# STANDARDISATION OF SOFTWOOD AND EPICOTYL GRAFTING IN Garcinia cambogia Desr.

Ву

NAZEEMA, K. K.



## THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

## Master of Science in Horticulture

Faculty of Agriculture Kerala Agricultural University

Department of Pomology & Floriculture COLLEGE OF HORTICULTURE Vellanikkara, Thrissur

#### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled "Standardisation of softwood and epicotyl grafting in Garcinia cambogia Desr." is a bonafide record of research work done by me during the course of research and this thesis has not previously formed the basis for award of any degree, diploma, associateship, fellowship or other similar title of any other University or Society.

K.K.

Vellanikkara, <sup>13</sup>August, 1992 Dr. K. Lila Mathew Associate Professor Department of Pomology & Floriculture College of Horticulture Vellanikkara

13<sup>th</sup> August, 1992

#### CERTIFICATE

Certified that this thesis entitled "Standardisation of softwood and epicotyl grafting in *Garcinia cambogia* Desr". is a record of research work done independently by Miss Nazeema, K.K. under my guidance and supervision and that it has not previously formed the basis for the award of any degree, fellowship or associateship to her.

Dr. K. Lila Mathew Chairperson Advisory Committee

#### CERTIFICATE

We, the undersigned, members of the Advisory Committee of Miss Nazeema, K.K., a candidate for the degree of Master of Science in Horticulture agree that the thesis entitled "Standardisation of softwood and epicotyl grafting in Garcinia cambogia Desr", may be submitted by Miss Nazeema, K.K. in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree.

Dr. K. Lila Mathew Associate Professor Department of Pomology & Floriculture (Chairperson)

Sarah T. George

Dr. Sarah T. George Assistant Professor Department of Pomology & Floriculture

(Member)

Sri. M.K. Mammen Professor and Head Department of Pomology & Floriculture (Member)

S. Krishnan

Sri. S. Krishnan Assistant Professor Department of Agricultural Statistics

". leala

External Examinér

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my great pleasure to express the esteemed sense of gratitude to Dr. K. Lila Mathew, Associate Professor, Department of Pomology & Floriculture and the Chairperson of my Advisory Committee for her valuable and erudite guidance and perpetual support throughout the course of this investigation and preparation of the manuscript.

I wish to acknowledge my heart-felt thanks to Sri. M.K. Mammen, Professor and Head, Department of Pomology & Floriculture and member of my Advisory Committee for his kind, affectionate and valuable comments and constant inspiration.

No word can truly represent my deep sense of gratitude to Dr. Sarah T. George, Assistant Professor, Department of Pomology & Floriculture and member of my Advisory Committee, for the keen interest, immense help and constructive criticism received from her throughout the course of this study.

I am deeply obliged to Sri. S. Krishnan, Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Statistics and member of my Advisory Committee for the generous help and encouragement during the course of this research work.

My sincere thanks and gratitude are due to Sri. V.K. Raju, Professor and Head i/c, Department of Processing Technology in taking neat photographs. My profound sense of gratitude is also due to Dr. K.M. Bhat and Sri. P.K. Thulasidas, Division of Wood Science, K.F.R.I., Peechi for providing the necessary facilities and whole-hearted co-operation in carrying out the anatomical work successfully. The help and suggestions rendered by Dr. Sankaran and Dr. C. Mohan, Division of Plant Pathology are also thankfully acknowledged.

My sincere thanks remains with Dr. G. Sreekandan Nair and Smt. M.R. Shylaja, Department of Plantation crops and Spices and Sri. P.C. Jose and Dr. S. Beena, Department of Plant Pathology for their timely help.

I am extremely grateful to all my friends and colleagues especially Beena, S., Latha, A., Latha, P., Manoj, P.S. and Veenakumari, D. for their co-operation and assistance rendered to me during the entire period of the study.

My thanks are also due to each) and every member of the Department of Pomology & Floriculture for extending all possible help in the proper conduct of this programme.

The assistance and co-operation rendered by the labourers of the Department of Pomology & Floriculture are appreciated very much. I thank each and every one of them profusely especially Sri. Raman and Smt. Kowjumma. My hearty thanks are expressed to Sri. O.K. Ravindran, C/o Peagles, Mannuthy for the neat typing of the manuscript to my satisfaction.

The award of ICAR Junior Fellowship is also gratefully acknowledged.

At this juncture, I remember the warm blessings of my loving father, late Sri. K.K. Kunjumohammed. My reverential thanks are due to my family members for being a source of inspiration and their sustained interest in the completion of this work.

Above all, I bow my head in front of God Almighty, whose blessing were with me at every inch of the way to undertake this endeavour successfully.

Nazeema, K.K.

### CONTENTS

Page No.

•

•

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
4.	RESULTS	. 49
5.	DISCUSSION	108
6.	SUMMARY	129
	REFERENCES	

APPENDICES

ABSTRACT

## LIST OF TABLES

.

Table No	. Title	Page No.
1.	Effect of seed coat and GA on time taken for germination and percentage of germination	50
2.	Main effect of seed coat on seed germination at weekly intervals	53
3.	Main effect of different soaking periods on seed germination at weekly intervals	55
4.	Main effect of different concentrations of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals	50
5.	Interaction effect of seed coat and different periods of soaking on seed germination at weekly intervals	58
6.	Interaction effect of seed coat and different concentrations of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals	59
7.	Interaction effect of different periods of soaking and concentrations of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals	61
8.	Interaction effect of seed coat, different concentrations and soaking periods of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals	62

9.	Effect of different treatments on seed germination over controls at weekly intervals	63
10.	Effect of different types of rootstock on the success of softwood grafting	66
11.	Effect of different types of rootstock on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals in softwood grafting	67
12.	Effect of different types of rootstock on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals in softwood grafting	68
13.	Effect of different types of rootstock on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals in softwood grafting	· 69
14.	Effect of different rootstock combinations on the success of double grafting	71
15.	Effect of age of rootstock on the success of epicotyl grafting	73
16.	Effect of age of rootstock on the success of softwood grafting	75
17.	Effect of age of rootstock combinations on the success of double grafting	76
18.	Interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on the success of grafts	B

Interaction effect of different types of 19 80 rootstock and methods of grafting on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals 81 20. Interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals 21. Interaction effect of different types of 83 rootstock and methods of grafting on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals 22. Interaction effect of different types and 84 age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals 23. Interaction effect of different types and 86 age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals 24. Interaction effect of different types and 88 age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals 25. Effect of type and age of scion wood on 91 the success of grafting 26. Effect of type and age of scion wood on 92

the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals

27.	Effect of type and age of scion wood on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals	93
28.	Effect of type and age of scion wood on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals	
29.	Effect of season on the success of grafting	96
30.	Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting	98
31.	Effect of growth regulators on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals	100
32.	Effect of growth regulators on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals	102
33.	Effect of growth regulators on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals	103
<b>-</b> -		

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Title
1.	Different steps in double grafting
2.	Effect of different types of rootstock on the extension growth and number of leaves of the scion
3.	Effect of different types of scion wood on the extension growth and number of leaves of the scion
4.	Effect of season on success of grafting
	· · ·

## LIST OF PLATES

-

÷

Plate No.	Title	
1.	A female tree of <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u>	
2.	A male tree of <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u>	
3.	Ripe fruits of <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u>	
4.	Extracted seeds of <u>Garcinia</u> cambogia	
5,.	A rootstock of <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u>	
6.	Vertical slit made on the decapitated stock	
7.	The scion inserted in the vertical slit on the stock	
8.	The graft joint after securing with a polythene tape	
9.	Epicotyl grafts on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)	
10.	Softwood grafts on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)	
11.	Softwood grafts on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> (3, 4 and 5 months after grafting)	
12.	Double grafts on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)	
13.	Double grafts on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)	
14.	Double grafts on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)	
15.	Different types of scion wood used for grafting	

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

- 16. Grafts prepared using different types of scion wood (5 months after grafting)
- 17. Cross-section of Garcinia tinctoria stem
- 18. Cross-section of Garcinia cambogia stem
- 19. Cross-section of epicotyl graft on Garcinia tinctoria
- 20. Cross-section of softwood graft on Garcinia tinctoria
- 21. Cross-section of softwood graft on Garcinia cambogia
- 22. Cross-section of double graft on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u>
- 23. Cross-section of double graft on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> + Garcinia cambogia
- 24. Cross-section of double graft on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> + Garcinia <u>cambogia</u>
- 25. Absence of callus production between stock and scion
- 26. Excessive callus production between stock and scion
- 27. Degenerated phloem of the scion
- 28. Formation of a thick necrotic layer between stock and scion

\_\_\_\_\_

Introduction

#### INTRODUCTION

<u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u>, named by the French Botanist, Desrousseaux and referred to, in vernacular, as *Kodumpuli*, is a medium sized tree with rounded crown, horizontal or drooping branches and dark green shiny, elliptical or obovate leaves. The tree is found commonly in the evergreen forests of Western Ghats from Konkan southwards to Travancore and in Shola districts of Nilgiris upto an elevation of 6000 ft.

