)		
Wheat bran	833.33	1016.39	0.02	0.019	0.005	0.003		
	(6.725)	(6.924)	(-3.912)	(-3.963)	(-5.298)	(-5.809)		
Paddy straw	<b>473.43</b>	<b>471.54</b>	348.65 <sup>8</sup>	<b>313.</b> 33	293.47	251.70		
	(6.160)	(6 <b>.</b> 156)	(5.854)	(5 <b>.7</b> 47)	(5.681)	(5.528)		
Rice bran	248.72	573.33	271 <b>.19<sup>a</sup></b>	199.22	148 <b>.14</b>	108.70		
	(5.516)	(6.351)	(5.602)	(5.294)	(4.998)	(4.688)		
Ссиреа	279.07 (5.631)	52.47 (3.960)	0.0008 (-7.130)	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Forest acil	239 <b>.74</b>	39.15	18.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.66 <sup>8</sup>	10.33 <sup>a</sup>	1.66		
	(5 <b>.</b> 479)	(3.667)	(2.890)	(2.366)	(2.335)	(0.506)		
Soil + dried	70.55	32.64	17.77 <sup>b</sup>	16.00 <sup>a</sup>	9.67 <sup>8</sup>	10.00		
Cowdung (1:1)	(4.256)	(3.485)	(2.877)	(2.772)	(2.269)	(2.302)		

Table 2. Growth and survival of Trichoderma harzienum in different food bases

Values of each column followed by the same latter do not differ significantly (P= 0.05) using Duncan's multiple range test.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses.



7966.10 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate. The same trend was observed in rice bran and wheat bran but in all other food bases the population count decreased. The reduction was very less in paddy straw (473.43 x  $10^6$  to 471.54 x  $10^6$ ofu per g of substrate) while it was very much pronounced in cowpea (279.07 x  $10^6$  to 52.47 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) and forest soil (239.74 x  $10^6$  to 39.15 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate). In the case of soil + cowdung, more than 50 per cent of reduction was observed.

In all the food bases, the viable count considerably reduced at 75 days and it was much pronounced in cowpea  $(52.47 \times 10^6$  to  $0.0006 \times 10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) and wheat bran (1016.39 x  $10^6$  to  $0.02 \times 10^6$  cfu per g of substrate). Least reduction in the viable count was observed in paddy straw (26.07 per cent) followed by soil + cowdung (45.56 per cent). In rice, rice bran and forest soil, the reduction in viable count ware found to be more than 50 per cent.

The viable count was very much reduced in all the food bases except paddy straw and rice bran at 105 days of inoculation. No viable count was observed in cowpea but it was very negligible in wheat bran (0.019 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate). In rice, forest soil and soil + cowdung, the viable count recorded was between 10 x  $10^6$  and 16 x  $10^6$  cfu

per g of substrate. The same trend was observed at 135 and 165 days of incubation.

Among the substrates tested, rice was found to be superior to all other food bases tried up to 75 days of incubation but maximum growth was obtained at 45 days. Next to rice, wheat bran was found superior to other food bases at 15 and 45 days of incubation. Later it was found to be vary poor in maintaining the viable count. Even though the initial count was not high, paddy straw was found to be a good food base for the survival of the antagonist for a long period of incubation. The viable count of 473.43 x  $10^6$ at 15 days of incubation anowed allow reduction to 251.70 x  $10^6$ cfu per g of substrate at 165 days. Almost the same trend was noticed in rice bran. The maximum colony count was recorded at 45 days of incubation (573.33 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate at 165 days of incubation.

This study revealed that rice was the best food base for obtaining maximum number of propagules at 45 days. Paddy straw was found to be superior to all others for the survival of antagonist even at 165 days of incubation.

### 1.2. Trichoderma longibrachiatum

Data on the number of cfu in different growth media at different intervals are presented in Table 3 and

Food base	Colony forming units (in millions) per g dry weight of food base after incubation time of							
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	15 days	45 deys	75 deys	105 đeys	135 days	165 days		
Rice	277.78 <sup>2</sup>	20.00 <sup>C</sup>	5.00	1.67	0 <b>-97</b>	<b>0.08</b>		
	(5.626)	(2.995)	(1.609)	(0.512)	( <b>-0.</b> 030)	(~2.525)		
Wheat bran	4.00	83.33	16 <b>.3</b> 2 <b>*</b>	5.00 <sup>®</sup>	0.90	0.05		
	(1.386)	(4.422)	(2 <b>.7</b> 92)	(1.609)	( 49.105)	(-2.995)		
Paddy straw	21.66	25.33 <sup>80</sup>	26.26	15.60	8.33 <sup>2</sup>	5.56 <sup>4</sup>		
	(3.075)	(3.231)	(3.268)	(2.747)	(2.119)	(1.715)		
Rice bran	294.11 <sup>8</sup>	234.04 <sup>°</sup>	50.00	31.34	12.73	5.61 <sup>4</sup>		
	(5.683)	(5.455)	(3.912)	(3.444)	(2.543)	(1.724)		
Cowper	125.00 (4.828)	20.00 <sup>C</sup> (2.995)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
forest soil	12.20	23.16 <sup>bc</sup>	14.66 <sup>®</sup>	3.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.66	3.66 <sup>ª</sup>		
	(2.501)	(3,142)	(2.685)	(1.297)	(1.297)	(1.297)		
Soil + drigd cowdung	28.64	30.22 <sup>8</sup>	14.51 <sup>a</sup>	10.20	10.00 <sup>a</sup>	5.66 <sup>8</sup>		
	(3.354)	(3.408)	(2.674)	(2.322)	(2.302)	(1.733)		

Table 3. Growth and survival of Trichoderma longibrachiatum in different food bases

Values of each column followed by the same latter do not differ significantly (P= 0.05) using Duncan's multiple range test.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses.



Fig.2. Rice bran and rice were found equally good and superior to all other food bases tried at 15 days of incubation. The growth of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> in other food bases differed significantly. The population count in rice bran and rice were 294.11  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> and 277.78  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate respectively. In the case of rice, there was sudden decline in the number of viable propagules after 15 days of inoculation. Though a reduction in population count was observed in rice bran also, rate of decline was very less at 45 days. Among all the food bases, this was found to harbour maximum number of propagules at 45 days of incubation.

The rice bran and rice were followed by cowpea (125.0 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) at 15 days of incubation but the population count in this medium declined to great extent (20.0 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) at 45 days and no viable count was recorded after 75 days of incubation.

The growth of the antegonist in soil + cowdung, paddy straw, forest soil and wheat bran was poor at 15 days and then increased up to 45 days of incubation. The increase was much pronounced with wheat bran where the population count increased from 4.0 x  $10^6$  to 83.33 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate at 45 days. In the case of paddy straw, a slight increase in the count was observed up to 75 days of incubation and thereafter the viable count declined. At 105<sup>th</sup> and 135<sup>th</sup> days of incubation, rice bran was found superior to all other food bases but at 165 days it was on par with paddy straw and soil + cowdung.

Among the food bases tried, rice bran was found to be superior throughout the period of observation.

### 1.3 Aspergillus terreus

Among the food bases tried, wheat bran was found to be the best medium even though no statistical difference could be observed among wheat bran, cowpea and rice (Table 4 and Fig.3) after two weeks of incubation. Population count of 2469.13 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate was recorded by wheat bran followed by cowpea and rice with 2058.82 x  $10^6$ and 2000.0 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate respectively. The least population count was observed in soil + cowdung (32.81 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) followed by forest soil (40.00 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) and rice bran (462.96 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate at 15 days of incubation.

In the case of rice bran, paddy straw and soil + cowdung, growth of the antagonist increased after 15 days but the latter showed the highest per cent of increase in

Food base	Colony forming units (in millions) per g dry weight of food base after incubation time of							
	15 deys	45 days	75 deys	105 days	135 days	165 days		
Rice	2000.00 <sup>8.</sup>	793.65 <sup>8</sup>	650 <b>.41</b>	299.62	186.67 <sup>a</sup>	<b>136.</b> 66		
	(7.690)	(6.676)	(6.477)	(5.702)	(5.229)	( <b>4.</b> 917)		
sheat bran	2469.13 <sup>a</sup>	882 <b>.35</b> <sup>a</sup>	499.23	30 <b>.77</b>	11.52	<b>0.9</b> 8		
	(7.811)	(6.782)	(6.213)	(3 <b>.4</b> 26)	(2.444)	(-0.020)		
Peddy strew	1250.00	2088.35	1941.74	966.18	416.66	210.52 <sup>a</sup>		
	(7.130)	(7.644)	(7.571)	(6.873)	(6.032)	(5.349)		
lice (bran	<b>462.</b> 96	697.67 <sup>8</sup>	830.41	6 <b>70.88</b>	203.51 <sup>4</sup>	176.66 <sup>4</sup>		
	(6.137)	(6.547)	(6.721)	(6.508)	(5.315)	(5.174)		
Compes	2058.82 <sup>8</sup> (7.629)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
orest soil	40.00 <sup>b</sup>	26.33	26.00	21.66	18.66	13.33		
	(3.688)	(3.270)	(3.258)	(3.075)	(2.926)	(2.590)		
Soil + dried cowdung (1:1)	32.81 <sup>b</sup>	337.71	248.96	223.33	160.00 <sup>ª</sup>	160.00 <sup>a</sup>		
	(3.490)	(5.822)	(5.617)	(5.408)	(5.075)	(5.075)		

Table 4. Growth and survivel of Aspergillus terreus in different food bases

Values of each column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (P = 0.05) using Duncan's multiple range test.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parantheses.



population count. In all other cases, reduction in viable count was noticed but in cowpea no viable colony was obtained after 15 days of incubation. The rate of decline was slow and steady in paddy straw. At 165 days, the viable count in paddy straw was  $210.52 \times 10^6$  cfu per g of substrate followed by rice bran (176.66 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) and was on par with soil + cowdung.

A perusal of the data revealed that the maximum cfu per g of substrate was obtained in wheat bran at 15 days of incubation but it was found to be on par with cowpea and rice. Even though growth of the antegonist in paddy straw (1250.0 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) was not as much in cowpea and wheat bran, population count in the former increased up to 2088.35 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate at 45 days and maintained its superiority during the remaining period of incubation.

## 1.4. Penicillium citrinum

Results of the population estimation of <u>P.citrinum</u> in different food bases at different intervals are presented in Table 5 and Fig.4. Wheat been recorded the maximum population of 5833.33 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate after two weaks of incubation. This was followed by cowpea

Food base	Colony forming units (in millions) per g dry weight of food base after incubation time						
***	15 days	45 deys	75 days	105 days	135 days	165 daya	
Rice	2038.09	863.94	197.65	126.61	19.92 <sup>b</sup>	5.61	
	(7.619)	(6.761)	(5.286)	(4.841)	(2.991)	(1.724)	
Wheat bran	5833.33	1989.66	1285 <b>.91</b>	956.52	420.76 <sup>a</sup>	22 <b>4.47</b>	
	(8.671)	(7.595)	(7 <b>.</b> 159)	(6.863)	(6.042)	(5.413)	
Paddy straw	166.66	666.66	759.33	702.29	578.54	503 <b>.54</b>	
	(5.115)	(6.502)	(6.632)	(6.554)	(6.360)	(6.221)	
Rice bren	527。77 <sup>a</sup>	598.66	627.70	<b>590.27</b>	406.66 <sup>aa</sup>	280.00	
	(6.268)	(6.394)	(6.442)	(6.380)	(6.007)	(5.634)	
Cowpea	2571.42 (7.852)	32 <b>3.1</b> 0 (5.777)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Forest soil	54.23	2 <b>9.3</b> 3`	28.33	25.66	25.00 <sup>b</sup>	17 <b>.3</b> 3	
	(3.993)	(3.378)	(3.343)	(3.244)	(3.218)	(2.852)	
Soil + dried cowdung	582.01 <sup>2</sup>	91.67	40.66	29.33	18.66 <sup>b</sup>	<b>7.66</b>	
(1:1)	(6.366)	(4.518)	(3.705)	(3.378)	(2.926)	(2.036)	
و بن من بين من به بن	****	میں ہیں ہور اور میں رہے ہوں جاتا ہو جاتا ہے۔ مربع	و چې کې چې چې خو خو خو خو خو کې او د وه وو خو خو	****	ور چر سا بو بزر در به به دو به دو بو	****	

Table 5. Growth and survival of Penicillium citrinum in different food bases

Values of each column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (P=0.05) using Duncan's multiple range test.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses.



(2571.42 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate) and rice (2038.09 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate). Statistical analysis revealed that wheat bran was superior to all other food bases at 15 days of incubation. The least population count was observed in forest soil (54.23 x 10<sup>6</sup> of uper g of substrates) followed by paddy straw (166.66 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate), rice bran (527.77 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate) and soil + cowdung (582.01 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate). The fungal population in wheat bren medium was found to decline to one third at 45 days and the reduction was gradual in subsequent observations. A sudden decline in population was noticed with cowpea after two weeks of incubation (2571.42 x 106 to 323.10 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate at 45 days) and no colony count was obtained in subsequent observations. A similar reduction was found in rice, soil + cowdung and forest soil after two weeks of incubation. The growth rate of the antegonist in paddy straw showed an increasing trend up to 75 days of incubation and thereafter a gradual decline was noticed. The same trend was noticed in rice bran also.

The maximum colony count per g of substrate was recorded by wheat bran at 15 days of incubation. This food base was found to be superior to ell other food bases up to 105 days. Even though paddy straw showed a slow initial

growth, it was found superior to all other substrates at 135 and 165 days of incubation.

### 1.5. Penicillium simplicissimum

The observations on the population count are embodied in Table 6 and Fig.5. Out of seven substrates tried, rice was found to be the best with a population count of 5137.84  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate followed by wheat bran (3956.56  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate) and then cowpea (385  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate) at 15 days of incubation. The growth was very poor in rice bran, forest soil and paddy straw. There was no significant difference among these food bases. The soil + dried cowdung recorded the minimum population count (4.1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate) after two weeks of incubation.

In all the growth media tried, the viable count of the antagonist was found to decrease after two weeks of incubation except in cowpea and rice bran. In cowpea and rice bran, population counts increased up to 45 days and thereafter a declining trend was noticed. In soil + cowdung, no count was recorded in last three estimations (105, 135 and 165 days of incubation).

The data revealed that rice was the best medium for yielding maximum of u per g of substrate and survival

Tood base	Colony forming units (in millions) per g dry weight of food base after incubation time of							
****	15 days	45 d <b>ays</b>	75 đays	105 days	135 days	165 days		
Rice	5137.84	1552.20 <sup>2</sup>	859.16 <sup>2</sup>	593.35 <sup>8</sup>	428.57 <sup>8</sup>	249.12 <sup>8</sup>		
	(8.544)	(7.347)	(6.755)	(6.385)	(6.060)	(5.517)		
Wheat bran	3956.55	1597.82 <sup>8</sup>	862.43 <sup>8</sup>	586.66 <sup>8</sup>	362.33 <sup>8</sup>	195.65 <sup>4</sup>		
	(8.283)	(7.376)	(6.759)	(6.374)	(5.892)	(5.276)		
Peddy straw	35.08 <sup>8</sup>	<b>19.</b> 20	10.15	5.63 <sup>b</sup>	3.87 <sup>b</sup>	1.39 <sup>b</sup>		
	(3 <b>.557)</b>	(2.954)	(2.317)	(1.728)	(1.353)	(0.329)		
Rice bran	<b>41.</b> 66 <sup><b>*</b></sup>	90.37	34.70	18.35	<b>14.54</b>	<b>4.33</b>		
	(3.729)	(4.503)	(3.546)	(2.909)	(2.676)	(1.465)		
Cowpea	385.33	435.18	361.58	225.35	71.16	37.41		
	(5.954)	(6.075)	(5.890)	(5.417)	(4.264)	(3.621)		
Forest soil	37.64 <sup>®</sup>	35.39	13.66	<b>4.</b> 66 <sup>b</sup>	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	2.00 <sup>b</sup>		
	(3.628)	(3.566)	(2.614)	(1.539)	(1.465)	(0.693)		
Soil + dried cowdung (1:1)	<b>4.10</b> (1.410)	1.18 (0.165)	0.24 (-1.427)	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Table 6. Growth and survival of Penicillium simplicissimum in different food bases

Values of each column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (P=0.05) using Duncan's multiple range test.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses.



of the antegoniat for prolonged period of incubation (165 days). Even though wheat bran was found to be a best food base only next to rice at 15 days of incubation, in later observations it was found to be on par with rice.

### 1.6. Becillus subtilis

The data on the growth and survival of <u>B. subtilis</u> in different food bases are presented in Table 7 and Fig.6. Observation at 15 days of incubation indicated that rice was the most suitable medium for the growth of antagonistic bacterium followed by wheat bran. Rice bran was ranked as third with regard to its efficacy as a growth medium followed by cowpea. No significant difference between soil + cowdung and paddy straw could be observed at 15 days of incubation. Forest soil was found to be the least effective as a food base.

Maximum growth of bacterium was observed in rice (3137.25 x  $10^6$  colonies per g of substrate) followed by wheat bran (1249.34 x  $10^6$  colonies per g of substrate) and rice bran (729.97 x  $10^6$  colonies per g of substrate). Forest soil recorded the least bacterial count of 5.84 x  $10^6$ colonies per g of substrate at 15 days of incubation. The bacterial count declined after 15 days in all the food bases except paddy straw and forest soil. In the case of paddy straw, maximum count was noticed at 45 days of incubation

Food base	Colony forming units (in millions) per g dry weight of food base after incubation time of							
	15 days	45 days	75 days	105 days	135 days	165 days		
Rice	3137.25	2913.90	88 <b>4.</b> 95	630.18	347.43 <sup>8</sup>	270.00 <sup>a</sup>		
	(8.051)	(7.977)	(6.785)	(6.445)	(5.850)	(5.598)		
Wheat bran	1249.34	649.35	429.40 <sup>æ</sup>	228.24	156.94	87.62 <sup>b</sup>		
	(7.130)	(6.475)	(6.062)	(5.430)	(5.055)	(4.473)		
Paddy straw	180.26 <sup>4</sup>	<b>499.00</b>	471.09 <sup>8</sup>	386.20	342.46 <sup>8</sup>	319.14 <sup>a</sup>		
	(5.194)	(6.212)	(6.155)	(5.956)	(5.836)	(5.765)		
Rice bran	727.97	367.34	228.20	157.93	112.22 <sup>b</sup>	62.43		
	(6.590)	(5.906)	(5.430)	(5.062)	(4.720)	(4.134)		
Condes	308.2 <b>4</b>	290.52	134.83	123.33	113.33 <sup>b</sup>	93.33 <sup>b</sup>		
	(5.730)	(5.671)	(4.904)	(4.814)	(4.730)	(4.536)		
'orest soil	5.84	6.00	6.33	13.66	16.66	13.33 <sup>C</sup>		
	(1.764)	(1.791)	(1.845)	(2.614)	(2.813)	(2.590)		
oil + dried cowdung	208.69 <sup>8</sup>	185.59	100.00	50.00	<b>43.3</b> 3	16.33 <sup>C</sup>		
(1:1)	(5.349)	(5.223)	(4.605)	(3.912)	(3.768)	(2.793)		

Table 7. Growth and survival of Bacillus subtilis in different food bases

Values of each column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly (F=0.05) using Duncan's multiple range test.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses.


Fig.6. Population of <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> at different intervals of incubation in various food bases

and in forest soil at 135 days of incubation. Though an increase in population was noticed after three months of incubation, forest soil recorded the minimum number of viable propagules throughout the period of observation.

Among the food bases tested, rice was found to be significantly superior to all other food bases during the period of incubation followed by wheat bran.

2. Population dynamics of antagonists in the rhizosphere of cowpea, ginger and black pepper as affected by different carrier based inoculants

Based on the growth and multiplication of the antagonists as observed in in vitro studies, three food bases were selected for each antegonist for mass cultivation. The carrier based antagonists were tested in pot culture trials to study the population dynamics of the antagonist in the rhizosphere of cowpee, ginger and black pepper.

A comparison of the quantitative estimates of the antagonist in the treated and untreated rhizosphere samples were made and the results are presented in Tables 8, 9 and 10. In all the cases, the rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist yielded the colonies of the respective antagonist. But the number of colony forming units varied depending on the food base, crop and period of incubation. The control, where the rhizosphere was not emended with antegonist did not yield any of the antegonist tried in this study. This clearly indicated that the rhizosphere of test plants were almost free from any of the antegonist used in this study.

#### 2.1. Enumeration of antagonistic microflora in cowpea rhizosphere

Results on the estimation of introduced antegonist associated with the rhizosphere of cowpea are summarised in Table 8. The data embodies the number of cfu per g of soil at one week, one month and two months of inculation in the rhizosphere emended with antegonist grown in different food bases.

#### 2.1.1. Trichoderma herzienum

Among the three food bases tried, the rhizosphere amended with wheat bran-<u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> preparation yielded the maximum number of cfu after one week of application, i.e., 528.0 x 10<sup>4</sup> in the serial dilution and plate count method (Table 8 and Fig.7). An estimated number of 78.43 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil was recorded with rice-<u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> preparation while paddy straw gave the minimum count of 16.08 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil. The treatments were found

amended with fo	od based antagoni:	st.	acabuere of combas	3			
Antagonists		Colony forming units (in 10,000s) per g of soil					
	Food bases	7 days after application	30 days after application	60 days after application			
Trichoderma harzianum	Paddy straw Wheat bran Rice	16.88 (2.826) 528.00 (6.269) 78.43 (4.362)	11.26 (2.421) 77.30 (4.347) 76.30 (4.334)	6.79 (1.915) 9.67 (2.269) 34.90 (3.552)			
CD (0.05)		0.057	0.069	0.075			
Trichoderma longibrachiatum	Rice bran Rice Cowpea	58.00 (4.060) 28.90 (3.360) 0.97 (-0.030)	12.63 (2.536) 8.33 (2.119) 0.82 (-0.198)	2.08 (0.732) 3.10 (1.131) C.75 (-0.287)			
CD (0.05)		0.097	0,057	0.083			
Aspergillus terreus	Cowp <b>ea</b> Wheat bran R <b>1</b> ce	2615.00 (7.869) 8409.00 (9.037) 897.43 (6.799)	1057.00 (6.963) 1222.49 (7.103) 879.17 (6.778)	52.17 (3.954) 115.03 (4.745) 109.16 (4.692)			
CD (0.05)		0.039	0.039	0.086			
Bacillus subtilis	Rice bran Rica Wheat bran	377.59 (5.933) 721.15 (6.580) 651.42 (6.479)	200.66 (5.301) 294.12 (5.683) 140.15 (4.942)	73.26 (4.294) 23.28 (3.147) 42.09 (3.739)			
CD (0.05) Untreated control		0.039 0.00	0.021 0.00	0.112 0.00			

Table 8. Colony forming units of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Aspergillus terreus</u> and <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> in the rhizosphere of cowpea amended with food based antagonist.

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses



Fig.7. Population of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist

to be statistically significant. The same trend was observed at 30 days of introduction. But the estimated number of <u>T</u>. <u>herzianum</u> propagules in the rhizosphere at 60 days of introduction indicated the superiority of rice as a food base over wheat bran and peddy straw.

A comparison of the population at different intervals revealed that the rate of survival differed considerably among the three food bases. The rate of decline of the antagonist in the rhizosphere amended with rice <u>T. herzienum</u> preparation was low when compared to paddy straw and wheat bran during the period of observation. After 30 days a faster rate of decline in the population count was noticed in the rhizosphere amended with wheat bran-antagonist preparation but it was less with paddy straw and negligible with rice.

# 2.1.2. Trichoderma longibrachiatum

The estimation of rhizosphere soil emended with rice bran-antegonist preparation gave a count of 58.0 x  $10^4$ cfu per g of soil at 7 days (Table 8 and Fig.8). This was followed by rice yielding a count of 28.90 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil. Cowpea entegonist preparation gave the lowest count (0.97 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil).



Fig.8. Population of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.

Statistical analysis revealed that there was significant difference among the treatments. <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> grown in rice bran was found superior to rice and cowpea at one week and one month of introduction to soil. In all the treated pots, the entagonist population was found to decline after one week of application but it was more pronounced in the case of rice bran <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> preparation. On 60th day of application, rice antagonist preparation yielded maximum count followed by rice bran and cowpea.

# 2.1.3. Aspergillus terreus

At 7 days of inoculation, the rhizosphere soil amended with the antagonist grown in wheat bran gave the maximum population count (8409.0 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) followed by cowpea (2615.0 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) and rice (897.43 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil). Thereafter a sudden decline in population count was observed in rhizosphere soil amended with wheat bran (1222.49 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) and and cowpea (1057.0 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) antagonist preparations. But the rhizosphere soil treated with rice antagonist mixture showed a slight reduction of 18.26 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil in population count at 30 days of inoculation (Table 8 and Fig.9). On the sixtieth day after introduction of food based antagonist preparation, further reduction was observed but



Fig.9. Population of <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amendêd with food based antagonist.

maximum count was obtained with wheat bran  $(115.03 \times 10^4$  cfu per g of soil) followed by rice (109.16 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) and cowpas (52.17 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil).

The difference emong the treatments were significant with regard to the population count estimated at 7 days and one month of introduction of food based antegonist preparation to soil. At two months of introduction of carrier based antegonist wheat bren yielded the maximum count but it was on par with rice.

### 2.1.4. Becillus subtilis

Among the three food bases tried, the antegonistic bacterium grown in rice survived better in the rhizosphere soil of cowpea than in wheat bran or rice bran (Table 8 and Fig.10). The population count was 721.15 x 10<sup>4</sup> colonies per g of soil for rice antagonist preparation followed by wheat bran ( $651.42 \times 10^4$  colonies per g of soil) and rice bran ( $377.59 \times 10^4$  colonies per g of soil). In all the cases population count declined after 7 days of inoculation. The decline was much pronounced with rice and wheat bran based <u>B. subtilis</u> where the count reduced to 294.12 x  $10^4$ and 140.15 x  $10^4$  colonies per g of soil respectively at 30 days of inoculation. But the reduction in colony count was very less with rice bran entagonist preparation. At



Fig.10. Population of <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> at different intervals in cowpea rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.

.

60 days after inoculation, the maximum count was obtained with rice bran antegonist preparation  $(73.26 \times 10^4)$ followed by wheat bran  $(42.09 \times 10^4$  colonies per g of soil). The antegonist preparation which yielded the maximum count in the early periods recorded the least count  $(23.28 \times 10^4)$ colonies per g of soil) at 60 days.

The treatments were significant throughout the period of observation. Rice was superior to wheat bran and rice bran based antegonistic preparation in the first two observations. But at 60 days rice bran based preparation was better than wheat bran and rice.

# 2.2. Enumeration of antagonistic microflore in ginger rhizosphere

Data on the estimation of population of the antogonist in the rhizosphere of ginger are presented in Table 9, Fig.11, 12, 13 and 14.

## 2.2.1. Trichoderma harzianum

Among the three food bases used, wheat bran <u>T. harzianum</u> preparation yielded the maximum count in the rhizosphere of ginger (4952.56  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cfu per g of soil) at 7 days of introduction of soil. The paddy straw end rice based cultures gave much lesser count than wheat bren.

Antagonists	Food bases	Colony	forming	units (in	n 10,000s)	per g of	soil
		7 days applica			ys after cation		days after blication
Trichoderme harzianum	Paddy straw Wheat bran Rice	4952.56	(5.676) (8.507) (4.968)	225.99	(2.769) (5.420) (4.316)	171.05	(2.109) (1.41) (3.988)
CD (0.05)		· 0.	.081	0.	105 (	0.	055
Trichoderma longibrachiatum	Rice bran Rice Corpea	3.05	(4.771) (1.115) (1.495)	48.72	(2.499) (3.886) (2.413)	10.15	(2.733) (2.317) (2.320)
CD (0.05)		0.	.033	0.0	)56	0.	767
Penicillium simplicissimum	Cowpea Wheat bran Rice	633.20	(1.043) (6.450) (4.961)	19,10	(-0.162) (2.949) (3.061)	0.009 0.72 2.45	(-4.710 (-0.328 (0.900)
CD (0.05)		0.	104	0.3	304	0.	146
Bacillus subtilis	Rice bran Rice Wheat bran	421.94	(4-900) (6-044) (6-589)	240.00	(3.786) (5.480) (5.791)	12.05 15.64 15.00	(2.489) (2.749) (2.708)
D (0.05) Intreated control	·	- 0. - 0.	056	0.1	1 <b>94</b> ,		082 00

Table 9. Colony forming units of <u>Trichoderma harzienuz</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Penicillium</u> <u>simplicissimum</u> and <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> in the rhizosphere of ginger amended with food based antagonist

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses



Fig.11. Population of <u>T. harzianum</u> at different intervals in ginger rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.

The counts were 292.03 x  $10^4$  and 143.88 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil respectively. A substantial reduction in population count was noticed in the case of wheat bren and paddy straw antagonist preparations while the reduction in rice-antagonist preparation was very less. The former preparation recorded five per cent of the initial count as against 52 per cent by the latter. At 60 days of incculation, the maximum count was observed with wheat bran (171.05 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) but per cent of reduction in count was only about 25 when compared to the count at 30 days. This was followed by rice where the count was 53.96 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil. The maximum reduction in population count at 60 days was observed in paddy straw based antagonist (8.24 x  $10^4$ ).

Statistical analysis revealed that wheat bran was superior to rice and paddy straw based antagonists throughout the period of observation.