The tree occupies the backyards of Kerala's homesteads more often as one among the miscellaneous tree crops. The tree is dioecious in nature with male and hermaphrodite plants separate (Plates 1 and 2). It flowers during the hot season and fruits and seeds become mature during the rainy season (Plates 3 and 4). The fruits have a unique use in the State. In Kerala, it is being exclusively preferred in cuisine involving fish. The processed rind is also used as а condiment for flavouring curries in place of tamarind or lime. The fruit is rich in acids and possesses marked antiseptic The seeds of the fruits contain edible fat properties. resembling kokam butter from G. indica. The tree yields a transluscent yellow resin (gamboge) which forms an yellow varnish with terpentine. A decoction of the fruit rind is It is also employed in veterinary given in rheumatism. medicine as a rinse for diseases of mouth in cattle.

Plate 1 A female tree of <u>Garcinia</u> cambogia

Plate 2 A male tree of Garcinia cambogia

.





Plate 3 Ripe fruits of Garcinia cambogia

.

Plate 4 Extracted seeds of Garcinia cambogia

- A. Seeds with seed coat
- B Seeds without seed coat





Now-a-days, pressure on land has pushed Kodumpuli and many other useful plants to the backyard of our agriculture. Further, variation in yield due to repeated seed propagation, the dense shade of foliage and the belief that it is a heavy feeder tend to make farmers regard this crop as a liability. However opportunities are there to create an ideal plant type with short stature, year round fruiting habit and desirable fruit characters like edible pulp and seedlessness which may bring about a radical change in the cultivation of Kodumpuli Among them, standardisation of vegetative propagation is in the nearest reach which will offset the ill-effects of repeated seed propagation to some extent. In order to raise the rootstocks for vegetative propagation seeds are of utmost The lacuna in seed propagation is the long resting need. period of seeds before germination. Normally, the seeds take long period of nine to ten months after sowing, a for germination. It will be of great help to the plant propagators, if their long period of rest could be overcome by some viable means. However only little work has been reported so far, in these regards. Hence there exists a need to look after the studies concerning the formulations of vegetative methods of propagation in this crop. Hence the present study was undertaken in the Department of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara during the period of 1990-92 with the following objectives.

2

- (i) To study the effect of seed coat and growth regulator treatments on germination of <u>G. cambogia</u> seeds.
- (ii) To standardise the type and age of rootstock for softwood, epicotyl and double grafting.
- (iii) To standardise the days required for the precuring of scion/to study the effect of type and age of scion wood on the success of grafting.
- (iv) To study the effect of season on the success of grafting.
- (v) To study the anatomy of graft union.

Review of Literature

### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Kodumpuli, Garcinia cambogia, belonging to the family Guttiferae, though an important minor fruit of Kerala's homesteads has not been exploited fully. The dioecious nature of the crop deprives the farmers of its commercial cultivation. Kodumpuli being seed propagated, there exists a lot of variation among the seedlings with respect to its yield and quality. Adoption of vegetative propagation is a remedy for Easy, simple and economic vegetative these difficulties. propagation methods can be adopted for the perpetuation of high yielding female trees. This will also avoid the waste incurred towards the maintenance of the male trees till the Unfortunately, very little work has been flowering stage. done in the sexual and asexual methods of propagation, particularly in <u>G</u>. cambogia and generally in the related species and other genera of the family, Guttiferae. This chapter tries to enlighten the attempts made by various scientists in the field of sexual and asexual propagation with special reference to epicotyl and softwood grafting and the factors influencing the success of these two methods of ' grafting in the close relatives of G. cambogia and the other major tropical fruit crops.

#### 2.1 Sexual propagation

In most of the tropical fruits, though the methods of vegetative propagation have been standardised, seed propagation is also resorted to. Eventhough the seedling plants are not commonly made use of for commercial cultivation, they have a major role to function as rootstocks in vegetative propagation.

Mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana), a close relative of Kodumpuli is a seed propagated crop. Ironically, mangosteen It is parthenogenetic in nature and the has no true seed. plants raised from seeds are exactly like the mother tree (Singh et al., 1963 and Dassanayake and Perera, 1988). According to Gonzalez and Anoos (1951) fresh mangosteen seeds had a germination rate of 85 per cent and the average germination period was 19.5 days. They also observed that only 50 per cent of the freshly gathered seeds packed in moist sphagnum moss in tightly closed containers were viable after Of unpacked seeds, or seeds packed in ground two months. charcoal, only 22 per cent were viable after 15 days. Winters and Rodriguez (1953) reported that mangosteen seeds stored for one week, either dry or moist at 50°F failed to germinate. Storage at room temperature in moist charcoal dust gave the highest percentage of germination but was only slightly better moist peat moss. Both the treatments storage in than preserved viability for seven to eight weeks. Seeds stored in fruits showed delayed germination on The sowing. the

viability of air dried seeds was lost after four weeks. Seeds stored in the desiccator over calcium chloride for even one week failed to germinate. Shanmugavelu <u>et al</u>. (1987) stated that mangosteen seeds gave only 70 per cent germination when sown within five days of extraction. Seeds free of pulp recorded 20 per cent increase in germination over those sown with the pulp intact.

Singh (1951) reported that mango (<u>Mangifera indica</u>) stones usually take 30 days for germination. Simao (1960) noticed 36 per cent germination for mango stones of two varieties studied. Giri (1966) observed that the percentage germination of mango seeds extracted from fruits with a soft pulp was significantly higher (76 per cent) than that of seeds from firm fruits (54 per cent).

In seed germination studies of cashew, (<u>Anacardium</u> <u>occidentale</u>) Rao <u>et al</u>. (1957) found that viability of cashew nuts remained over 90 per cent for 7 months and reduced to zero in 14 months. Time of germination was 13 to 14 days for the first eight months increasing upto 22 days for aged seeds. Pushpalatha <u>et al</u>. (1991a) observed that there was no difference in the germination pattern between seeds sown in polythene bags and in the field directly. In both the cases seeds took 15 days for germination.

Guava (<u>Psidium guajava</u>) is propagated mostly by vegetative methods. For raising rootstocks, seed propagation

is followed. Normally, fully matured fresh seeds are used for sowing. Kumar <u>et al</u>. (1991) obtained 62 per cent germination in guava seeds and it took 25 to 30 days for completion of germination.

Raman (1956) studied seed germination of several types of jack fruit (<u>Artocarpus heterophyllus</u>) and concluded that germination percentage after one month of sowing ranged from 57 to 95. Sinha and Sinha (1968) recorded 50 per cent germination in jack fruit seeds.

Kannan (1971) observed a very wide variation in the number of days taken for germination of nutmeg seeds and it ranged from 27 to 100 days. Stray cases of germination was noticed even after 150 days. Studies on seed viability showed that seeds stored in polythene bags or moss remained viable for 15 days and it took about 60 to 120 days for germination (Philip, 1974). Nair <u>et al</u>. (1977) found that germination took place in 60 to 90 days. Ilyas (1978) opined that maximum seed germination was 45 to 90 days and germination would be as high as 98 per cent if seeds were sown immediately after extraction. According to Mathew (1979) nutmeg seeds showed 65 per cent germination when sown during the month of June and the number of days taken for germination was 55.63.

Sriram (1977) reported 52 per cent germination upto 58 days of sowing in clove (<u>Syzygium aromaticum</u>) seeds.

According to Ilyas (1978) germination of cinnamon (<u>Cinnamomum</u> <u>zeylanicum</u>) seeds started in about 20 days of sowing.

2.1.1 Factors affecting seed germination

2.1.1.1 Effect of seed coat on seed germination

The effect of removal of seed coat on germination was Simao (1960). According to him studied in mango by germination was enhanced and germination percentage was increased by dehusking treatment. He obtained 77, 52 and 36 per cent germination with husked stones, stones with the husk cut lightly at the stalk and unhusked stones respectively. Subramanya and Reddy (1989) also obtained early germination of mango stones by the removal of seed coat before sowing. The use of seeds with cracked seed coat also resulted in early The final germination percentage was also germination. considerably increased by these treatments compared to the intact seeds.

According to Ilyas (1978), in clove, dehusked seeds started germination on 16 days after sowing and by the 46th day 88 per cent of them germinated whereas unhusked seeds germinated 27 days after sowing and by the 58th day only 48 to 52 per cent of them germinated.

8

2.1.1.2 Effect of gibberellic acid on seed germination

The stimulatory effect of applied gibberellic acid on germination of seeds has been widely accepted (Leopold, 1979; Hartmann and Kester, 1989).

In cashew, Shanmugavelu (1985) recommended seed treatment with GA<sub>3</sub> for 48 h at 100 to 500 ppm concentration to enhance germination upto 100 per cent. The treatment also increased the root and shoot growth of seedlings.

In guava, pre-sowing treatment with  $GA_3$  100 ppm improved seed germination to 60 per cent whereas seeds soaked in water recorded 55 per cent and dry seeds recorded 46 per cent germination respectively (Kumar <u>et al.</u>, 1991).

Farooqui <u>et al</u>. (1971) reported that GA at 25 and 50 ppm concentration enhanced germination and improved germination percentage of sapota (<u>Achras sapota</u>) seeds.

Duarte <u>et al</u>. (1974) noticed that when cherimoya (<u>Annona cherimolia</u>) seeds were treated with GA at 10,000 ppm concentration, germination percentage was significantly increased. If treated with 1000 ppm GA it increased seedling growth.

In nutmeg, seed treatment with GA was found to improve seed germination. Mathew (1979) obtained 75 per cent germination when the seeds were soaked for 24 h in 200 ppm GA.

#### 2.2 Asexual propagation

In mangosteen, one of the most popular members of the family, Guttiferae, considerable attention has been bestowed in the field of vegetative propagation at different parts of the world. Numerous experiments have been conducted to develop stronger, more rapidly growing small mangosteen trees and to reduce the time for fruit production. The methods like cutting, layering, budding and grafting have been reported to be failure or with little success. No method has yet been found that has any real advantage over seed propagation (Anon, 1954, 1956; Shanmugavelu <u>et al.</u>, 1987).

Trials conducted at District Agricultural Farm, Thaliparamba revealed that cuttings of mangosteen remain alive for nearly 8 months in beds and stray cuttings do produce one or two weak roots which however fail to survive (Naik, 1948). Hayes (1957) opined that propagation of mangosteen through cutting is fairly successful. Singh <u>et al</u>. (1963) conducted trials on propagation using cuttings at Kallar and Burliar Fruit Research Station and reported that propagation of mangosteen through rooting of its own shoots is feasible, but needs confirmation on a commercial scale.

Layering is not a feasible method of propagation in mangosteen (Naik, 1948; Krishnamurthy and Rao, 1962). Gonzalez and Anoos (1951) also observed that marcotting trials in mangosteen invariably failed. Naik (1944) opined that budding in mangosteen was less encouraging as the bud take was poor and even after showing signs of union with rootstock they required long time to sprout. But budding was found to be a feasible method of propagation in Manila (Singh, 1986).

Among the various grafting methods, inarching and side Here also, variable grafting have been studied widely. results have been reported by different workers. Naik (1944) proved that side grafting mangosteen on the same seedling rootstock was easy and capable of producing almost cent per cent success. However, the successful side grafts uniformly failed to make any appreciable growth in orchards and According to Naik (1948) inarching in eventually died. mangosteen gave 60 per cent success. Gonzalez and Anoos (1951) noted that grafting of mangosteen with Garcinia kydia and G. morella rootstocks gave only 10 to 12 per cent success. Thayer (1961) also got some success with G. tinctoria and G. spicata rootstocks. Recently, softwood grafting by wedge method on mangosteen seedling rootstocks of two to four years age gave 90 per cent success as reported by Dassanayake and Perera (1988).

Kokam (<u>Garcinia indica</u>) is a popular spice crop in the coastal parts of Maharashtra and Karnataka used mainly for the extraction of kokam butter which is consumed as an edible fat.

11

As in the case of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>, in kokam also, the dioecious nature of the crop is a major limitation of its systematic cultivation. Oscar (1983) suggested that this problem could be replaced by maintaining the appropriate ratio of male and female plants by propagation through softwood grafting. He obtained 86 per cent success in this method. Hadangar <u>et al</u>. (1987) reported <u>in situ</u> softwood grafting as a simple and easily adoptable method for kokam propagation with a maximum success of 90 per cent. Hadangar <u>et al</u>. (1991) also showed that softwood grafting could be performed in October for getting the best results in Maharashtra.

Mammey apple (<u>Mammea americana</u>), another member of the family Guttiferae, is a native of Tropical America and West Indies. The commercial part of this tree is its fruit, though not popular like mangosteen. In a trial to find out one successful vegetative propagation in mammey apple, Krochmal (1970) found that wedge grafting on the same seedling rootstocks was successful. In another study conducted by Arriaga and Maldonaldo (1976), it was concluded that the best results were obtained with side grafting on nine months old rootstocks (55.7 per cent take) followed by patch budding (35.9 per cent take) while tip grafting was unsuccessful.

2.2.1 Effect of type of rootstocks on the success of grafting In vegetative propagation methods like budding and

12.

grafting, the rootstocks play an important role in the graft or bud union. Only compatible rootstocks form successful union. Incompatible union may survive for some years but they eventually fail showing off the signs of incompatibility (Hartmann and Kester, 1989). So selection of rootstocks in budding and grafting studies has got utmost importance.

Popence (1920) described the rootstock trials for mangosteen carried out in Centeral America, Malaya etc. According to him, though mangosteen unites with about 20 species of the genus Garcinia, only a few could be recommended as promising stock plants. Among the two other genera of the same family tried, Calophyllum calaba and C. inophyllum formed imperfect union while one to three years old Platonia insignis seedlings were found to be very promising rootstocks. According to Naik (1948) also C. inophyllum is an incompatible rootstock for mangosteen. He also opined that inarching mangosteen on <u>G</u>. tinctoria and <u>G</u>. speciosa had given 60 per cent success. The grafts on <u>G</u>. tinctoria rootstocks aged more than four years appeared to be the most promising ones. In a rootstock trial conducted by Gonzalez and Anoos (1951) it was proved that mangosteen did not unite with rootstocks of Calophyllum spp., Cratoxylon spp. or Rheedia edulis. Among the 13 species of the genus Garcinia tested, G. kydia and G. morella though showed compatibility, the percentage of union was 10 and 12 respectively. However, Thayer (1961)

showed that mangosteen could be successfully grafted on  $\underline{G}$ . spicata,  $\underline{G}$ . tinctoria, Rheedia aristata and Clusia rosea.

Dassanayake and Perera (1987) got 90 per cent success in mangosteen grafting when the same species was used as rootstocks. In the case of mammey apple and kokam also, when the same species were employed as rootstocks, the best.results were obtained (Arriaga and Maldonaldo, 1976; Hadangar <u>et al</u>., 1991).