#### 2.2.2. Trichoderma longibrechiatum

The rhizosphere of ginger treated with rice bran <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> preparation yielded the maximum population count (118.10  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cfu per g of soil) at 7 days of inoculation (Table 9 and Fig.12). The population counts in the rhizoaphere amended with rica-and cowpea-antagonist mixtures



were 3.05 x  $10^4$  and 4.46 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil respectively but an increase in population count was noticed at 30 days (48.72 x  $10^4$  and 11.17 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil respectively). In the case of rhizosphere soil emended with rice bran-antagonist mixture, the population count declined to 12.18 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil at 30 days but a slight increase to 15.39 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil was observed at 60 days of inoculation. After 30 days of inoculation the rate of decline in the population count of the antagonist mixture was less when compared to riceantagonist preparation.

Statistical analysis revealed the superiority of rice bran to rice and cowpea at 7 days of incubation. But at 30 days rice was found to be superior to rice bran and cowpea. There was no significant difference between cowpea and rice at 60 days.

# 2.2.3. Penicillium simplicissimum

Wheat bran was found to be the best food base for the survival of <u>P. simplicissimum</u> (633.20 x 10<sup>4</sup> cfu per g) in the rhizosphere soil when compared to rice (142.86 x 10<sup>4</sup>) and cowpea (2.84 x 10<sup>4</sup>) at 7 days of introduction



into soil (Table 9 and Fig.13). A reduction in population count in the rhizosphere soil was observed after 7 days with all the three food based antagonist cultures tried but the reduction was more with wheat bran <u>P. simplicissimum</u> culture. The antagonist grown in cowpee recorded the minimum number of propagules in the rhizosphere soil throughout the period of estimation.

At 7 days of introduction, wheat bran based antagonist was found to be superior to rice and cowpea. But at 30 days of introduction rice based antagonist was found superior to cowpea and was on par with wheat bran. Rice recorded the maximum population count (2.46 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) at 60 days after application.

# 2.2.4. Becillus subtilis

Rhizosphere of ginger receiving wheat bran based <u>B. subtilis</u> recorded the maximum number of colonies (727.13  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> colonies per g of soil) at 7 days of inoculation when compared to rice (421.94  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>) and rice bran (134.40  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>) based antagonist cultures (Table 9 and Fig.14). Even though the population count declined with regard to all the three food base antagonist mixtures, the same trend was also noticed at 30 days of inoculation.



.

Wheat bran was found superior to rice and rice bran based entagonist mixtures up to 30 days of inoculation. But at two months, there was no significant difference between rice and wheat bran-antagonist preparation and rice bran-antagonist preparation recorded the least population count.

2.3. Enumeration of antagonistic microflora in black pepper rhizosphere

#### 2.3.1. Trichoderma herzienum

Rhizosphere soil amended with wheat bran based <u>T. herzienum</u> herboured maximum number of propagules up to two months of estimation (Table 10 and Fig.15). At 7 days of inoculation population count in the rhizosphere soil emended with wheat bran based antegonist (147.65 x  $10^4$ ) was followed by paddy straw (136.85 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) and rice (22x10<sup>4</sup> cfu per g of soil). The population count increased in the rhizosphere soil treated with wheat bran (166.66 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) and rice (132.18 x  $10^4$ cfu per g of soil) antagonist preparation up to 30 days of inoculation. The rate of increase was more with rice antagonist preparation but the population count declined after 30 days. In the case of paddy straw entagonist preparation, the population count declined after 7 days of introduction. At 30 days and 60 days of applications paddy

Antagonists	Food bases	Colony forming units (in 10000s) per g of soil				
		7 days after application	30 days after application	60 days after application		
Trichoderme harzianum a "	Peddy straw Wheat bran Rico	136.85 (4.918) 147.65 (4.994) 22.10 (3.095)	14.49 (2.673) 166.66 (5.115) 132,18 (4.884)	4.51 (1.506) 69.36 (4.239) 63.05 (4.143)		
C.D. (0.05)		0.150	0.063	0.097		
<u>T. longibrachiatum</u>	Rice bran Rice Cowpea	22.12 (3.096) 25.64 (3.244) 15.56 (2.744)	19.95 (2.993) 263.08 (5.572) 18.49 (2.917)	5.87 (1.769) 4.98 (1.605) 1.41 (0.343)		
C.D.(0.05)		0.106	0.039	0.064		
Penicillium citrinum	Cowpea Wheat bran Rice	220.26 (5.394) 2302.63(7.741) 655.46 (6.486)	163.14 (5.094) 231.02 (5.442) 288.21 (5.663)	69.10 (4.235) 89.29 (4.491) 55.74 (4.026)		
C.D. (0.05)		0.038	0.061	0.135		
Bacillus subtilis	Rice bran Rice Wheat bran	270.27 (5.599) 542.55 (6.296) 407.02 (6.008)	114.09 (4.736) 282.05 (5.642) 166.66 (5.115)	16.25 (2.788) 23.26 (3.146) 16.95 (2.830)		
C.D. (0.05) Untreated control		0.010	0+030 0+00	0.021		

Table 10. Colony forming units of <u>Trichoderma herzianum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>Penicillium</u> <u>citrinum</u> and <u>Bacillus aubtilis</u> in the rhizosphere of black pepper amended with food based antagonist

Logarithmic transformation was used for analysis. Transformed values are given in parentheses.

.

.



Fig.15. Population of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> at different intervals in black pepper rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.

ċ

straw based antagonist recorded the minimum population counts. Wheat bran-antagonist preparation was found to be superior to all other food bases tested during the entire period of observation.

#### 2.3.2. Trichoderma longibrachiatum

Rice based antagonist recorded the maximum number of propagules (25.64 x 10<sup>4</sup> cfu per g) in the rhizosphere soil at 7 days followed by rice bran (22.12 x 10<sup>4</sup>) and cowper (15.56 x 104). The same trend was also noticed at 30 days of incculation (Teble 10 and Fig.16). In the case of rice, a sudden increase in the population count to 263.08 x 10<sup>4</sup> cfu per g of soil was observed at 30 days and thereafter the population count declined  $(4.98 \times 10^4)$ . The population count in the rhizosphere soil emended with cowpea entagonist preparation slightly increased to 30 days of inoculation but declined subsequently. The number of propagules in the rhizosphere soil amended with rice bran based entagonist declined after 7 days but it recorded the maximum population count at 60 days. Minimum count of the population at 60 days was noticed in phigosphere soil smended with cowpea.

There was significant difference among the treatments. Rice based antagonist was found to be superior during



Fig.16. Population of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> at different intervals in black pepper rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.

the first two observations though during the last observation rice bran out-yielded to rice and cowpea. Cowpea based entagonist recorded the minimum population count throughout the period of observation.

#### 2.3.3. Penicillium citrinum

Among the food bases used for <u>P</u>. <u>citrinum</u> wheat bran based entagonist recorded the maximum count at 7 days of inoculation (2302.63 x  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil) followed by rice (656.64 x  $10^4$ ) and cowpea (220.26 x  $10^4$ ). The population count in the rhizosphere soil declined with regard to all three food bases during subsequent observations. But at 30 days, rate of decline was more with wheat branentagonist preparation. Rice-antagonist preparation recorded the maximum population count at 30 days(Fig.17).

Wheat bran was found superior to other food bases at 7 days of inoculation but at 30 days rice was found to be the best. At 60 days of inoculation, wheat bran proved to be superior while rice and cowpee were on par.

#### 2.3.4. Bacillus subtilis

The maximum population count was recorded in the rhizosphere soil amended with rice <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> preparation





Fig.17. Population of <u>R</u>. <u>citrinum</u> at different intervals in black pepper rhizosphere amended with food based antagonist.

followed by wheat bran and rice bran throughout the period of observation. At 7 days of inoculation, rice-B. <u>subtilis</u> preparation yielded 542.55 x  $10^4$  colonies per g of soil followed by wheat bran (407.02 x  $10^4$ ) and rice bran (270.27 x  $10^4$ ). The population count declined with regard to all three food bases tried after 7 days of inoculation (Fig.18).

There was significant difference among the treatments and rice based antegonist was found to be superior to wheat bran and rice bran throughout the period of observation.

3. Effect of cerrier based antegonists in controlling collar rot and web blight of coupes caused by <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u>, soft rot of ginger caused by <u>Pythium myriotylum</u> and quick wilt (foot rot) of black pepper caused by <u>Phytophthora pelmivora</u>

The four entagonistic microorganisms which were found to be effective in <u>in vitro</u> studies against <u>R</u>. <u>soleni</u> <u>P</u>. <u>myriotylum</u> and <u>P</u>. <u>palmivora</u> were applied to standing crops of groupes, ginger and papper raised in pots as mentioned in Materials and Methods. In all the cases, the plants were inoculated with the concerned pathogen after 7 days of introduction of food based antagonists to soil.





# 3.1. Collar rot and web blight of cowpea incited by <u>R. solani</u>

Four antegonistic microorganisms viz., <u>T. harzianum</u> <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, <u>A. terreus</u> and <u>B. subtilis</u> were applied to standing crop of cowpea. After 7 days of application of entegonist, the plants were inoculated with pure culture of <u>R. solani</u>.

The symptom of collar rot first appeared on untreated plants after 7 days of inoculation with the pathogen. Even in control pots no web blight symptoms could be observed during the period of observation. Table 11 summarises the data on the observations.

The disease incidence was 93.33 per cent in control pots which did not receive any antagonistic organism but inoculated with the pathogen. When compared to untreated control, disease incidence was less in plants which received entagonist and on an average 42.70 per cent disease was noticed.

Of the four antegonists tried, <u>T</u>. <u>longibrechiatum</u> was found to be most effective in checking down the infection. Overall only 37.75 per cent infection was observed. The lowest infection per cent of 26.67 was observed on plants receiving <u>T</u>. <u>longibrschiatum</u> grown in rice.

	Treatment		No.of . plents	No.of plants infected	Percentage of infected plants
spergillu	<u>terreus</u> g	rown in rice	30	20	66.67
48	<sup>n</sup> gi	cown in wheat bran	30	. 10	33.33
. 83	<sup>18</sup> . gi	rown in cowpea	<b>30</b>	11	36.67
richoderma	longibrac	<u>niatum</u> grown in rice	30	8	26.67
<b>.</b>	° gi	cown in rice bran	30	12	40.00
¢1	" <b>g</b> i	cown in cowpea	. 30	14	46.67
. <u>herzian</u>	un grown in	wheat bran	30	11	36.67
69	°, gi	rown in rice	30	15	50.00
· • • • •	" gi	own in paddy straw	30	16	53.33
Bacillus su	<u>ubtilis</u> grou	n in wheat bran	30	14	46.67
63	" 93	cown in rice bran	30	. 9	30.00
8	<sup>0</sup> gr	own in rice	30	14	46.67
atreated (	control		30	28	93.33

Table 11. Effect of different antagonists grown in various food bases on disease incidence caused by <u>Rhizoctonia</u> <u>solani</u> in cowpea

E. <u>aubtilis</u> was also found to be effective in checking down the infection. The percentage of infection recorded was 41.11. Among the three food bases tried, rice bran - <u>B. subtilis</u> preparation recorded a minimum of 30 per cent of infection.

<u>A. terreus</u> and <u>T. harzianum</u> also proved to be effective in checking down disease incidence when compared to control. In <u>A. terreus</u> treated plants, average infection was 45.55 per cent and the least infection (33.33 per cent) was noticed in plants treated with wheat bran <u>A terreus</u> preparation. <u>T. herzianum</u> also showed the same trend with 46.67 per cent disease incidence. Wheat bran<u>T. herzianum</u> proparation recorded the minimum disease incidence (36.67 per cent).

#### 3.2. Soft rot of ginger incited by P. myriotylum

The disease symptom was first observed on untreated plants on the 7th day of inoculation with the pathogen. Subsequently infection was noted in treated plants elso. The data on disease incidence in different treatments are presented in Table 12.

Both <u>P</u>. <u>simplicisaimum</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> were found to be effective in checking down the infection.

5 	frætnent .		No.of plants	No.of plents infected	Percentage of infected plants
enicillium	simplicissimum	grown in wheat bran	15	2	13.33
19	Q	grown in rice	15	Q	0.00
ü	43	grown in cowpea	15	2	13.33
<u>Frichoderma</u>	longibrachiatu	n grown in cowpea	15	3	20.00
58	64	grown in rice	15	0	0.00
#	<b>4</b> 3	grown in rica bran	15	5	33.33
<u>r. harzianu</u>	grown in whea	t bran	15	15	199.00
п	grown in padd	y straw	15	15	100.00
<b>80</b>	grown in rice		15	13	86.67
Bacillus sub	<u>stilis</u> grown in	rice bran	15	14	93.33
54	grown in whea	t bran	15	11	73.34
	grown in rice		15	15	100.00
Intreated co	ontrol		15	15	100.00

# Table 12. Effect of different antegonists grown in various food bases on disease incidence caused by <u>Pythium myriotylum</u> in ginger

Cut of 45 plants treated with food based <u>P. simplicissimum</u>, only 4 plants were found to be infected by the pathogen, i.e., 8.69 per cent. Rice based <u>P. simpliciesimum</u> was found to be the best treatment and no disease incidence was noted in this case. In the case of <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>, 17.77 per cent disease incidence was observed end plants receiving <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> grown in rice did not show any symptom of soft rot.

In <u>B. subtilis</u> and <u>T. harzianum</u> treated plants infection per cents were 88.69 and 95.96 respectively. In control, cent per cent infection was noticed.

Of the four antigonists tried equinst <u>P</u>. <u>myriotylum</u>, <u>P</u>. <u>simplicissimum</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> were found to be effective in checking the infection. <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>herzianum</u> were not at all effective in suppressing the disease symptoms.

3.3. Quick wilt (foot rot) of black pepper incited by <u>P. pelmivora</u>

The attempt to produce symptoms of quick wilt in potted plents by artificial inoculation did not succeed. This may be due to lack of congenial environmental conditions for infection during the period of study. Therefore the effect of the antagonists on the disease were not obtained.

Discussion

#### DISCUSSION

Biocontrol agents represent a living dynamic The development of a formulation containing a svatem. viable microorganism is one of the most difficult challenges in biological control. In the attempts to develop effective systems of growth and delivery of antagonists, scientists have proposed the possibility of using different carrier based formulations. The fact that direct soil augmentation with biocontrol agents has a greater impact on soil-borne plant pathogens, when the agent is introduced with a proper food base rather than without, has provided an impetus to search for new food base sources for mass multiplication. A variety of materials such as cereal grains, agricultural bye-products and peat has been put to evaluation in in vitro by several workers (Turner and Tribe, 1975; Ahmed and Tribe, 1977; Henis et al., 1978; 1979; Sivan et al., 1984; Padmansban and Alexander, 1986).

In the present study efficacy of different food bases was determined by inoculating the same with the selected antagonist and estimating the population dynamics at different intervals of incubation. The growth pattern and
survival ability of six antegonists in different food bases are discussed.