In a study conducted by Richards (1943) in sapota to find out the best rootstocks for budding and grafting techniques, it was revealed that sapota seedlings themselves were the best ones. Bassia longifolia was also found to be compatible while Mimusops hexandra proved to be an incompatible rootstock. However, the slow growth of sapota seedlings is a limiting factor to use it as a rootstock. So another study was conducted by Gonzalez and Fabella (1952) which disclosed that Palaquim foxworthy, P. merrillii, P. philippense and Madhuca betis showed high degree of compatibility with the sapota scion. Trials conducted in Kerala by Nambiar (1954) in the Agricultural Research Station, Thaliparamba revealed that sapota grafted on Mimusops hexandra had made considerably more growth than trees grafted on sapota seedlings themselves. Grafts on Bassia longifolia made growth intermediate between these two combinations, hut casualties were more and graft

union showed pronounced distortion. Attempts to graft sapota to <u>Mimusops elengi</u> invariably failed. Kulwal <u>et al</u>. (1985) reported that <u>Calocarpum</u> <u>sapota</u> and <u>Manilkara hexandra</u> could be successfully used as rootstocks for sapota. Bhuva <u>et al</u>. (1990) showed that when <u>M. hexandra</u> was used as rootstocks for sapota, a maximum of 90 per cent survival was obtained with inarched grafts.

Jack, when propagated on the same species and on <u>Artocarpus hirsuta</u> rootstocks by inarching, the growth on the latter was poor. The graft union distorted and many of the grafts died within two years of planting (Kannan and Nair, 1960).

Khan and Rao (1953) studied the effects of various rootstocks on the vegetative propagation of custard apple (<u>Annona squamosa</u>). They proved that <u>Annona reticulata</u> could be more efficiently employed as rootstocks for custard apple than custard apple rootstocks. Eventhough both the rootstocks gave cent per cent take, the former showed better stock and scion girth, height and yield. <u>A. muricata</u> and <u>A. palustris</u> proved to be incompatible rootstocks. According to Iglesiaz and Sanchez (1985) <u>A. muricata</u> proved to be the best rootstock for side grafting and patch budding of the same scion. The percentage take was recorded as 47.5 and 82.5 respectively.

Sundararaj and Varadarajan (1956) reported that when
nutmeg scions were grafted on <u>Myristica malabarica</u> and <u>M. beddomii</u> rootstocks 60 per cent success was obtained. Flach (1966) and Rasalam (1978) claimed cent per cent success in approach grafting on seedlings of cultivated and wild species of nutmeg. In clove, for vegetative propagation works, <u>Euginea cordata</u> and <u>Psidium guajava</u> were found to be promising rootstocks (Sriram, 1977).

2.2.2 Effect of age of rootstock on success of grafting

2.2.2.1 Epicotyl grafting

Epicotyl grafting was suggested first for the vegetative propagation of fruit trees by Verma (1941). He described it as a novel method of mango graft. Later in many crops it was recommended as an easy and economic method for vegetative propagation. Gunjate and Limaye (1977) reported 84 per cent success with immature, four to seven days old Konkan stone grafting of manqo under rootstocks in conditions. According to Dhakal (1979), less than two weeks old seedlings were more suitable for stone grafting in mango. He obtained 60 and 50 per cent success on one and two weeks old seedlings respectively. Dengale (1980) also stated that one week old seedlings were the best for stone grafting in mango which accounted 73.3 per cent success. Singh and Sreevastava (1981) tried stone grafting using two to ten days

old seedlings and obtained the highest percentage of success (85 per cent) with five days old rootstocks followed by four days old rootstocks (80 per cent). Gunjate et al. (1982) found that less than two weeks old seedlings with coppery red colour were the best for stone grafting. He obtained the highest percentage of success with one week old rootstock (60 per cent) followed by two weeks old ones (58 per cent). Desai and Patil (1984) revealed that seven days old seedlings were the best rootstocks for epicotyl grafting in mango under green house conditions which gave 70 per cent success. (1984) standardised the age of rootstocks for Dhungana epicotyl grafting in mango under Kerala conditions. He stated that the highest survival was obtained with five days old negative also observed that there was а Нe stocks. correlation between the age of stocks and survival of grafts. The survival rate was noted as 61.33, 50.00 and 32.00 per cent when the age of rootstocks were 5, 10 and 15 days respectively. Chakrabarthy and Sadhu (1984) stated that regardless of the age of the scion, five days old rootstocks gave more success which decreased with an increase in age. Patil and Patil (1985) found that initial sprouting in epicotyl grafting was more in the case of six days old stocks and seven days defoliation but height and number of leaves of the plant were the highest in four days old stock. Devadhas and Pappiah (1988) found that grafting mango on seven days old rootstocks gave the highest success which was accounted as 75 per cent in

Bangalora and 40 per cent in Neelum. Gupta <u>et al</u>. (1988) also found that when the age of rootstock was ten days, the grafts yielded 50 to 55 per cent success under Jammu conditions. Among the various methods, splice grafting gave maximum success followed by side grafting (46 per cent) and veneer grafting (40 per cent).

In cashew, Bhandary et al. (1974) recommended that epicotyl grafting could be successfully done on 21 days old cashew seedlings. Harmekar (1980) reported that four to eight weeks old seedlings were suitable for stone grafting in cashew Nagabhushanam (1982) suggested the use of 15 days old rootstocks for stone grafting. Sawke (1983) reported that about ten days old cashew seedlings were the best for epicotyl grafting. Shylaja (1984) proved that apparently there was no difference in the percentage success of stone grafts prepared from ten days and five days old rootstocks. According to Konkar and Das (1985) five to seven days old rootstocks were the best for epicotyl grafting in cashew. A modified method of epicotyl grafting had been standardised by Sheshadri and Rao (1985) in cashew. They recommended that instead of normal beheading of the stock plant, if only the top of the plant was removed retaining two leaves the presence of leaves alone augmented the percentage success of the grafts.

## 2.2.2.2 Softwood grafting

According to Dassanayake and Perera (1988) there was more than 90 per cent success in softwood grafting of mangosteen when 2 to 2½ year old mangosteen rootstocks were used. But the success was only 34 per cent with three to four years old rootstocks. This showed that the age of rootstock played the pivotal role in deciding the successful establishment of graft.

Hadangar <u>et al</u>. (1987) stated that for softwood grafting in kokam, once the rootstock attained a graftable size (which took about 22 weeks) its age or presence of leaves did not influence the success of grafting. This method was found to give a maximum of 90 per cent success.

Prof. R.S. Amin was the first scientist to try softwood grafting in mango at Anand (Gujarat) with great success. Amin (1978a) described <u>in situ</u> softwood grafting in mango as a new method of propagation. He stated that the method could be adopted on seedlings of one or more than one year's age. He obtained cent per cent success in this method. Patil <u>et al</u>. (1983) found that seedling at four leaf stage was the best for softwood grafting in mango. Singh <u>et al</u>. (1984) reported a mean success of 70 per cent by softwood grafting in mango when one year old seedlings were used as

and Joshi (1987) reported flush Ghandhoke rootstocks. grafting method for mango propagation in which they used 30 to 40 days old rootstocks. Success percentage was reported to be 90. Reddy and Melanta (1988) observed that in in situ highest success was obtained with softwood grafting the seven months old mango rootstock (90 per cent) followed by eight months old rootstock (80 per cent). Softwood grafting in container grown plants showed that three months old rootstocks recorded the highest graft take (58 per cent) followed by six months old rootstocks (55 per cent). Panicker and Desai (1989) proved that softwood grafting could be carried out at any growth flush from the rootstock provided that it was coppery red in colour.

Konkar and Das (1985) conducted vegetative propagation studies on cashew in Orissa and found that 15 to 60 days old seedlings could be used as rootstocks for softwood grafting. Success was achieved only when the rootstock was green and succulent with a fresh cycle of growth (Nagabhushanam, 1985). In cashew, softwood grafting was carried out on one month old, <u>in situ</u> and container grown plants by Pushpalatha <u>et al</u>. (1991a). The final percentage of success was assessed as 83.7 in <u>in situ</u> grafting and 85 per cent in polybag grafting. Pushpalatha <u>et al</u>. (1991b), in another trial, concluded that for attaining maximum success in softwood grafting, the ideal age of rootstock should be 28 days.

2.2.2.3 Double grafting

Nagawekar <u>et al</u>. (1984) conducted studies on the various factors affecting survival of mango stone grafts. He found that instead of using one rootstock, two rootstocks at epicotyl stage could be employed for a single scion. The percentage success assessed in this case was 79 while with a single rootstock it was 56.6 per cent.

Subramanya and Reddy (1989) also reported about double grafting in mango. They recommended that when two seedlings at epicotyl stage (six days after emergence) was used as rootstock for a single scion, percentage of survival and further growth was higher than single rootstock for a single scion.

2.2.3 Effect of type of scion wood and time of defoliation on the success of grafting

2.2.3.1 Epicotyl grafting

Bhan <u>et al</u>. (1969) conducted studies on epicotyl grafting of mango and recommended that semi matured terminal shoots should be used as scion. Gunjate and Limaye (1977) obtained equal success in stone grafting in mango with and without prior defoliation of scion shoots. Dhakal(1979) found that scion shoots of more than two months age were the most suitable for stone grafting in mango while prior defoliation

of scion shoot proved to be of no beneficial effect. Maiti and Biswas (1980) studied the effect of defoliated and undefoliated scion shoot on graft take and observed that the defoliated scion shoots produced higher percentage of successful grafts than the undefoliated shoots. They also revealed that three to four monthsold scion material gave 50 to 60 per cent success. Singh and Sreevastava(1981) reported that defoliation, ten days prior to grafting, gave the highest success and the least success was with the scions defoliated five days prior to grafting. Scion shoots must be more than two months old whereas precured or non precured scion shoot produced no appreciable result according to Gunjate et al. (1982). Patil et al. (1983) disclosed that the number of days required for sprouting decreased significantly with the increase in scion defoliation period. Minimum days for sprouting (14.39) were required in the treatment of defoliation prior to grafting and maximum days (17.7) were required in defoliation five days prior to grafting. Patil et al. (1984) revealed that among the three defoliation periods tested (three, five and seven days prior to grafting) the best was five days defoliation prior to grafting in mango. According to Dhungana (1984) four months old scion defoliated ten days prior to grafting gave maximum percentage of success in epicotyl grafting of mango. According to Chakrabarthy and Sadhu (1984) one month old scion shoots gave the highest success rates of 53.3 to 55.0 per cent compared with only

13.3 per cent with six months old scion shoots. Devadhas and Pappiah (1988) also opined that precured scion shoots were superior to non precured scion shoots in epicotyl grafting.

Bhandary <u>et al</u>. (1974) found that scions with and without defoliation produced almost the same success in cashew. Nagabhushanam (1982) suggested the use of mature scion wood with pointed apical dormant bud for successful epicotyl grafting. Shylaja (1984) conducted studies on epicotyl grafting in cashew and observed that scion shoots defoliated ten days before grafting gave a maximum success of 82.7 per cent.

2.2.3.2 Softwood grafting

Popence (1920) reported that in mangosteen seven months old scion could be used in grafting with <u>G. tinctoria</u> rootstocks to get effective union. Hadangar <u>et al</u>. (1987, 1991) reported that for softwood grafting in kokam, the terminal greenish-brown twigs of five to six months old, 0.5 to 0.6 cm thick and 10 to 12 cm in length could be used.

Amin (1978b) reported that cent per cent success was obtained in <u>in situ</u> softwood grafting in mango by wedge method and the scion defoliated ten days prior to grafting operation. Singh <u>et al</u>. (1984) obtained a mean success of 70 per cent by softwood grafting in mango using four to five months old scion shoots. Reddy (1987) showed that scions pre-conditioned for ten days gave the maximum success of graft followed by scions pre-conditioned for five days and zero days. Panicker and Desai (1989) obtained scion sprouting and survival after three months of grafting as 72 per cent and 70 per cent respectively when mango scions were taken from 25 years old mother tree. Sreevastava <u>et al</u>. (1989) recommended 2 to 3 cm long cut on the scion stick for effective graft union in softwood grafting in mango.

Pushpalatha <u>et al</u>. (1991 b) concluded that for attaining maximum success in softwood grafting, seven days precuring of the scions before grafting was necessary. The ideal length of scion was between 10 and 12.5 cm.

2.2.3.3 Double grafting

Nagawekar <u>et al</u>. (1984) disclosed that there was no significant difference between terminal or subterminal position of the scion shoot to be used in double grafting. The survival (60.6 per cent to 63.0 per cent) and subsequent growth were very similar in both the cases.

2.2.4 Effect of season on the success of grafting

2.2.4.1 Epicotyl grafting

According to Maiti and Biswas (1980) when epicotyl grafting was done in mange during June-July with three to four

months old defoliated scion shoots, the percentage of success varied from 50 to 96 per cent. Dengale (1980) and Gunjate et al. (1982) recommended the period from June to September to be the best time for epicotyl grafting in mango under Konkan According to Chakrabarthy and Sandhu (1984) conditions. grafting success was more or less uniform in June, July and August when epicotyl grafting was done in mango. Desai and Patil (1984) found that when stone grafting was done at 15 days interval between 1st July to 15th September, grafting done on 1st July gave the highest success (70 per cent). The percentage survival of stone grafts made in June and July was the highest. A study by Dhungana (1984) revealed that the percentage of success was the highest (69.33 per cent) when stone grafting in mango was done during August and was the lowest during May (20.6 per cent) in Kerala. Sreevastava (1985) suggested that stone grafting should be done during the last week of June in order to get a high percentage success of 95 when the mean temperature and humidity were 33.5°C and 88 per cent respectively. Gupta et al. (1988) showed that stone grafting carried out from mid August to mid September on ten days old rootstocks gave 50 to 55 per cent success. Devadhas and Pappiah (1988) also found that the percentage success was higher when epicotyl grafting was performed during August to November in mango.

Under Konkan conditions Harmekar (1980) conducted epicotyl grafting in cashew and found that the highest

success was obtained during June followed by March and April and the rainy season appeared to be unsuitable. Nagabhushanam (1982) reported a maximum success of 30 per cent during the month of July. Nagabhushanam and Mohan (1982) also obtained a success of 30 per cent in stone grafting in July followed by stone grafting in August. The success gradually declined from 15 per cent in September to 5 per cent in November. They observed that high humidity and heavy precipitation which occurred during June, July and August months had a beneficial success of epicotyl grafting in cashew. effect on the According to Sawke (1983), February to May is the optimum period for epicotyl grafting in cashew under Konkan conditions and there was no success during monsoon. Sawke (1984)observed that the period from February to May was congenial for grafting of cashew in which success ranged from 60 to 74 per cent. Konkar and Das (1985) reported a success of 65 to 80 per cent during April to July and 73 to 100 per cent during October to February. According to Jose (1989) June was the best month for conducting epicotyl grafting in jack under Kerala conditions.

#### 2.2.4.2 Softwood grafting

In kokam, Handangar <u>et al</u>. (1987) proved that October grafting exhibited maximum survival (86 per cent) and was on par with the success in June. Amin (1978a) from Anand, recommended <u>in situ</u> softwood grafting in mango to be successful

Singh and Sreevastava (1980) during March to September. reported that the best results in mango softwood grafting (84 per cent) was obtained during July. Patel and Amin (1981) pointed out that grafting between the third week of May and the third week of August resulted in 95 to 100 per cent take. Success ranged from 85 to 97 per cent between February and May but after third week in September it decreased considerably. Singh and Sreevastava (1982) worked out various factors influencing softwood grafting in mango and emphasized the effect of season on the success of grafts. They reported that August was the best time for softwood grafting (90 per cent centsuccess). success) followed by September (70 per According to Singh et al. (1984) grafting in June gave the best results with 100 per cent success. Sreevastava (1985) also confirmed this finding. Tayde et al. (1988), in their studies under Akola conditions, obtained 70 to 100 per cent success in softwood grafting in mango when conducted during July to September.