Population dynamics of antagonist in food bases
 1.1. Rice

Rice was found to be the best medium for growth and sporulation of <u>T</u>. <u>hargianum</u> (Table 2 and Fig.1). The growth of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> in rice was better than in all other food bases except rice bran (Table 3 and Fig.2). For <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u> and <u>P</u>. <u>citrinum</u>, rice was found to be a promising food base based on the colony count at 15 days of incubation (Table 4). Rice recorded the maximum number of colony forming units of <u>P</u>. <u>simplicissimum</u> (Table 6 and Fig.5) and <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> the minimum number (Table 7 and Fig.6) after two weeks of incubation. For <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> the maximum number of vieble colonies was recorded in rice up to 135 days of incubation when compared to other food bases.

Among the six antagonists tried, <u>P</u>. <u>simplicissimum</u> recorded the maximum count end <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> minimum count in rice. The low plate count of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> may be attributed to its lesser ability of sporulation when compared to the other test fungi. The viable count of all the organisms declined after 15 days of incubation except <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> where it increased up to 75 days and thereafter

it declined. The main organic fraction in rice is starch which is an easily utilisable form of substrate for organisms and this may be the reason for the decline of population noted after prolonged incubation. In general milled rice was found to be a promising growth medium for almost all the isolates tested.

This was the first attempt to find out the suitability of rice as a food base for the antegonists tested in the present study. Milled rice has been successfully used by Turner and Tribe (1975) and Ahmed and Tribe (1977) for mass multiplication of <u>Coniothyrium minitans</u>. This information together with the results of the present study indicates the suitability of milled rice as a food base for a variety of antegonists.

## 1.2. Wheet bran

The growth and multiplication of all the isolates in the food base wheat bran was quite promising except <u>T. longibrachiatum</u>. Wheat bran was found to be a good medium for the growth of <u>T. harzianum</u> (Table 2 and Fig.1). Many workers reported the use of wheat bran as a growth medium for <u>T. harzianum</u>. Hadar <u>et al</u>. (1979) found that wheat bran was the best medium for growth and sporulation of <u>T. harzianum</u>. Gangadharan and Jeyarajan (1988) obtained good growth of <u>T. harzianum</u> and <u>T. viride</u> in wheat bran. The population count recorded were 22.0 x  $10^6$  and 21.9 x  $10^6$  cfu per g of substrate respectively. In addition to this, reports on the suitability of wheat bran as a major component in growth medium have appeared recently (Elad <u>et al.</u>, 1980; Lewis and Papavizas, 1984; Sivan <u>et al.</u>, 1984; Chang <u>et al.</u>, 1986; Mukhopadyay <u>et al.</u>, 1986).

In the case of T. longibrachiatum, growth was slow in the initial stages and profuse mycelial growth was observed after two weeks of incubation (Table 3 and Fig.2). The growth of A. terreus (Table 4 and Fig.3) and P. citrinum (Table 5 and Fig.4) was best in wheat bran based on the colony count obtained at 15 days of incubation. Wheat bran was found to be a good medium for P. simplicissimum (Table 6 and Fig.5) and B. subtilia (Toble 7 and Fig.6). The visble counts of all the organisms declined after 15 days of incubation except that of Trichoderma spp. where it increased up to 45 days and then declined. P. citrinum recorded the maximum number of colony forming units in wheat bran and T. longibrachiatum the least after two weeks of incubation. The sporulating ability of T. longibrachiatum is low when compared to other fungel antagonists tried and this may be the reason for low count. High population count

of <u>P</u>. <u>citrinum</u> indicates that wheat bran may be best suited for growth of this fungus.

1.3. Paddy straw

Paddy straw was found to be a good medium for growth of T. harzianum only next to wheat bren and rice (Table 2 and Fig.1). Even though the population count declined after two weeks of incubation, it harboured the maximum number of viable propagules at 105 days of incubation when compared to other food bases. Colony counts recorded at different intervals revealed that the growth of T. longibrachiatum (Table 3 and Fig.2) and P. simplicissimum (Table 6 and Fig.5) was poor in paddy straw when compared to other test fungi. In the case of A. terreus (Table 4 and Fig.3). paddy straw recorded a moderately good number of colony forming units at 15 days and the population count increased up to 45 deys and thereafter declined. In the initial stages of incubation, the growth of P. citrinum (Table 5 and Fig.4) and B. subtilis (Table 7 and Fig.6) was slow but thereafter an increase was observed up to 75 days and 45 days respectively. The survival ability of all the organisms tried except P. simplicissimum was better in peddy strew. The major organic fraction in peddy strew is cellulose and this component is comparatively resistant

to microbial degradation. The residual carbon present in the substrate may provide the source for subsequent growth and survival. Among the antegonists tried, <u>A. terreus</u> recorded the maximum population count in paddy straw and <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> the minimum count.

Gangadharan and Jeyarajan (1988) observed poor growth of  $\underline{T}$ . <u>viride</u> and  $\underline{T}$ . <u>harzianum</u> in paddy straw when compared to all other substrates tried. They obtained a population count of 10.1 x 10<sup>6</sup> and 21 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate for  $\underline{T}$ . <u>viride</u> and  $\underline{T}$ . <u>harzianum</u> respectively. Wheat straw and cotton straw were used as substrates for growth of  $\underline{T}$ . <u>harzianum</u> by Sivan <u>et al.</u> (1984). After 7 days of incubation, they obtained a population count of 490 x 10<sup>6</sup> and 210 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g of substrate respectively. In the present study, the population count recorded in paddy straw after two weeks of incubation was 473.43 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu per g substrate. Paddy straw was found to be the good food base for the survival of the antagonists for a prolonged period of incubation especially in the case of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u>, <u>Å</u>. <u>terreus</u>. <u>P</u>. <u>citrinum</u> and <u>B</u>. <u>aubtilis</u>.

1.4. Rice bran

Among the seven food bases tried for  $\underline{T}$ . <u>hargianum</u> rice bran was found only better than soil + dried cowdung

and forest soil (Table 2 and Fig.1). Rice bran proved to be the best medium for <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> as observed from the population count at 15 days of incubation (Table 3 and Fig.2). Rice bran also recorded maximum viable count of the test fungus throughout the period of observation. For <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> rice bran was found to be a promising growth medium (Table 7 and Fig.6). Rice bran was found to harbour a moderate number of viable propagules of <u>E</u>. <u>citrinum</u> (Table 5 and Fig.4) and <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u> (Table 4 and Fig.3). With regard to rice bran, <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> recorded the maximum number of colonies and <u>P</u>. <u>simplicissimum</u> (Table 6 and Fig.5) the least. Rice bran was not a good medium for mass multiplication of <u>T</u>. <u>viride</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> (Gangadharan and Jeyarajan, 1988). This is in agreement with the present study.

1.5. Cowpea

The growth and sporulation of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> in cowpea was better than in rice bran, forest soil and soil + cowdung at 15 days of incubation (Table 2 and Fig.1). For <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u>, rice bran and rice were followed by cowpea in the efficacy as a food base which recorded a population count of 125 x  $10^6$  cfu per g substrate (Table 3 and Fig.2). Cowpea was found to be a good medium for growth

and sporulation of A. terreus (Table 4 and Fig.3) and P. citrinum (Table 5 and Fig.4) at 15 days of incubation. The viable counts declined after two weeks of incubation with respect to the entegonists T. herzianum, T. longibrachiatum and P. citrinum. No count was obtained from 75th day onwards. In the case of A. terrous, no viable count was recorded from 45th day of incubation. The growth of P. simplicissimum increased up to 45 days and thereafter it declined (Table 6 and Fig.5). The population count of B. subtilis declined after two weeks of incubation (Table 7 and Fig.6). The major organic fraction in cowpea is protein which is an easily utilisable form and since the residual carbon source is negligible, the survival ability of the antagonists might have lost. P. citrinum recorded the maximum number of colony forming units in cowpes and T. longibrachistum the minimum count.

There are no earlier studies on the use of cowpea as a growth medium for fungal or bacterial antagonists. The present study revealed that cowpea was not a good medium for any of the antagonist tested compared to other food bases under investigation.

1.6. Forest soil

The growth of antagonists in forest soil was poor. Among the antagonists tried, <u>T. harzienum</u> recorded the maximum

count (Table 2 and Fig.1) and B. subtilis the minimum count (Table 7 and Fig.6) at 15 days of incubation. The poor growth may be attributed to the low organic content when compared with other substrates used. This result confirms the earlier findings of Gangadharan and Jayarajan (1988). They observed poor growth of T. harzianum (11.0 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu) and T. viride (10.0 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu) in peat soil. The decline of population during prolonged incubation period was not so pronounced in the case of forest soil. Apart from this, the population of B. subtilis was on an increasing trand from the initial period upto 165 days of incubation. Since the organic matter in forest soil is in the form of humus which is domineted by cellulose and lignin, it is presumable that there will be enough source of carbon to support the growth of the microorganism for longer periods. Isweran et al. (1969) and Rao (1977) have successfully used post-like material available in India for mass multiplication of Rhizobium, a nitrogen fixing bacterium. Even though forest soil did not yield high colony count throughout the period of incubation, the survival ability of antagonists was better in this medium when compared to other food bases tried.

1.7. Soil + dried cowdung (1:1)

In soil + cowdung elso, the growth of antegonists was poor. Gangadharan and Jeyarajan (1988) tested the efficacy of dried farm yerd manure as a substrate for <u>T. horzianum and T. viride</u>. They observed poor growth of these organisms in this medium. In the present study soil 4 cowdung was found to be a poor medium for growth of <u>T. harzianum</u>. The growth of <u>P. citrinum</u> (Table 5 and Fig.4) and <u>B. subtilis</u> (Table 7 and Fig.6) was better in soil 4 cowdung than in forest soil. This may be due to the added effect of cowdung present in the medium. In addition to carbon, cowdung provide nutrients which are easily utilised by microorganisms. Lakahmi <u>et al.</u> (1977) reported that farm yard manure (FYM) + soil, FYM elone or FYM + charcoal supported the survival of <u>Azospirillum</u> upto 31 weeks.

Based on the growth habits of the different isolates in the various media, three promising food bases were selected for each antagonist for further evaluation. Rice was selected as a common medium for all six antagonists while wheat bran was used for all except <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u>. Rice bran was chosen for <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> and <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u>. Cowpea was selected for <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u>, <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u>,

<u>P. citrinum</u> and <u>P. simplicissimum</u>. Paddy straw was used as a food base only for <u>T. herzianum</u>.

2. Pot culture experiment

The three food bases selected for each antagonist based on the growth and survival were tried equinat the soil borne pathogens viz., <u>Rhizoctonia</u>, <u>Pythium</u> and <u>Phytophthora</u>. The efficacy of food bases were evaluated in pot culture based on the population size of the introduced antagonist in the rhizosphere of crop plants and the percentage of disease incidence.

2.1. Enumeration of antagonists in crop rhizosphere

The population of introduced entagonist in the rhizosphere was estimated at one week, one month and two months of introduction by serial dilution and plate count technique.

Among the three food bases tried for <u>T. horzienum</u>, wheat bran preparation was found to harbour the maximum number of propagules in the rhizosphere of all the three crop plants viz., cowpea, ginger and pepper by the first week of incculation (Table 8, 9 and 10). Though there was a gradual reduction in population of antegonist in the rhizosphere of cowpea and ginger, a slight increase was noted in case of pepper after one week. A similar trend was also noticed in the pepper rhizosphere amended with rice - <u>T</u>. <u>hargianum</u> preparation. Among the three crops, ginger was found to have a pronounced rhizosphere effect in the proliferation of wheat bran based antegonist in the rhizosphere. But a quantitative estimation attempted by Lewis and Papavizas (1984) in non-rhizosphere soil has revealed the possibility of multiplication of carrier based antegonists introduced into the soil. They have recorded an increase from  $10^4$  to  $5 \times 10^7$  cfu per g of soil by the third week of incubation and thereafter the population was found to stabilize followed by a decline in viable count and reaching  $10^4$  cfu per g of soil.

The growth of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> was favoured in the rhizosphere of ginger and cowpea amended with rice bran -<u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> preparation when compared with other food bases (Table 8, 9 and 10). The antagoniat grown in rice was found to perform better in ginger and pepper rhizosphere soil as evidenced by the estimated population counts at one month of introduction.

A. <u>terreus</u> was tried only against <u>R. solani</u>. Based on the estimation, wheat bran was found to be the most suitable food base with regard to survival of antegonist in cowpea rhizosphere (Table 8 and Fig.9). The fact that a

fairly high population of the antagonist recorded at different intervals indicates that the other two food bases can also support the growth of the antagonist in rhizosphere soil of cowpea.

Wheat bran was found to be a better substrate in maintaining the population of <u>P. simplicissimum</u> in the rhizosphere of ginger (Table 9 and Fig.13). This fungus was only tried against <u>Pythium</u>.

The antagonist grown in wheat bran survived better in the rhizosphere of pepper after introduction into soil when compared with other two food bases tried for <u>P</u>. <u>citrinum</u> (Table 10 and Fig. 17).

The only bacterial antagonist used in this study was tried against all three pathogens. The food base rice was found to exhibit a pronounced effect on the multiplication of the antagonist in the rhizosphere of cowpea and pepper as indicated by higher population counts recorded up to one month of introduction. But contrary to this, rate of multiplication of <u>B. subtilis</u> in ginger rhizosphere emended with wheet bren - <u>B. subtilis</u> in ginger rhizosphere emended with wheet bren - <u>B. subtilis</u> and rice - <u>B. subtilis</u> preparation (Table 8, 9 and 10). The data recorded on population size of the antegonist in the rhizosphere soil of cowpea, ginger and pepper amended with carrier based antegonist showed a gradual decline in the population. Exceptions are rice - <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> and cowpea - <u>T</u>. <u>longibriachiatum</u> preparation in ginger rhizosphere and wheat bran; rice - <u>T</u>. <u>harzienum</u>, rice-and cowpea - <u>T</u>. <u>longibrechiatum</u> in pepper rhizosphere. The decline in population count indicated that the antegonist failed to proliferate in the rhizosphere. This may be due to many environmental factors such as competition for food by native soil microbes and the antegonistic effect of the microbial flore in the soil.

On a perusal of the data of <u>in vitro</u> evaluation on the growth and survival of antagonists in different food bases and the population size estimated after introduction of antagonist in crop rhizosphere indicated no relation between the population count in the food base and survival ability of antagonist in rhizosphere soil. This variation may be attributed to the prevailing biotic and abiotic environment which may enhance or inhibit the growth of the particular antagonist.