Sawke <u>et al</u>. (1985), in a three years trial in softwood grafting of cashew, observed that the highest mean success was obtained in August (83.66 per cent) and April (83 per cent) and the lowest (22.23 per cent) in December. Kumar and Khan (1988) tried <u>in situ</u> softwood grafting in cashew and the success percentage was reported to be 40 during March, 50 during April and 70 during May. Swamy <u>et al</u>. (1990) found that the success in softwood grafting in cashew was positively correlated with monthly minimum temperature, monthly mean relative humidity and number of rainy days per month. Monsoon season was found to be the best period for commercial multiplication as the percentage success was quite high (above 60 per cent) during this period as compared to the other months. The investigation made by Sarada <u>et al</u>. (1991) revealed that August, September and January months were suitable for softwood grafting in cashew.

2.2.4.3 Double grafting

According to Subramanya and Reddy (1989) the ideal period for double grafting in mango was July and first fortnight of August under Bangalore conditions.

2.2.5 Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting

Kannan and Rao (1964) proved that GA at 1000 ppm concentration at the time of approach grafting as well as pretreatment of stock and scion resulted in earlier separation of grafts (45 days) as compared to control (75 days) in mango. There was good callus formation after 15 days of the treatment. Narwadkar and Anserwadekar (1985) found that in epicotyl grafting of mango, when scions were treated with IAA and GA prior to grafting only IAA was found to be favourable on graft take. They concluded that among the different concentration

of IAA viz., 20, 500, 750 and 1000 ppm, ultimate success of graft increased linearly upto 750 ppm and slightly decreased at 1000 ppm. There was an increase in the number of leaves and total leaf area per plant. Ratan et al. (1987) reported that in mango epicotyl grafting, when scion materials were treated with IAA or GA at 100 or 250 ppm and grafted on rootstocks of different heights, the highest sprouting and survival was obtained with 8 cm long scions treated with IAA 100 ppm and grafted on 6 to 8 cm high rootstocks. Devadhas and Pappiah (1988) supported these findings. They revealed that in epicotyl grafting of mango, nutritional and auxin the compatible rootstock - scion greater in was status combination compared to the incompatible ones. Sreevastava et al. (1989) found that in mango grafting the effect of plant growth regulators was negligible. Subramanya and Reddy (1989), while investigating the effect of various chemicals in double grafting of mango, revealed that the treatment of scions with chemicals and growth regulator solutions augmented the graft Mathad et al. (1991) concluded that the growth take. regulators viz., GA and kinetin had an adverse effect on graft They revealed that the untreated grafts recorded the take. highest percentage of success (87.5 ) compared to treated The treatment, GA in combination with kinetin showed ones. adverse effect on the initiation of new growth, girth, number of leaves and leaf area.

Sheshadri and Rao (1986) compared the effects of NAA as pre-treating chemical for scion on the success of softwood grafting in cashew. It was found that rootstock mortality was the highest (100 per cent) when 60 days old seedlings were grafted with untreated scions.

Subronto and Hutomo (1987) made a comparative evaluation of application of growth regulators on cocoa bud grafting and revealed that the growth regulators viz., GA, IBA etc. did not have any significant effect on the scion bud length, number of leaves and bud diameter but it had a highly significant positive effect on the percentage of bud burst especially IBA at 100 ppm.

2.2.6 Anatomical studies of graft union

Juliano (1941), in his studies about the callus development at the point of graft union of cleft grafts, revealed that the first step was the formation of callus in the gap through the activity of parenchyma of both bark and pith. A cambial bridge formed in that region joined the cambial ends of both stock and scion. Initiation of callus tissue began from the stock but the total contribution of callus by stock and scion was almost equal. According to Buchloh (1960) the lignification of cells were mainly responsible for the formation of strong graft union in pearquince grafts.

Wilson and Wilson (1961) opined that when young stems were wounded or grafted the cambium was interrupted and new vascular cambia tend to regenerate in the callus proliferating from the wounded surfaces. The amount of callus formation varied among varieties and was influenced by climatic conditions during the previous growing season.

Shimoya <u>et al</u>. (1970) conducted anatomical studies of mango wedge grafts and reported the presence of fungal mycelium at the graft union which appeared to facilitate graft union.

According to Esau (1972) secondary growth and cambial activity were involved in proper graft union. Breakdown products of dead cells formed a necrotic layer on the surface of stock and scion, the callus tissue filled the space between stock and scion and later differentiated to cambial cells and then to vascular tissues. Hartmann (1974) also supported these views. Fahn (1982) opined that the important function of the cambium was to form callus in the wound portion. He also stated that the union of stock and scion was not only through cambia but through wood rays which proliferated and took part in the graft union.

## 2.2.6.1 Anatomical stages of graft union

Many scientists have worked out the anatomical stages of graft union in various crops. Chakrabarthy and Sadhu (1985) worked out the different stages in epicotyl grafts of mango. According to them the stages in the graft union were, (1) callusing stage - 10 to 30 days after grafting when live cells form a mass of callus tissues, (2) callus bridge stage - 30 to 60 days after grafting when cambial continuity between rootstock and scion was established, (3) healed union stage - 60 to 120 days after grafting when vascular tissues were differentiated and complete union between stock and scion took place.

According to Ahmed (1966) in inarching experiments of guava stock-scion union was peripheral after 45 days, but complete after 75 days.

Galkina (1979) investigated the different stages in the bench grafts of apple. The successive stages of graft union microscopically examined were (1) union of callus of both components, (2) callus cell differentiation and formation of new secondary cambium and (3) appearance of conducting tissues followed by complete union.

# 2.2.6.2 Anatomical reasons for graft failure or graft incompatibility

According to Robert (1949) the important factors determining the graft take was not the nature of the union, but the genetically determined incompatibility which resulted

in the interaction between stock and scion. Auramov and Jokovic (1961) and Ciz (1969) opined that incompatibility could be diagnosed through anatomical studies after, two to three months of grafting. According to Makhmet <u>et al</u>. (1980), the most common reason for graft incompatibility on woody plants were physiological, anatomical and chemical. Studies were conducted with grafts between 22 species of rootstocks and 26 species of scions which included fruits, nuts and ornamental plants. The results indicated that it might be possible to use an anatomical method for diagnosing compatibility between the graft components when new, untried rootstocks and scions were introduced into cultivation.

Luthra and Sharma (1964) showed that excessive growth between stock and scion and parenchymatous tissues of distortion of xylem elements blocked the conducting vessels and inhibited the movement of water from stock to scion in According to Hartmann (1974) whenever an mango grafts. abnormal positioning of cambium of stock and scion occurred, a proper union did not take place. He also stated that the main causes of poor results of the grafting operation were incomplete callus formation at the graft union and improper cultural methods. Sinsor (1982) revealed that in incomplete stock-scion combinations of apple, the xylem and phloem within the graft union were greatly reduced. Breakage and/or failure within the graft union of certain stock-scion combinations was

associated with abnormal ray development. Skene <u>et al</u>. (1983) suggested that infilling of callus and improper development of cambium in between the stock and scion was one of the reasons for graft failure in certain apple varieties viz., Cox's Orange Pippin. Jose (1989) attributed excessive callus growth at the graft union to one of the reasons for graft failure in jack.

Materials and Methods

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

<u>Garcinia camboyia</u>, known in vernacular as Kodumpuli, is a seed propagated fruit crop. The wide variation due to seed propagation and dioecious nature of the trees set back its cultivation. Practically, very little work has been done to standardise the vegetative propagation methods in this crop. Hence the present study was undertaken to standardise the vegetative propagation methods. The study was conducted in the Department of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara, Trichur, Kerala from February, 1990 to July, 1992. Trichur is under high rainfall tropical region having warm humid climate throughout the year with less fluctuations in daily temperature (Appendix I). The altitude of the place is 22.25 m above main sea level at 10° 32' N latitude and 76° 16' E longitude.