The present study on the estimation of antagonistic population in the rhizosphere of three different crop plants

revealed that there exists difference in stimulation of the antagonist in the rhizosphere not only with regard to cerrier meteriel but also crop plants. The influence of different food bases on the growth of the antegonist may be explained in terms of nutrient content of food bases. Wright (1955) and (1956) has already found that appropriate food bases are essential for the production of antibiotics. The presence of nutrients within the preparation probably favours the establishment and activity of the antagonist in the soil giving it some advantages over the soil microorganisms (Mangenot and Diem, 1979). The difference with regard to crop plants may be attributed to the rhizosphere effect which indicates the overall influence of plant roots on soil microorganisms. Several factors such as soil type, pH, moisture, temperature and age and conditions of plants are known to influence the rhizosphere effect. One of the most important factors responsible for rhizosphere effect is a great variety of organic substances available at the root region by way of exudates from roots which directly or indirectly influence the quality and quantity of microorganisms in the root region. The substances exuded by plant roots include amino acids, sugars, organic acids, vitemins, nucleotides and many other unidentified substances. The nature and amount of substances thus exuded

are dependent on the species of the plant, age end environmental conditions under which they grow (Reo, 1977).

2.2. Control of collar rot of cowpea, soft rot of ginger and quickwilt of pepper using carrier based antagonists

Among the four antagonists A. terreus, T. harzienum, T. longibrachiatum and B. subtilis tried against R. solani. T. longibrachiatum was found to be the best antegonist in checking down the collar rot symptoms in cowpea. Several studies have been conducted in India and abroad to assess the potential of different species of Trichoderma against the pathogen R. solani and the results on the successful control of diseases caused in many crop plants are available. Majority of the reports highlight the efficacy of T. herzianum as a successful antegonist against Rhizoctonia (Akthar, 1977; Hadar et al., 1979; Henis et al., 1979; Eled et al., 1980a; 1980b; Lewis and Pepavizas, 1980; Lifshitz et al., 1985; Elad et al., 1986; Cole and Zvenyika, 1988). Many workers have used wheat bran as a food base for the introduction of T. hargienum to soil (Hedar et al., 1979; Henis et al. 1976; 1979; Elad et al., 1986). Elad et al. (1980a) obtained successful control of damping-off of beans caused by R.solani by using wheatbran + sawdust mixture as a carrier for T. harzianum. But the present study clearly showed the

superiority of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrechistum</u> over <u>T</u>. <u>harzienum</u> in checking the disease. Out of the three food bases used for <u>T</u>. <u>longibrechiatum</u> rice based preparation recorded the minimum disease severity indicating the efficacy of rice as a food base for the entegonist <u>T</u>. <u>longibrechiatum</u>.

There was a reduction in disease severity in <u>B. subtilis</u> pots also when compared to other two antogonists viz.. <u>A. terreus and T. herzienum</u>. Similar reports on the control of many crop diseases incited by <u>R. solani</u> using <u>B. subtilis</u> are available. Damping off the radish (Olsen and Baker, 1968), demping-off of pepper (Broadbent <u>et al</u>.. 1971) and wheat rot disease (Merriman <u>et al</u>.. 1974) are some of the <u>Rhizoctonia</u> diseases successfully controlled by the antagonist <u>B. subtilis</u>. Among the three food bases tried in the present study, rice bran based antagonist exhibited the maximum efficacy in checking down the collar rot symptom. <u>A. terreus</u> grown in wheat bran and cowpes also showed a fairly good amount of disease suppression. However the same antagonist multiplied in rice recorded the maximum disease severity among all the treatments.

A comparison of the data recorded on the number of colony forming units with the data on the percentage incidence of disease indicated that a direct correlation

exists only in the case of <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u> wherein wheat bran based antagonist recorded the maximum population density in the cowpea rhizosphere as well as minimum disease severity. However the antagonists <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u>, <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> and <u>B. subtilis</u> did not show any direct correlation.

Among the four antogonists viz., T. herzianum, T. longibrachiatum, P. simplicissimum and B. subtilis tried against Pythium inciting soft rot of ginger, P. simplicissimum and T. longibrachiatum were found to be the most promising antagonists. There are no earlier studies on the use of P. simplicissimum and T. longibrachiatum as a biocontrol egent of Pythium myriotylum. Many workers obtained control of Pythium infection in various crops with Trichoderms spp. (Bright, 1956; Liu and Vaughan, 1965; Fajola and Alasoadura, 1975; Yehia et al., 1981; Padmanaban and Alexander, 1984; 1986; 1987; Sivan et al., 1984; Mukhopadhyay and Chandra, 1986; Lifshitz et al., 1986s and Ahmad and Baker, 1988). Sivan et al. (1984) and Mukhopadhyay and Chandra (1986) obtained control of Fythium desping off when carrier based T. harzianum was added to soil. A comparison of the three food bases used to culture the antagonist inoculum revealed the efficacy of rice as a growth medium over the other food bases tried for P. simpliciasimum (wheat bran and cowpea) and T. longibrachiatum (rice bran and cowpea). While no disease symptoms could be

observed in any of the plants treated with rice based <u>P. simplicissimum</u> inoculum, wheat bran and cowpea based antegonist recorded a same percentage of disease incidence (13.33). <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> was also found to give a reasonable control but <u>T. herzianum</u> and <u>B. subtilis</u> did not show any profound effect in checking down the disease.

The superiority of rice as a growth medium for T. longibrachiatum over the other substrates was also evidenced by the complete suppression of disease symptoms in potted plants. The only bacterial antagonist tried did not show any effect in checking down the pathogen. Though a direct correlation between the number of colony forming units of antagonist present in the rhizosphere and the disease severity could not be observed during the early days of inoculation, the population estimate done one month after application indicated that the rhizosphere amended with rice based antagonists harboured the maximum number of propagules. The population size during the first week of application was more in rice bran based T. longibrachiatum treatments and wheat bran based P. simplicissimum treatments. But a faster rate of decline in population was noted in these treatments compared to treatments which received rice based antagonist.

Efficacy of different food based antegonists in the control of quickwilt disease of pepper was not possible from the present study due to the failure in inducing disease symptoms artificially. This may be due to the prevalence of unfavourable environmental conditions for the development of disease during the period of study. Rhizosphere soil amended with food base - <u>P. citrinum</u> preparation harboured good number of viable propagules at one weak after introduction to soil. Among the three food bases tried, wheatbran - <u>P. citrinum</u> preparation yielded maximum number of viable propagules in rhizosphere soil.

Though many workers have reported that reduction in the activity of a pathogen is often correlated with an increase in the population of the antagonist as assessed in rhizosphere soil samples (Papavizas and Davey, 1960; Zentmyer, 1963; Vruggink, 1970; Vojinovic, 1973; Atkinson at al., 1975) no such correlation was observed in the present study. This may be attributed to the inherent drawback of the dilution plate count technique which often gives an over estimation of viable count of profusely sporulating fungus than those which are poor spore producers such as <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> as observed in the present study.

An overall review of the data on the growth of entegonist in different food bases, establishment of introduced antagonist in the rhizosphere of crop plants and the effect of introduced antagonist on disease incidence revealed that the treatment responses are quite variable. The antegonistic activity of microorganisms in soil is influenced by the type of the antegonist, host and existing environmental conditions. T. longibrechiatum grown in milled rice was found to be the best combination in reducing the disease incidence both in the case of coller rot of cowpea caused by R. solani and soft rot of ginger caused by P. myriotylum. Apart from this isolate, P. simplicissimum grown in rice was found to be equally . good in controlling soft rot. Besides rice, cowper and wheat bran were also found promising as a growth medium for P. simplicissimum. B. subtilis - wheat bran preparation and T. herzianum - wheat bren preparation were also found to be effective in reducing disease incidence in cowpea.

Summary

-----

## SUMMARY

Techniques for mass multiplication and production of antagonistic microflora recently isolated from the forest soils of Kerela for the biocontrol of soil-borne pathogens viz., Rhizoctonia, Pythium and Phytophthora were investigated. The antagonists used were Trichoderma hersienum, T. longibrachiatum, Aspergillus terreus, Penicillium citrinum, P. simplicissimum and Bacillus subtilis. The food bases tried were rice, wheat bran, paddy straw, rice bran, cowpea, forest soil and soil + dried cowdung. The growth and survival of the antagonist in various food bases were estimated by in vitro evaluation. A pot culture experiment was laid out during the period from March to September, 1989 at the College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara to assess the population dynamics of the introduced antagonist in the rhizosphere of crop plants and to find out the effect of carrier based antagonists in controlling collar rot of cowpea caused by Rhizoctonia solani, soft rot of ginger caused by Pythium myriotylum and quick wilt (foot rot) of black papper caused by Phytophthora pelmivora.

A. Growth of antagonists in various food bases

1. Milled rice was found to be a promising growth medium for all the isolates tested.

<u>86</u>

2. Wheat bran was also found equally good for all the isolates except for  $\underline{T}$ . Longibrachiatum.

3. Rice bran was found to encourage the growth of <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> as well as <u>B. subtilis</u>.

4. Good growth of <u>A. terreus</u> and <u>F. citrinum</u> and moderate growth of <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> and <u>P. simplicissimum</u> were recorded with cowpea as a food base.

5. In general paddy straw, forest soil and soil \* cowdung were found to be poor substrates compared to others. However, paddy straw was found to be a good food base for the survival of antegonists for a prolonged period of incubation especially for <u>T. herzianum</u>, <u>A. terreus</u>, <u>P. citrinum</u> end <u>B. subtilis</u>

B. Population dynamics of introduced antagonists in rhizosphere of crop plants

1. <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> wheat bran preparation was found to harbour the maximum number of propagulas in the rhizosphere of ginger, cowpea and pepper up to 30 days of soil inoculation. At 60th day of introduction, rice based antagonist recorded the maximum number of viable propagules in cowpea rhizosphere and wheat bran based antagonist in ginger and pepper rhizospheres. 2. The survival ability of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> grown in rice bran was better in the rhizosphere of ginger and cowpea after introduction into soil. In pepper rhizosphere, <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> grown in rice survived better when compared to rice bran and cowpea antegonist preparations.

3. Wheat bran was found to be the most suitable food base for the survival of <u>A. terreus</u> in cowpea rhizosphere throughout the period of observation.

4. The rhizosphere of ginger amended with wheat bran based <u>P. simplicissimum</u> harboured the maximum number of visble propagules up to 30 days while rice based <u>P. simplicissimum</u> recorded the maximum number of visble propagules at 60 days of introduction.

5. P. <u>citrinum</u> grown in wheat bran survived better in the rhizosphere of pepper when compared with other food based antagonist.

6. Rice was found to be the best food base for the survival of <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> in the rhizosphere of cowpea and pepper up to 30 days of introduction. But after two months of soil inoculation, rice bran based <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> recorded the maximum number of viable propagules in cowpea rhizosphere.

7. Survival of <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> was better in ginger rhizosphere inoculated with wheat bran <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> preparation.

8. A decline in population count of carrier based antagonist was observed in the rhizosphere of ginger, cowpea and pepper after a week of introduction into soil. But contrary to this ginger rhizosphere amended with rica <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> and cowpea <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> preparation and pepper rhizosphere with wheat bren <u>T. herzianum</u>, rice <u>T. herzianum</u>, rice <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> and cowpea <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> preparation showed an increase in the number of viable propagules.

C. Control of collar rot of cowpea, soft rot of ginger and quick wilt of pepper using carrier based antagonists

1. <u>Trichoderma longibrachiatum</u> cultured in rice was found to be the most effective antagonist in checking down collar rot of cowpea followed by rice bran based <u>B. subtilis</u> and wheat bran based <u>Aspergillus terreus</u>.

2. Rice based <u>P. simplicissimum</u> and <u>T.longibrachiatum</u> were effective in suppressing soft rot symptoms in ginger.

3. Efficacy of antagonists based on the disease suppression could not be assessed in the case of pepper crop since development of symptoms by artificial inoculation was not successful.

9ყ

4. No correlation between the rhizosphere population of antegonists and disease severity could be noticed in most of the organisms tested except <u>A. terregus</u> with cowpea and <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> with ginger.



References

## REFERENCES

- Abd-el-moity, T.H. and Shatla, M.N. 1981. Biological control of white rot disease of onion (<u>Sclerotium</u> <u>cepivorum</u>) by <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>. <u>Phytopathologische</u> <u>Zeitschrift</u> 100: 29-35.
- Agrewal, S.C., Khare, M.N. and Agrawal, P.S. 1977. Biological control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> causing collar rot of lentil. <u>Indian Phytopath.30</u>: 176-179.
  - Ahmad, S.J. and Baker, R. 1988. Implications of rhizosphere competence of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>. <u>Can.J. Microbiol</u>. <u>34</u>: 229-234.
- Ahmed, A.H.M. and Tribe, H.T. 1977. Biological control of white rot of onion (<u>Sclerotium cepivorum</u>) by <u>Coniothyrium minitans. Pl.Path. 26</u>: 75-78.
- \*Akhtar, C.M. 1977. Biological control of some plant diseases lacking genetic resistance of the host crops in Pakistan. <u>Ann. NY. Acad. Sci. 287</u>: 45-56.
  - Alagarsamy, G., Rajan, F.S. and Jeyarajan, R. 1987.
    Biological control of seedling disease of cotton through organic amendments and antagonists.
     Abstr. of papers presented in Workshöp on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R.Jeyarajan, R. Narayanasamy and K.Sivaprakasam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12 March 1987.p.21.
  - Alexander, M. 1971. <u>Microbial Ecology</u>. John Wiley and Sons, New York, London. p. 207-223.
  - Anilkumar, T.B. and Gowda, K.T.P. 1983. Possible use of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> Rifai for the biological control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> Sacc. <u>J. Soil Biol.</u> <u>Ecology</u> <u>3</u>: 59-61.

Anonymous, 1986. <u>Package of Practices Recommendations</u>. Kerala Agricultural University, Trichur. pp. 239.

- Arjunan, G., Samiyappan, R., Shanmugan, N. and Jeysrajan, R. 1987. Control of soil-borne pathogens in tropical pulses by biological means. Abstr. of papers presented in Workshop on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R. Jeysrajan, R. Narayanasamy and K. Sivaprakasam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12 March 1987. p. 33.
- Atkinson, T.G., Neal, J.L. and Larson, R.I. 1975. Genetic control of the rhizosphere microflora of wheat. <u>Biology and Control of Soil-Borne Plant Pathogens</u> (ed. G.W.Bruehl). Am. Phytopeth. Soc., St. Paul, Minn. p. 116-122.
- Baker, K.F. and Cook, R.J. 1974. <u>Biological Control of Plant</u> <u>Pathogens</u>. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco. pp.380.
- Backman, P.A. and Rodriguez-Kabana, R. 1975. A system for growth and delivery of biological control agents to the soil. <u>Phytopsthology</u> 65: 819-821.
- Beagle-Ristenio, J.E. and Papavizes, G.C. 1965. Survival and proliferation of propegules of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>Gliocledium virens</u> in soil and in plant rhizospheres. <u>Phytopethology</u> 75: 729-732.
- <sup>( \*Bedlan, G. 1985.</sup> Test report on control of black rot in lettuce. <u>Pflenzenschuts</u> (10) 9-12.
- /\*Bedlan, G. 1988. The use of <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>viride</u> Pers. against <u>Rhizoctonia</u> <u>solani</u> Kuhn in field lettuce. <u>Pflanzenschutzberichte</u> 49: 27-33.

- Bhaskaran, R. and Seethareman, K. 1986. Biological control of pro-emergence damping-off of blackgram caused by <u>Macrophomina phaseolina</u>. Abstr. of pepers presented in the Saminar on Management of Scil-Borne Diseases of Crop Plants (eds R. Jeyarajan, R. Bhaskaran and N. Shenmugam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore p.31.
- Booselis, M.G. and Mankau, R. 1970. Parasitism and predation of soil microorganisms. <u>Ecology of Soil-Borne Plant</u> <u>Pathogens</u> (eds K.F.Baker and C.S.William). Univ. of California Press, Berkeley. p. 374-389.
- \*Broadbent, P., Baker, K.F. and Waterworth, Y. 1971. Bacteria and actinomycetes antegonistic to fungal root pathogens in Australian soils. <u>Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 24</u>: 925-944.
- \*Cemporota, P., Bordel, V. and Richard-Molard, N. 1988. Biological control of <u>Polymyxa betao</u> (Keskin) by means of <u>Trichoderme</u> sp. Freliminary results <u>in vivo</u>. <u>Agronomie</u> 8: 223-225.
- Cardoso, J.E. and Chandi, E.E. 1985. Control of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> root rot of beens with avirulent <u>Rhizoctonia</u>-like fungi. <u>Phytopethology</u> 75: 499.
- Chang, Y.C., Chang, Y.C., Baker, R., Kleifeld, O. and Chet, I. 1986. Increased growth of plants in presence of the biological control agent <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>. <u>Pl. Dis.</u> 70: 145-148.
- Chet, I., Hedar, Y., Elad, Y., Katan, J. and Henis, Y. 1979. Biological control of soil-borne plant pathogens by <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u>. <u>Soil-Borne Plant Pathogens</u> (eds B. Schippers and W. Gems). Academic Press, London. p. 585-591.

- Cole, J.S. and Zvenyika, Z. 1988. Integrated control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> and <u>Fuserium solani</u> in tobacco transplants with <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> and triadimenol. <u>Pl. Path. 37</u>: 271-277.
- Cordon, T.C. and Haenseler, C.M. 1939. A bacterium antegonistic to <u>Rhizoctonia</u> solani. <u>Soil</u> Sci. <u>47</u>: 207-215.
- De La Cruz, R.E. end Hubbell, D.H. 1975. Biological control of the chercoal root rot fungus <u>Macrophomine</u> <u>phaseoline</u> on slash pine seedlings by a hyperparasite. <u>Soil Biol. Biochem.</u> 7: 25-30.
- \*Dutte, P.K. and Hegde, R.K. 1987. Studies on two <u>Phytophthora</u> diaeases (Koleroga of arecanut and black pepper wilt). in Simoga district, Karnataka state. <u>Pl. Path. Newel</u>. 5: 29.
- "Elad, Y., Chet, I. and Katan, J. 1980a. <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>: A biccontrol agent effective egainst <u>Sclerotium</u> <u>rolfali</u> and <u>Rhizoctonia Solani</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>70</u>: 119-121.
- /\*Elad, I., Chet, I., Zaidan, C. and Henis, Y. 1980b. Control of Rhizoctonia root rot in strawberry. <u>Heasadeh</u> <u>60</u>: 1997-2000
- \*Elad, Y., Zvieli, Y. and Chet, I. 1986. Biological control of <u>Macrophomina phaseolina</u> (Tassi) Gold by <u>Trichoderma harsianum. Crop Protection 5: 288-292.</u>
  - El-Razik, A.A.A., El-Shabrawy, A.M., Sellam, M.A. and El-Rehim, M.H.A. 1985. Effectiveness of certain fungi and bacteria associated with sclerotic of <u>Sclerotium</u> <u>cepivorum</u> in upper Egypt soil on controlling white rot of onion. J. Phytopath. <u>17</u>: 107-114.

Fejola, A.O., and Alasoadura, S.O. 1975. Antagonistic effects of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> on <u>Pythium</u> <u>aphanidermatum</u>. <u>Mycopathologia</u> <u>57</u>: 47-52.

/ Gangadharan, K. and Jeyarajan, R. 1988. Techniques for mass multiplication of <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>virida</u> Pers.F. <u>T. harzianum</u> Rifai. <u>National Seminar on Management</u> of Crop diseases with Plant products/Biological Agents. T.N.A.U., A.C. & R.I., Madurai, p. 32-33.

. /Garrett, S.D. 1956. <u>Biology of Root Infecting Fungi.</u> Cambridge University Press, London. pp.292.

- Grinstein, A., Eled, Y., Katan, J. and Chet, I. 1979. Control of <u>Sclerotium rolfaii</u> by means of a herbicide and <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>. <u>Plant Dis. Reptr. 63</u>: 823-826.
- /Hadar, Y., Chet, I., Henis, Y. 1979. Biclogical control of <u>Ehizoctonia solani</u> damping-off with wheat bran culture of <u>Trichoderma herzianum</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>59</u>: 64-68.
- Harman, G.E., Chet, I. and Baker, R. 1980. <u>Trichoderma hamatum</u> offects on seed and seedling disease induced in radiah and pea by <u>Pythium spp.</u> or <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> 70: 1167-1172.
- Hegde, R.K., Kulkarni, S., Siddaramaiah, A.L. and Prasad, K.S.K. 1980. Biological control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> Sacc. causal agent of foot rot of wheat. <u>Curr. Res.</u> 9: 67-69.
- Henis, Y., Ghaffar, A. and Baker, R. 1978. Integrated control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> damping-off of radish: Effect of successive plantings, PCNE and <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> on pathogen and disease. <u>Phytopathology</u> 68: 900-907.
- Henis, Y., Elad, Y., Chet, I. and Hadar, Y. 1979. Control of soil-borne plant pathogenic fungi in carnation, strawberry and tomato by <u>Trichoderma herzianum</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> 69: 1031.

Hoch, H.C. and Abawi, G.S. 1979. Biological control of <u>Pythium</u> root rot of table best with <u>Corticium</u> sp. <u>Phytopathology</u> 69: 417-419.

- /Howell, C.R. and Stipanovic, R.D. 1980. Suppression of <u>Pythium ultimum</u> induced damping-off of cotton seedlings by <u>Pseudomonas fluorescens</u> and its antibiotic, pyoluteorin. <u>Phytopathology</u> 70: 712-715.
- /\*Huang, H.C. 1976. Biological control of Sclerotinia wilt in sunflower. <u>Ann. Conf. Manitoba Agron</u>. 1976. p.69-72.
- <sup>7</sup> Tchielevich-Auster, H., Such, B., Koltin, Y. and Barash, T. 1985. Suppression of damping-off caused by <u>Rhizoctonia</u> species by a non-pathogenic isolate of <u>R. solani</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> 75: 1080-1084.
- / Iswaran, V., Reo, W.V.B.S., Magu, S.P. and Jauhri, K.S.1969. Indian peat as a cerrier of <u>Rhizobium</u>. <u>Curr. Sci.</u> <u>35</u>: 468-469.
- <sup>(</sup>Jager, G. and Velvis, H. 1984. Biological control of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> <u>soleni</u> on potatoes by antagonists. 2. Sprout protection against soil-borne <u>R. soleni</u> through seed inoculation with <u>Verticillium biguttatum</u>. J. <u>Pl. Peth.90</u>: 29-33.
  - Jones, R.W., Pettit, R.E. and Taber, R.A. 1984. Lignite and stillage: carrier and substrate for application of fungal biocontrol agents to soil. <u>Phytopathology</u> 74: 1167-1170.
- / Kaiser, W.J. and Hannan, R.M. 1984. Biological control of seed rot and pre-emergence damping-off of chickpea with <u>Penicillium exclicum</u>. <u>Pl. Dis. 68</u>: 806-811.
- , Kandaawamy, R. and Frazed, N.N. 1971. Lignite as a carrier of rhizobia. <u>Curr. Sci. 40</u>: 496.

- Khare, M.N. 1968. The relationship of <u>Phytop#hthora</u> <u>fragerise</u> Hickman to the fungal flora in the rhizosphere of the strewberry plant. <u>Diss. Abstr. 28</u>: (128) 4836.
- Kommedehl, T. and Mew, I.C. 1975. Biocontrol of corn root infection in the field by seed treatment with antegonists. <u>Phytopathology</u> 65: 296-300.
- / Kommedahl, T. and Windels, G.E. 1978. Evaluation of biological seed treatment for controlling root diseases of pea. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>68</u>: 1087-1095.
- . Kwok, O.C.H., Fahy, P.C., Hoitink, H.A.J. and Kuter, G.A.1987. Interactions between bacteria and <u>Trichoderma hematum</u> in suppression of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> damping-off in bark compost media. <u>Phytopathology</u> 77: 1206-1212.
- / Lekshmi, V., Reo, A.S., Vijayalakshmi, M., Kumari, M.L., Tilak, K.V.B.R. and Rao, N.S.S. 1977. Establishment and survival of <u>Spirillum lipoferum</u>. <u>Proc. Indian</u> <u>Acsd. Sci. 86</u>: 397-404.
- /\*Les, Y.A. and Wu, W.S. 1984. The antagonisms of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and <u>Gliocladium virens</u> sgainst <u>Sclerotinis</u> <u>sclerotiorum</u>. <u>Pl. Protection Bull.</u>, Taiwan <u>26</u>: 293-304.
- /Lewis, J.A. and Papavizas, G.C. 1980. Integrated control of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> fruit rot of cucumber. <u>Phytopathology</u> 70: 85-89.
  - Lewis, J.A. and Papavizas, G.C. 1984. A new approach to stimulate population proliferation of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. and other potential biocontrol fungi introduced into natural soils. <u>Phytopathology</u> 74: 1240-1244.
- /Lewis, J.A. and Papavizas, G.C. 1985a. Characteristics of alginate pellets formulated with <u>Trichoderma</u> and <u>Gliocladium</u> and their effects on the proliferation of fungi in soil. <u>Pl. Path. 34</u>: 571-577.

Lewis, J.A. and Papavizas, G.C. 1985b. Effect of mycelial preparations of Trichoderma and <u>Gliocladium</u> on populations of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> solani and the incidence of damping-off. <u>Phytopathology</u> 75: 812-817.

- Lewis, J.A. and Papavizes, G.C. 1987. Application of <u>Trichoderma</u> and <u>Gliocladium</u> in alginate pellets for control of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> demping-off. <u>Pl. Path.</u> <u>36</u>: 438-446.
- /Mewis, J.A. and Papavizas, G.C. 1988. Biccontrol of <u>Rhisoctonia</u> <u>solani</u> (Rs) by some novel soil fungi. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>78</u>: 862.
- / Lifshitz, R., Lifshitz, S. and Baker, R. 1985. Decrease in incidence of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> pre-emergence damping-off by use of integrated chemical and biological controls. <u>Pl. Dis.</u> 69: 431-434.
  - /Lifshitz, R., Simonson, C., Scher, F.M., Kloepper, J.W., Rodrick-Semple, C. and Zeleska, T. 1986a. Effect of rhizobacteria on the severity of <u>Phytophthora</u> root rot of soybean, <u>Can. J. Pl. Path. 5</u>: 102-105.
- Lifshitz, R., Windham, M.T. and Baker, R. 1986b. Machanism of biological control of pre-emergence demping-off of pea by seed treatment with <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. <u>Phytopathology</u> 76: 720-725.
- Liu, S. end Vaughan, E.K. 1965. Control of <u>Pythium</u> infection in table best seedlings by antagonistic microorganisms. <u>Phytopsthology</u> 55: 986-989.

/\*Lockwood, J.L. 1977. Fungistasis in soils. Biol. Rev. 52: 1-43.

/\*Lozano, T.2.E. and Lopez, B.P. 1977. Preliminary studies on biological control of <u>Sclerotinia rolfsii</u> (Sacc.) in the Cordobe region. <u>Fitopatologia colombiana</u> 6: 67-72.

- Maiti, D. and Sen, C. 1985. Integrated biocontrol of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> with nitrogenous fertilizers and <u>Trichoderma harsianum</u>. <u>Indian J. agric. Sci.</u> <u>55</u>: 464-468.
- Maiti, D. and Sen, C. 1987. <u>Gliocladium virens</u>, a promising antagonist against <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u>. Abstr. of papers presented in Workshop on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R. Jeyrajan, R. Nareyanasamy and K. Siveprakasam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12 March 1987. p.7.
- Mall, S. 1975. Rhizoctonia diseases of legume crops as affected by <u>Trichoderma viride</u>. <u>Proc. Indian net</u>. <u>Sci. Acad., B</u> 41: 559-563.
- Manien, S. and Paulsamy, S. 1987. Biological control of Sheath blight disease of rice. Abstr. of papers presented in Workshop on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R. Jeysrajan, R. Norsyanasemy and K. Sivaprekasem). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12 March 1987. p. 9.
- Mangenot, F. and Diam, H.G. 1979. Fundamentals of biological control. <u>Ecology of Root Pathogens</u> (eds 5.V.Krupa and Y.R. Dommergues). Elsevier Scientific, Amsterdem, p. 207-265.
- Harshall, D.S. 1982. Effects of <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u> seed treatment and <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> inoculum concentration on damping-off of snep bean in acidic soils. <u>Pl. Dis. 66</u>: 788-789.
- Martin, J.P. 1950. Use of acid, rose bengal and streptomycin in the plate method for estimating soil fungi. Soil Sci. 69: 215-232.
- Martin, S.B., Abawi, G.S. and Hoch, H.C. 1984. Influence of the entagonist Lastisaria srvalis on infection of table beets by Phone betag. Phytopathology 74: 1092-1096.
- Martin, S.E., Abawi, G.S. and Hoch, H.C. 1986. The relation of population densities of the antagonist <u>Lactisaria arvalis</u> to seedling diseases of table best incited by <u>Pythium ultimum</u>. <u>Can. J. Microbiol</u>. 32: 156-159.
- \*Marupov, A. 1976. <u>Trichoderma</u> suppresses wilt. <u>Khlopkovodstvo</u> (10) 30.
- Merriman, P.R., Price, R.D. and Baker, K.F. 1974. The effect of inoculation of seed with antagonists of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> on the growth of wheat. <u>Aust. J. agric. Res.</u> 25: 213-218.
- \*Mew, T.W. and Rosales, A.N. 1984. Relationship of soil micro-organisms to rice sheath blight development in irrigeted and dryland rice cultures. <u>Technical Bull</u>. <u>ASPAC Food and Fertilizer Technology Center</u>, <u>Taiwan</u>(79) pp.11.
- Mew, T.W. and Roseles, A.M. 1986. Bacterization of rice plants for control of sheath blight caused by <u>Rhisoctonia solani. Phytopathology</u> 76: 1260-1264.
- Mitchell, R. and Hurwitz, E. 1965. Suppression of <u>Pythium</u> <u>Gebaryanum</u> by lytic rhizosphere bacteria. <u>Phytopethology</u> 55: 156-158.
- Moody, A.R. and Gindrat, D. 1977. Biological control of cucumber black root not by <u>Glicoledium roseum</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>67</u>: 1159-1162.
- Mukharjee, B., Khatua, D.C. and Sen, C. 1987. Potential entegonists of <u>Macrophomina phaseolina</u> and biocontrol of seedling blight of jute. Abstr. of papers presented in Workshop on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R. Jeyersjan, R. Narayanasamy and K. Sivaprakasam). Department of Plant Pathology. Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12 March 1987. p.21.