The details of the investigation are given in this chapter.

The various phases of the investigation were the following:

- (i) To study the effect of seed coat and gibberellic acid on germination of Kidumouli (<u>G. cambogia</u>) seeds.
- (ii) To standardise the type and age of rootstocks for epicotyl, softwood and double grafting.

- (iii) To study the effect of the type and age of scion wood on the success of grafting.
- (iv) To study the effect of season on the success of grafting.
- (v) To study the effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting.
- (vi) To study the anatomy of graft union.

# 3.1 Effect of seed coat and gibberellic acid on germination of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> seeds

Seeds were collected from different parts of the In order to conduct germination test, viable seeds district. were selected by floating method. Germination test was conducted using seeds with and without the seed coat. The selected seeds were subjected to various growth regulator The growth regulator used in this study was GA treatments. (gibberellic acid) at 200, 300 and 500 ppm concentration with 6 h, 12 h, 18 h and 24 h as soaking periods. After soaking, the seeds were sown in the raised nursery beds. Twenty five seeds were kept under each treatment. The details of the treatments are given below:

	M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	with seed coat	-	6 h	soaking	-	200	ppm	GA
	$M_1 T_1 C_2$	with seed coat	-	6 h	soaking	-	300	ppm	GA
	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2}$	with seed coat	-	6 h	soaking	-	500	ppm	GA
	$M_1 T_2 C_1$	with seed coat	-	12 h	soaking	-	200	ppm	GA
	$M_1 T_2 C_2$	with seed coat	_	12 h	soaking	-	300	ppm	GA
	$M_1 T_2 C_3$	with seed coat	-	12 h	soaking	-	500	ppm	GA
	$M_1 = 2 = 3$ $M_1 = T_3 = C_1$	with seed coat	-	18 h	soaking	_	200	ppm	GA
	$M_1 T_3 C_2$	with seed coat	_	18 h	soaking	-	300	ppm	GA
-	1 3 2	with seed coat	_	18 h	soaking	-	500	ppm	GA
	<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 3 <sup>C</sup> 3	with seed coat	_	24 h	soaking	-	200	ppm	GA
-	M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>				soaking	_	300	maa	GA
	<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 4 <sup>C</sup> 2	with seed coat	-					_	
	M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	with seed coat	-	24 h	soaking	-	500	ppm	GA
	<b>a1</b> 1	with good goat	_	no G	A treatme	nt			
	Control - 1	with seed coat	_	no a	A CICACINO				
	$\frac{M_2 T_1 C_1}{M_2 T_1 C_1}$	with seed coat	-		soaking		200	ppm	GA
	M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>		-	6 h		-	200 300		GA GA
	$\stackrel{M_2}{} \stackrel{T_1}{} \stackrel{C_1}{} \stackrel{C_2}{} $	without seed coat		6 h 6 h	soaking	-		ppm	
	$M_2 T_1 C_1 M_2 T_1 C_2 M_2 T_1 C_3$	without seed coat without seed coat		6 h 6 h 6 h	soaking soaking	-	300	mqq mqq	GA
	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} {}^{\rm M}{}_2 & {}^{\rm T}{}_1 & {}^{\rm C}{}_1 \\ {}^{\rm M}{}_2 & {}^{\rm T}{}_1 & {}^{\rm C}{}_2 \\ {}^{\rm M}{}_2 & {}^{\rm T}{}_1 & {}^{\rm C}{}_3 \\ {}^{\rm M}{}_2 & {}^{\rm T}{}_2 & {}^{\rm C}{}_1 \end{array}$	without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat		6 h 6 h 6 h 12 h	soaking soaking soaking		300 500	ppm ppm	GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat		6 h 6 h 6 h 12 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300	ppm ppm	GA GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat		6 h 6 h 12 h 12 h 12 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300	bbw bbw bbw bbw	GA GA GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat without seed coat	-	6 h 6 h 12 h 12 h 12 h 12 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300 500 200	bbw bbw bbw bbw	GA GA GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	without seed coat without seed coat	- -	6 h 6 h 12 h 12 h 12 h 18 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300 500 200 300	bbш bbш bbш bbш bbш	GA GA GA GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>without seed coat without seed coat</pre>	- - -	6 h 6 h 12 h 12 h 12 h 18 h 18 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300 500 200 300 500	bbw   b	GA GA GA GA GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>without seed coat without seed coat</pre>		6 h 6 h 12 h 12 h 12 h 18 h 18 h 18 h 24 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300 500 300 500 200	bbw   bbbw   bbw	GA GA GA GA GA GA GA
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre>without seed coat without seed coat</pre>		6 h 6 h 12 h 12 h 12 h 18 h 18 h 18 h 24 h	soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking soaking		300 500 200 300 500 300 500 200	bbw   bbbw   bbw	GA GA GA GA GA GA GA

.

 $M_2 T_4 C_3$  without seed coat - 24 h soaking - 500 ppm GA Control-2 without seed coat - no GA treatment Total number of treatments : 26

Experimental design : Factorial CRD

### 3.1.1 Observations

Time taken for maximum germination and germination count at weekly intervals were recorded upto 8 weeks after the beginning of germination. The concordant values for germination percentage recorded at successive weeks were considered as the maximum percentage of germination.

# 3.2 Standardisation of the type and age of rootstocks for epicotyl, softwood and double grafting

Two species of the family Guttiferae, viz., <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> (Kodumpuli) and <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> (Rajapuli) were used as rootstocks. In the case where Rajapuli seedlings were used as rootstocks, the age groups selected were 10, 15 and 20 days for epicotyl grafting and two, three and four months for softwood grafting. *Kodumpuli* seedlings did not attain sufficient height and girth at these age groups and epicotyl grafting was not done using this rootstock. Softwood grafting was done using 18 months old Kodumpuli seedlings. In double grafting each scion stick was grafted on two rootstocks. The details of combinations of rootstocks used are depicted in 3.2.5.

3.2.1 Raising of seedlings for rootstocks

Seeds of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> and <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> were sown in polythene bags filled with FYN, sand and soil in the ratio of l:l:l. The polythene bags were placed under shade and watered regularly.

3.2.2 Selection and preparation of scion sticks

Healthy, disease free, 6 to 8 cm long, about nine months old brown wood with a portion of green wood of new flush having an apical plumpy bud, from selected mother trees were used for epicotyl, softwood and double grafting.

3.2.3 Methods of grafting

3.2.3.1 Epicotyl grafting

The rootstocks were decapitated 5 to 6 cm above the soil level. A vertical slit of about 2 to 3 cm was given at the centre of the epicotyl. The lower portion of the selected scion was prepared to form a wedge at the base by giving two slanting cuts on opposite sides. The wedge shaped scion was inserted in the vertical slit of the epicotyl. The grafted portion was wrapped tightly with a polythene tape so that a close contact between the two components was ensured (Plates; to 8).

# Plate 5 A rootstock of Garcinia tinctoria

1

Plate 6 Vertical slit made on the decapitated stock

.

.





Plate 7 The scion inserted in the vertical slit on the stock

,

-

•

.

.

Plate 8 The graft joint after securing with a polythene tape

•





## 3.2.3.2 Softwood grafting

Wedge method of grafting was followed for softwood grafting also. Grafting was done 12 to 15 cm above the soil level. If possible, a pair of leaves were retained on the rootstock.

## 3.2.3.3 Double grafting

Each double graft was made on two rootstocks. For double grafting, two seedlings were grown in the same polythene bag. After decapitating both the seedlings at a height of about 5 to 7 cm from the ground level, a slanting cut was made on the inner side of each of the stock so that a wedge shaped cut was obtained when the two stocks were brought together. The prepared scion as in the case of the other two methods was inserted between these cuts. Two rootstocks and scion were then tied together with a polythene tape (Fig.1).