- Mukhopadhyay, A.N. 1987. Biocontrol efficacy of <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. in controlling soil-borne diseases. Abstr. of papers presented in Workshop on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R. Jayarajan, R. Narayanasamy and K. Sivaprakasam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12 March 1987. p.29.
- \*Mukhopadhyay, A.N., Brahmbhatt, A. and Patel, G.J. 1986. <u>Trichoderma herzianum</u> - A potential biocontrol agent for tobacco damping-off <u>Tob. Res. 12</u>: 26-35.
- Mukhopadhyay, A.N. and Chandra, 1986. Biocontrol of sugerbeat and tobacco demping-off by <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u>. Abstr. of papers presented in Seminar on Management of Soil-Borne Diseases of Crop Plants (eds R. Jeyarajan, R. Bhaskaran and N. Shanmugam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore. p. 34.
- Nagarajan, K. and Reddy, T.S.N. 1986. Role of <u>T. viride</u> and <u>T. harzianum</u> in the biological control of <u>P. aphanidermatum</u>. Abstr. of papers presented in Seminar on Management of Soil-Borne Diseases of Crop Plants (eds R. Jeyarajan, R. Bheskaran and W.Shanmugam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, p.33.
- \*Naim, M.S. 1966. Note on the biological control of damping-off disease of cotton seedlings. J. Bot. U.A.R.91 45-51.
- \*Neweigy, N.A., Elisa, N.A. and El-Shewy, L.A. 1982. Biological control of damping-off in bread bean variaties Giza 2 and Rebya 40. <u>Res. Bull., Fac. Acric.</u> <u>Ain. Shams University</u> (1778) 27.
  - Olsen, C.M. and Baker, K.F. 1968, Selective heat treatment of soil, and its effect on the inhibition of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> by <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>58</u>: 79-87.

Ordentlich, A., Elad, Y. and Chet, I. 1987. Rhizosphere colonization by <u>Serratia marcescens</u> for the control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u>. <u>Soil Biol</u>. <u>Biochem</u>. <u>19</u>: 747-751.

\*Paczkowski, M.W. and Berryhill, D.L. 1979. Survival of <u>Rhizobium phaseoli</u> in coal-based legume inoculants. <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 38</u>: 612-615.

- Padmanaban, P. and Alexander, K.C. 1984. Biological control of cane seedling root rot. <u>Sugarcane</u> (1) 11.
- Padmanaban, P. and Alexander, K.C. 1986. Biological control of <u>Pythium graminicolum</u> incitant of root rot of sugarcane seedlings. Abstr. of papers presented in Seminar on Management of Soil-Borne Diseases of Crop Plants (eds R. Jeyarajan, R. Bhaskaran and N. Shanmugam) Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore.p.35.
- Padmanaban, P. and Alexander, K.C. 1987. Control of root rot of sugarcane seedling by antagonistic organism <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>viride</u> sub. Abstr. of papers presented in Workshop on Biological Control of Plant Diseases (eds R. Jeyarajan, R. Narayanasamy and K.Sivaprakasam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, 10-12, March 1987. p. 10.
- Papavizas, G.C. and Davey, C.B. 1960. Rhizoctonia disease of bean as affected by decomposing green plant materials and associated microfloras. <u>Phytopathology</u> 50: 516-521.
- Papavizas, G.C. and Lewis, J.A. 1981. Introduction and augmentation of microbial antagonists for the control of soil-borne pathogens. (5): <u>Biological Control in</u> <u>Crop Production</u> (ed. G.C.Papavizas). Allanheid, Osmun Publishers, Granada, p. 305-322.
- Perakhia, A.M. and Vaishnav, M.U. 1986. Biocontrol of <u>Rhizoctonia</u> <u>bataticola</u>. <u>Indian Phytopath</u>. <u>39</u>: 439-440.

- \*Pineds, J.B. end Polanco, C.D. 1981. Biological control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> Sacc. on <u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u> using <u>Penicillium notatum. Agronomia Tropical 31</u>: 265-281.
- Podile, A.R. and Dube, H.C. 1985. Effect of <u>Bacillus</u> subtilis on the growth of vescular wilt fungi. <u>Curr. Sci. 54</u>: 1282-1283.
- \*Potter, M.C. 1908. On a method of checking parasitic disease in plents. J. <u>agric</u>. <u>Sci.</u> 3: 102-107.
- \*Remert, B. 1985. Use of antagonistic fungi to control black root rot of cucumber caused by <u>Phemopsis</u> <u>sclerotioides</u>. <u>Vextskyddsnotiser</u> <u>49</u>: 49-52.
- Rao, N.S.S.1977. <u>Soil Microorganisms and Plant Growth</u>. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi. p. 108-164.
- Roughley, R.J. 1976. The production of high quality inoculants and their contribution to legume yield. <u>Symbiotic</u> <u>Nátrogen Fixation in Plents</u>. (ed. P.S.Nutman). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. p.125-136.
- Sekhar, G. and Anahosur, K.H. 1986. Management of sorghum charcoal rot (<u>Macrophomina phaseolina</u>) with antagonists and organic amendments. Abstr. of papers presented in the Seminar on Management of Soil-Borne Diseases of Crop Plants (eds R.Jeyarajan, R. Bhaskaran and N. Shanaugam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore, p.32.
- \*Sesan, T., Illescu, H., Csep, N. and Craicu, M. 1984. Research in the prevention of sunflower stem and head rot using biological methods. <u>Buletinul de</u> <u>Protectia Plantelor</u> (4): 29-37.
- Sivan, A. and Chet, I. 1986a. Biological control of <u>Fusarium</u> spp. in cotton, wheat and musk melon by <u>Trichoderma</u> <u>horzianum</u>. J. <u>Phytopath</u>. <u>116</u>: 39-47.

- \*Sivan, A. and Chet, I. 1986b. Possible mechanisms for control of <u>Fusarium</u> spp. by <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u>. In 1986 British Crop Protection Conference. <u>Pests and Diseases Vol.2</u>. Thornton Health.865-872.
- Sivan, A., Eled, Y. and Chet, I. 1984. Biological control effects of a new isolate of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> on <u>Pythium aphanidermatum</u>. <u>Phytopathology</u> 74: 498-501.
- Sivan, A., Ucko, O. and Chet, I. 1987. Biological control of Fuserium crownrot of tomato by <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> under field conditions. <u>Pl. Dis. 71</u>: 587-592.
- Smith, V.L., Wilcox, M.F. and Harman, G.B. 1988. Control of Phytophthora root and crown rot of apple seedlings by <u>Trichoderma</u> spp. <u>Phytopathology</u> <u>78</u>: 1511.
- Somang, B. 1980. Antegonistic effect of some soil fungi against two soil dwelling plant pathogens. <u>Indian</u> <u>Phytopeth</u>, <u>33</u>: 169.
- Stanier, R.Y., Adelberg, E.A. and Ingraham, J.L. 1977. <u>General Microbiology</u>. The Macmillan Press Ltd., London. p.20-58.
- Strashnow, Y., Elad, Y., Sivan, A. and Chet, I. 1985a. Integrated control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> by methyl bromide and <u>Trichoderma harzianum. Pl.Path.34</u>: 146-151.
- Streshnov, Y., Elad, Y., Sivan, A., Rudich, Y. and Chet, I. 1985b. Control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> fruit rot of tomatoes by <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> Rifai. <u>Crop Protection</u> <u>4</u>: 359-364.
- \*Sun, S.K. and Huang, J.W. 1978. Ecological study and control trials on Fusarium wilt of watermalon. <u>Proc. 3rd int.</u> <u>Congr. Plant Pathol. Munich, 16-23 August 1978.</u> p.189 (Abstr.).
- \*Suslow, T.V., Kloepper, J.W., Schroth, N.N. and Burr, T.J. 1979. Beneficial bacteria enhance plant growth. <u>Celif. Agric.</u>, November/December p.15-17.

\*Teyes, A.A. and Dirks, V.A. 1985. Suppression of <u>Fusarium</u> and <u>Pythium</u> pea root rot by antagonistic microorganisms. <u>Phytoprotection</u> 66: 23-29.

Thirumalacher, M.J. and O'Brien, M.J. 1977. Suppression of charcoal rot in potato with a bacterial antagonist. <u>Pl. Dis. Reptr. 61</u>: 543-546.

- Tilek, K.V.B.R. end Reo, N.S.S. 1978. Carriers for legume (Rhizobium) inoculents. Fertil. News 23:(2) 25-28.
- Tiweri, D.P. and Mehrotra, R.S. 1973. Survival and control of <u>Phytophthora perasitica</u> var. <u>piperina</u> in fumigated soils. <u>J. Indian bot. Soc.</u> 52: 138-146.
- \*Tronsmo, A. 1986. <u>Trichoderma</u> used as a biocontrol agent against <u>Botrytia cinerea</u> on strawberry and apple. <u>Meldinger fra Norges Landbrukshgskole 65</u>: 1-22.
- \*Tschen, J.S.M. and Kuo, W.L. 1985. Antibiotic inhibition and control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> by <u>Bacillus aubtilis</u>. <u>Pl. Protection. Bull. Taiwan</u> 27: 95-103.
  - Tu, J.C. and Vaartaja, O. 1981. The effect of the hyperparasite (<u>Gliocladium virens</u>) on <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> and on Rhizoctonia root rot of white beans. <u>Cen. J. Bot. 59</u>: 22-27.
  - Turner, G.J. and Tribe H.T. 1975. Preliminary field plot trials on biological control of <u>Sclerotinia trifoliorum</u> by <u>Coniothyrium minitans</u>. <u>Pl. Path</u>. <u>24</u>: 109-113.
  - Upadhyay, J.P. and Mukhopadhyay, A.N. 1986. Biological control of <u>Sclerotium rolfsii</u> by <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u> in sugarbeet. <u>Tropical Pest Management</u> 32: 215-220.
- Utkhede, R.S. 1984. Antagonism of isolates of <u>Bacillus</u> <u>subtilis</u> to <u>Phytophthora cactorum</u>. <u>Can. J. Bot.</u> 62: 1032-1035.
- Utkhede, R.S. and Rahe, J.E. 1980. Biological control of onion white rot. Soil Biol. Biochem. 12: 101-104.

\*Utkhede, R.S. and Rahe, J.E. 1983. Effect of <u>Bacillus subtilis</u> on growth end protection of onion against white rot. <u>Phytopathologische</u> <u>Zeitschrift 196</u>: 199-203.

\*Vargas, R. and Ramirez, C. 1983. Biological control of damping-off caused by <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> on <u>cotton</u>. <u>Agronomia Costarricense</u> 7: 73-75.

Venkatasubbaiah, P. 1985. Efficacy of <u>Bacillus aubtilis</u> as a biocontrol for collar rot of coffee pathogen. <u>Geobios. 12</u>: 101-104.

Venkatesubbaich, P. and Safeeulla, K.M. 1984. <u>Aspergillus niger</u> for biological control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> on coffee seedlings. <u>Tropical Pest Management</u> <u>30</u>: 401-405.

Venkatasubbaich, P., Safeaulla, K.M. and Somashekar, R.K.1984. Efficacy of <u>Trichoderma harzienum</u> as a biocontrol agent for <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u>, the incitant of coller rot of coffee seedlings. <u>Proc. Indian nat. Sci. Acad.</u> <u>B.(Biol. Sci.)</u> 50: 525-529.

- \*Volovik, A.S., Borisenok, A.B. and Shuiskaya, N.G. 1974. On the possibility of biological control of rhizoctonicsis of poteto. <u>Nauch Trudy N11 Kastof</u>. <u>kh-va</u> (18) 179-183.
- \*Vojinovic, Z. 1973. The influence of microorganisms following <u>Ophiobolus graminis</u> Sacc. on its further pathogenicity. <u>OEPP/EPPO Bull</u>. No.9, p.91-101.
- Vruggink, H. 1970. The effect of chitin amendment on actinomycetes in soil and on the infection of potato tubers by <u>Streptomyces</u> <u>scables</u>. <u>Neth</u>. J. <u>Plant</u> <u>Pathol</u>.76: 293-295.
- Vyas, S.C. and Khare, M.N. 1986. Biological control of dry root rot of soybean caused by <u>Rhizoctonia bataticola</u> by carbendazim and antagonists. Abstr. of pepers presented in Seminar on Management of Soil-Borne Diseases of Crop Plants (eds R. Jeyarajan, R. Bhaskaran and N.Shanmugam). Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, T.N.A.U., Coimbatore. p. 29-30.

zvii

1. . . .

xviii

Weindling, R. 1932. <u>Trichoderma Lignorum</u> as a parasite of other soil fungi. <u>Phytopathology</u> 22: 837-845.

- \*Weindling, R. and Fawcett, H.S. 1936. Experiments in the control of Rhizoctonia damping-off of citrus seedlings. <u>Hilgardia</u> 10: 1-16.
- Wells, H.D., Bell, D.K. and Jaworski, C.A. 1972. Efficacy of <u>Trichoderma harzianum</u> as a biocontrol of <u>Sclerotium</u> <u>rolfsil</u>. <u>Phytopethology</u> 62: 442-447.
- Windels, C.E. and Kommedahl, T. 1978. Factors affecting <u>Penicillium oxalicum</u> as a seed protectant against seedling blight of pea. <u>Phytopathology</u> 68: 1656-1661.
- Wood, R.K.S. 1951. The control of diseases of lettuce by the use of antagonistic organisms. II. The control of <u>Rhizoctonia solani</u> Kuhn. <u>Ann. appl. Biol. 38</u>: 217-230.
- \*Wright, J.M. 1955. The production of antibiotics in soil.II. Production of griscofulvin by <u>Penicillium nigricans</u>. <u>Ann. appl. Biol. 43</u>: 268-296.
- Wright, J.M. 1956. Biological control of a soil-borne <u>Pythium</u> infection by seed inoculation. <u>Pl. soil 8</u>: 132-140.
- \*Yehia, A., El-Hassen, S.A. and Ismail, F.K. 1981. Studies on damping-off disease of tomato seedlings and its biological control. <u>Mesopotemia</u> <u>J. Agric.</u> <u>16</u>: 115-124.
- \*Zazzerni, A. and Tosi, L. 1985. Tests of antagonism of some bacterial isolates towards <u>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</u> (Lib.) de Bary. <u>Informatore Fitopatologica 35</u>: 25-30.
- Zentmyer, G.A. 1963. Eiclogical control of Phytophthora root rot of avocado with alfalfa meal. <u>Phytopathology</u> 53: 1383-1387.

\*Originals not seen

Appendices

Source	đf	Nean squares						
			15 days	45 days	75 days	105 d <b>ays</b>	135 days	165 days
Treatment	6	, <u>`</u>	6.315**	12.473**	88.283**	6.184**	10.67**	15.838**
EILOL	14		0.004	0.002	0.172	0.050	0.015	0.046
Total	20							

Appendix. 1. Analysis of variance for growth and survival of <u>T. harsianum</u> in different food bases

Appendix 2. Analysis of variance for growth and survival of  $\underline{T}$ . <u>longibrachiatum</u> in different food bases

Source	đ£	Mean squares					
		15 days	45 days	75 days	105 days	135 days	165 days
Ireatment	6	8-089**	2.610**	1.796**	3-902**	3.566**	10.328**
rior	14 5	0.019	0.014	0.024	0.047	0.013	0.080
fotal	20				•		

	food bases							
Source	df	Mean squares					F (\$2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	
هو چو چو هر این می این این این این این این این این این ای		15 days	45 deys	75 days	105 days	135 days	165 days	
Treatment	б	10.528**	6.842**	6.5 <b>9</b> 8**	7.472**	6.358**	13.524**	
Error	. 14	0.015	0.026	0.007	0.005	0.023	0.035	
Total	20							
***		و اور بدی وی خود وی برت بین وی می وی						

Appendix.3. Analysis of variance for growth and survival of <u>A. terreus</u> in different food bases

Source	đ£	. Mean squares		equ <b>ðres</b>				
		15 days	45 days	75 days	1.05 days	135 days	165 dayı	
Treatment	6	8.033**	6.242**	7.692**	7.939**	8.58**	12.050**	
rror	14	0.011	0.002	0.008	0.005	0.030	0.008	
otal	20							

Appendix 4. Analysis of variance for growth and survival of P. citrinum in different food bases

	TOOD DOSES				•	N	
Source	d£	Mean squares			******		ور کر پر اور بر بر مرد مرد بر بر باری پر ا
	1. An que dig the dig ty of the set of the s	15 days	45 days	75 days	105 days	135 days	165 days
Treatment	6	21.399**	20.439**	26.425**	15.506**	13.298**	16.216**
Error	14	0.010	0.002	0.023	0.015	0.037	0.128
Total	20						
			*****			***	

Appendix 5. Analysis of variance for growth and survival of <u>P</u>. <u>simplicissimum</u> in different food bases

Appendix 6. Analysis of variance for growth and survival of B. subtilis in different food bases

.

看ज़क़ॳॻॳॣक़ॹॻॺॿॾऄॾग़ॻक़ॷॿक़ॷख़क़ॻख़ऄग़ज़ख़ऄऄऄक़ॻख़ख़ॾऄॖऄक़ऄक़ऄय़ऄक़ऄॾक़ऄॾक़क़ॻक़ॻक़ज़क़य़क़ऄज़क़ॱक़क़क़ॻख़ॷॳक़ॵज़ॻऄऄक़ॶॼख़ऄऄॾॾख़ॾॾढ़ॻॳ								
Source	đ£		Mean squares					
	نين ويد وي دور بين من من من الله (10 مار من من من من من	15 days	45 days	75 days	105 days	135 days.	165 days	
Treatment	6	12.205**	10.997**	7.936**	5.016**	3-605**	4.573**	
Error	14	0.017	0.010	0.008	0 <b>.007</b>	0.023	0.016	
Total	20							
쏶ㅎㅎ <b>ㅎㅎㅎㅎㅎ</b> ㅎㅎㅎ							ی میں میں میں میں میں دور میں میں <del>اور</del> میں میں میں میں میں میں میں	

Appendix 7.		of variance fo a rhizosphere	r population of	f <u>T. harsienum</u>	
Source	df	Mean	squares	ァ 다 다 수 파 노 두 두 <del>사</del> 수 수 수 금 다 다 다 두	
contee	UI	7 days	30 days	60 days	
		- <b></b>	- as as an 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49	9 19 19 19 19 an an Chaile do an an An Chaile	
Treatment	2	14.87**	22.26	4.03**	
Error	12	0.002	0.003	0.003	
Total	14				
***	10 440 400 10 400 100 400 100 400 400	المحاوية المحاولة المحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة المحاوية المحاوية المحاوية المحاوية المحاوية المحاوية المحاوية ا	، معله خط الله معه معه الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال	الم جه الله الله الله الله عليه الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ا	

Appendix 8. Analysis of variance for population of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> in cowpea rhizosphere

.

Source	đf	Mean	Meen squeres			
******	42 	3 days	30 deys	60 days		
Treatment	2	23.14**	7.74**	2.68**		
Error	12	0 <b>.0</b> 05	0.002	0.004		
Total	14					

ᇱᄲᄡᆑᅌᅌᆃᇃᇃᅋᆕᄾᇟᄻᆎᄣᄵᄺᆆᅌᅝᄽᆑᅕᆃᄡᅝᄡᅒᆥᅕᆂᆎᅀᅐᇃᆂᄚᅒᇊᆤᇌᆋᅀᅶᆥᄻᆂᆎᇑᇽᄮᄨᅒᄺᆃᆆᆍᆃᆕᆃᅌᆂᅆᅒᅇᅇᅒ

Source	df	Me	Mean squares			
	42 • 6 • • 0 • • 0 • • 0 \bullet • 0 \bullet \bullet 0	7 days	7 days 30 days	60 đays		
Treatment	2	6.26**	0.135**	1,02**		
Srror	12	0.001	0.001	0.004		
Total	14					
و بر بر بر بر <b>و در بر بر ا</b> و بر بر بر او	*****		د. منه هم چه چه هار او د دار در ای چه ها می می وا	یو وی در خد کا کا کر خرد دا به خد ده خد خ		

### Appendix 9. Analysis of variance for population of <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u> in cowpea rhizosphere

Appendix 10. Analysis of variance for population of <u>B. subtilis</u> in cowpea rhizosphere

	، من شه خو بو چه چه چه جه جه خه ه	ور و در در ای که اول برو مرد بال مو هد ها و در در او		ورود بروا بین ها ها ها که در این می بود و به این این این ا	
Source	df	Mee	n aquares		
		7 daya	30 dəys	60 da <b>ys</b>	
Trestment	2	0.60**	0.69**	<b>1.</b> 66**	
Error	12	0.001	0.0002	0.01	
Total	14				
		***			

\*\* Significant at one per cent level

.

	df	Mean squeres			
Source		7 days	30 days	60 days	
Treatment	2	17.54**	8.83**	11.73**	
error	12	0.003	0.006	0.002	
Total	24				
đặt đão the cao die cao are vao ato are die die arb		****	به که هو خو بزو هم خو بو به دو بو می ورد و		

### Appendix 11. Analysis of variance for the population of <u>T. harzianum</u> in ginger rhizosphere

Appendix 12. Analysis of variance for the population of <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> in ginger rhizosphere

Source	đf	Meen squeres			
		7 days	30 days	60 deys	
Trestment	2	20.18 <sup>**</sup>	3 <b>.41</b> **	<b>45.61</b> **	
Error	12	0.001	0.002	0.31	
Total	14				
به ها چه دې چه که هه چو دي چې چې چې چې چې چې چې	و همه چې چې کې څخه چې خه چې د ها چې چې		، بيه حمد بعد ايله، يزير بينية شد شد جن الإذ براد مية الله بيرا	و الله الله الله الله بين الله عنه الله	

و وه زوه بورا بر از		ي ي هي هي اين اين اين اين اين اين اين اين اين اي	د های هیچ میله هم راه می دود می وی به مع مد هر هو ایل وی می	ي چې
Source	df -	Nean scuares		
		7 deys	30 друв	60 days
			ان بر بر می دود بر این می برای برای برای می باید می می می ایند. این این این این این این این این این این	ىرىنى <del>ئىرى</del> بۇرە بۇرە بۇرە بۇرە بۇرە بۇرە بۇرە بىرى تىرى بەرە بۇرە بۇرە بىرى بىرى
Trestment	2	39.11**	13.17**	28.39**
Error	12	0.006	0.05	0.011
Total	14			
و هو په وه و هم به ها و ها و ها و		و برو هو او برو هو او و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و	******	

# Appendix 13. Analysis of variance for the population of <u>P. simplicissimum</u> in ginger rhizosphere

### Appendix 14. Analysis of variance for the population of <u>B. subtilis</u> in ginger rhizosphere

-

2

ŶĦŬ레킹 바내로과전()) III III III III III III III III III					
Source	đ£	Mean squares			
غور معد شد بن وي وي عليه دي وي دي مع دي مع مي ا		7 days	30 days	60 days	
Treatment	2	3.72**	5.69**	18.34**	
Error	12	0.002	0.02	0.08	
Total	14				
و چې چې چې چې چې چې چې د چې چې کا کا کا	ی بود هه، هند وه جاه اود نگ که به م	وي جزير الحر من الحر بين عن الحر الحر من الحر الحر الحر الحر الحر الحر الحر الحر	و الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	یک میرود میرو است. این میرود میرو است میرو این میرو میرو میرو این میرو میرو میرو میرو این میرو این میرو میرو میرو این میرو این می	

		Mean squares		
Source	d£	7 days	30 days	60 days
Treatment	2	5.74**	9 <b>.0</b> 9**	12.01**
Error	12	0.01	0.002	0.005
Total	14			

Appendix 15. Analysis of variance for population of <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u> in black pepper rhizosphere

Appendix 16.	Analysis	f variance for population of	T.longibrachiatum
	in black	epper rhizosphere	

.

مه چه زنه زنه به به مه به به مو	ر النبيج مع مع جو جو جو مع				
Scurce	df	Mean squares			
		7 deys	30 deya	60 đays	
Treatment	. 2	0.33**	11.42**	3.04**	
Error	12	0.01	0.001	0.002	
Total	14				
ويتم يون بين الم الم الم الله بالله الله الله الله الله الله الل			و هم هو او و هو هو هو او و هو و و و هو و و و		

\*\* Significant at one per cent level

•

Source	đf	Mea		
		7 days	30 days	60 days
Treatment	2	6.89**	0. <b>41</b> **	0.33**
Error	12	0.001	0.002	0.01
Total	14			

#### Appendix 17. Analysis of variance for population of <u>P</u>. <u>citrinum</u> in black pepper rhizosphere

Appendix 18. Analysis of variance for population of <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> in black pepper rhizosphere

.

ور وی کار می این می موجود در دور ور بی بر می این که این کرد			و چې چې چې وما وې چې چې چې چې وي	********
Source	đf	Mean squares		
/		7 days	30 days	60 days
Treatment	2	0.614**	1.03**	0.19**
Error	12	0.0001	0.001	0.0002
Total	14			
약종해~~~ <u>`</u> ^ 바라 중도 약 중종취	والم حين حيد الم الم الم الم الله عنه الله الم الله عنه الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال	، الله الله وله الله الله الله الله الله	و هو هو هو هو است که است که است که این	4 W W W W -4 A W W W W W W W W W W W W W W

## STANDARDISATION OF FOOD BASES FOR SELECTED ANTAGONISTIC MICROFLORA AGAINST SOIL-BORNE PATHOGENS

By

MINI S. NAIR

### ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

### Master of Science in Agriculture

Faculty of Agriculture Kerala Agricultural University

Department of Plant Pathology COLLEGE OF HORTICULTURE Vellanikkara, Trichur

### 1990

#### Abstract

Techniques for mass multiplication and production of antagonistic microflora isolated from the forest soils of Kerela for the biccontrol of soil-borne pathogens viz., Rhizoctonia, Pythium and Phytophthora were investigated. The antagonists used were Trichoderma harzianum, T. Longibrachiatum, Aspergillus terreus. Penicillium citrinum, P. simplicissimum and Bacillus subtilis. The food bases tried were rice, wheat bran, paddy straw, rice bran, cowpea, forest soil and soil + dried cowdung. The growth and survival of entagonists in verious food bases were estimated in vitro. A pot culture experiment was laid out during the period from March to September 1989 at the College of Horticulture, Vellanikkare to assess the population dynamics of the introduced antagonists in rhizosphere of crop plants and to find out the effect of carrier based antegonist in controlling collar rot of cowpea caused by Rhizoctonia solani, soft rot of ginger caused by Pythium myriotylum and quick wilt of black pepper caused by Phytophthora palmivora.

Milled rice was found to be the most promising food base for all the isolates tested. Wheat bran was also found good for all the isolates except <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u>. <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> as well as <u>B</u>. <u>subtilis</u> were found to grow well in rice bran. <u>A. terreus</u> and <u>P. citrinum</u> exhibited good growth while moderate growth of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> and <u>P. simplicisimum</u> was observed with cowpea as a food base. In general paddy straw, forest soil and soil + cowdung were found to be poor substrates compared to other food bases. But in peddy straw, <u>T</u>. <u>harzianum</u>, <u>A. terreus</u>, <u>P. citrinum</u> and <u>B. subtilis</u> survived better compared to other food bases.

The maximum number of viable propagules in the rhizospheres of ginger, cowpea and pepper was recorded with wheat bran <u>T</u>. <u>herzianum</u> preparation up to one month of introduction to soil. Rice based antegonist recorded maximum population in cowpea rhizosphere while wheat bran based antegonist recorded the maximum in ginger and pepper rhizospheres efter two months of soil inoculation. The survival ability of <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> grown in rice bran was better in ginger and cowpea rhizospheres after introduction to soil while in pepper rhizosphere, rice based <u>T</u>. <u>longibrachiatum</u> recorded maximum population compared to other food based antagonists. Wheat bran was found to be the best food base for the survival of <u>A</u>. <u>terreus</u> in cowpea rhizosphere. Wheat bran <u>P</u>. <u>aimplicissinum</u> preparation recorded the maximum population count in ginger rhizosphere up to one month while rice based <u>P. simplicissimum</u> was superior to others at a two months of introduction. <u>P.citrinum</u> grown in wheat bran survived better in the rhizosphere of pepper than other food based antagonists. Rice <u>B.subtilis</u> preparation recorded the maximum population count in cowpas and pepper rhizospheres up to one month. But after two months of soil inoculation, rice bran based <u>B. subtilis</u> recorded the maximum population. Survival of <u>B. subtilis</u> was better in ginger, rhizosphere inoculated with wheat bran <u>B. subtilis</u> preparation. A decline in population count was observed in ginger cowpes and pepper rhizospheres amended with carrier based antagonist.

<u>T. longibrachiatum</u> grown in rice was found to be the most effective in checking down collar rot of cowpea. Rice based <u>P. simplicissimum</u> and <u>T. longibrachiatum</u> were effective in suppressing soft rot symptoms in ginger. Efficacy of food based antegonists on the control of quick wilt disease could not be assessed due to failure in inducing symptoms artificially.