### 3.2.4 After care of the grafts

The grafts were kept in a mist chamber providing intermittent mist. The sprouts produced from the rootstocks were removed periodically and the grafts were watered regularly. Bordeaux mixture 1 per cent and Ekalux 2 ml  $1^{-1}$  were sprayed in every fortnight.



M <sub>1</sub> A <sub>1</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	Epicotyl grafting	-	10 days old – Rajapuli
M1 <sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 1	Epicotyl grafting	-	15 days old – Rajapuli
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>A</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 1	Epicotyl grafting	<sup>.</sup>	20 days old – Rajapuli
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 4 <sup>R</sup> 1	Softwood grafting	-	2 months old - Rajapuli
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 5 <sup>R</sup> 1	Softwood grafting	-	3 months old – Rajapuli
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 6 <sup>R</sup> 1	Softwood grafting	-	4 months old - Rajapuli
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 8 <sup>R</sup> 2	Softwood grafting	-	18 months old - Kodumpuli
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	Double grafting	-	10 days old - Two seedlings of Rajapuli
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	Double grafting	-	15 days old – Two seedlings of Rajapuli
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	Double grafting	-	20 days old - Two seedlings of Rajapuli
<sup>M</sup> 3 <sup>A</sup> 7 <sup>R</sup> 2	Double grafting	-	12 months old - Two seedlings . of Kodumpuli
<sup>M</sup> 3 <sup>A</sup> 1 <sup>R</sup>	Double grafting	-	10 days old Rajapuli and 12 months old Kodumpuli
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub> R	Double grafting	-	15 days old Rajapuli and 12 months old Kodumpuli
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub> R	Double grafting	-	20 days old Rajapuli and 12 months old Kodumpuli
	Total number of trea	tme	ents : 14
	Experimental design		: CRD

.

3.2.6 Observations

3.2.6.1 Percentage of initial and final success

The scions that remained green whether sprouted or unsprouted, 30 days and 60 days after grafting were counted for calculating the percentage of initial success. Scions which survived after 90 days were noted for calculating the percentage of final success.

3.2.6.2 Extension growth of the scion

The extension growth of scion was measured in centimetres from the graft union at fortnightly intervals.

3.2.6.3 Girth of the scion

The girth of the scion was measured in centimetres at a fixed point at one centimetre above the graft union at fortnightly intervals.

3.2.6.4 Number of leaves of the scion

The number of leaves developed on the scion was recorded at fortnightly intervals.

3.3 Effect of type and age of the scion wood on the success of grafting

For this experiment, three types of scion wood were used. Sixty grafts were made under each treatment. The treatments were the following.

- T<sub>1</sub> New flush with a plumpy apical bud (completely green in colour and three months old).
- T<sub>2</sub> Past season shoot with no new sprout (brown in colour and six months old).
- T<sub>3</sub> Past season shoot with a new sprout and a plumpy apical bud (basally brown, green at the top and nine months old).

Total number of treatments : 3

Softwood grafting was done on 18 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> seedlings with the types of scion materials mentioned above. Observations were taken on the extension, girth and number of leaves of the scion, at fortnightly intervals.

## 3.4 Effect of season on the success of grafting

Softwood grafting was done in May, June, July, October, November and December months on 18 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> seedlings. Hundred grafts were made under each treatment and the grafted plants were maintained in a mist chamber.  $T_1$  - May grafting  $T_2$  - June grafting  $T_3$  - July grafting  $T_4$  - October grafting  $T_5$  - November grafting  $T_6$  - December grafting

Observations on the number of grafts remained green after 30, 60 and 90 days of grafting were recorded.

# 3.5 Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting

The grafted plants were sprayed with GA and IBA each at 100, 250 and 500 ppm concentration, immediately after grafting. The same growth regulators each at 500, 750 and 1000 ppm concentration were used for dipping the detached scion wood. Base of the prepared scion sticks were dipped in these solutions for one minute and softwood grafting was performed on 18 months old <u>G. cambogia</u> seedlings. Sixty grafts were made under each treatment. The details of the treatments are given below.

> T<sub>1</sub> - GA 500 ppm T<sub>2</sub> - GA 750 ppm T<sub>3</sub> - GA 1000 ppm

 $T_4$  - IBA 500 ppm  $T_5$  - IBA 750 ppm  $T_6$  - IBA 1000 ppm  $T_7$  - Control (no dipping) Total number of treatments : 7 Experimental design : CRD

Observations were taken as in the experiment cited in 3.3.

### 3.6 Anatomical studies of graft union

Samples for anatomical studies were collected from the grafts made using the following rootstocks.

- 1. Epicotyl grafting on G. tinctoria
- 2. Softwood grafting on G. tinctoria
- 3. Softwood drafting on G. cambogia
- 4. Double grafting on two seedlings of G. tinctoria
- 5. Double grafting on G. tinctoria and G. cambogia
- 6. Double grafting on two seedlings of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>

Samples were collected as per the procedure given below.

Representative samples after collection were processed as follows.
3.6.1 Processing

FAA (850 ml of 70 per cent alcohol + 100 ml of 40 per cent formaldehyde + 50 ml of glacial acetic acid) solution was used for fixing and preservation of samples. Specimens were kept in FAA solution for a minimum period of 72 h and then transferred and stored in 70 per cent alcohol until the sections were made. Before sectioning, the samples were removed from alcohol using sterilized forceps and washed in running water for 30 minutes and later with glass distilled water. Uniform thin section of 30  $\mu$ m (micron) thickness was taken using "Reichert sliding" microtome as per standard microtomy suggested for hardwoods (Cutler, 1978). For cleaning and staining the sections, the schedule suggested by Johanson (1940) which is outlined below was followed.

#### Sections were serially passed through,

70 per cent alcoholic safranin (5 to 10 minutes), 80 per cent alcohol (2 minutes), 90 per cent alcohol (2 minutes), 95 per cent alcohol (1 minute), absolute alcohol (1 minute), pure xylene (1 minute)

and mounted on slides with DPX mountant.

3.6.2 Microscopic examination

The slides were examined carefully through Carl Zeiss binocular research microscope fitted with the objective of magnification ranging from 3.2 x to 100 x and 10 x eyepiece.

3.6.3 Photomicroscopy

Photomicrographs of selected specimens were taken using a photomicrography system (Leitz Dialux 20 EB. Germany) and ORWO film of 100 ASA.

### 3.7 Statistical analysis

The observations on number of days taken for germination and germination percentage under different treatments and the effect of treatments on the qualitative characters like, extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion were statistically analysed using the analysis of variance technique laid out in completely randomised design (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

The differences among the treatments with regard to the number of sprouted grafts and survival of grafts were tested using the chi-square statistic (Panse and Sukhatme, 1968).

Two sample case student's t-test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) was used to analyse the effect of types of rootstock and scionwood on height and girth of the scion and the number of leaves produced on the scion.

The differences among the treatments with regard to the extension growth of scion was analysed as a non-orthogonal data. The analysis of the number of leaves produced at fortnightly interval was done using Friedman two way analysis of variance described by Siegal (1979).



#### RESULTS

The results of the experiments described in Chapter II are given in the following order.

# 4.1 Effect of seed coat and GA on germination of <u>Garcinia</u> cambogia seeds

The data on the effect of seed coat and GA on seed germination of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> seeds are given in Tables 1 to 9 and analysis of variance in Appendix II.

4.1.1 Effect of seed coat and GA on time taken for germination and percentage of germination

The data on time taken for 50 per cent germination, maximum germination and the final percentage of germination under different treatments are presented in Table 1. In order to compare the treatments based on the maximum percentage of germination after eight weeks from the beginning of germination, chi-square test was performed.

The seeds without seed coat  $(M_2)$  recorded faster germination compared to the seeds with seed coat. Seeds with seed coat and without seed coat started germination 29 weeks and one week respectively, after sowing. In general, seeds without seed coat treated with GA recorded 50 per cent germination after two weeks of sowing, except the control

Treatments	Number of weeks taken for 50 per cent germination	Number of weeks taken for maximum germination	Maximum percentage of germination after 8 weeks from the beginning of germination
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	35	. 36	61.333 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	34	36	60.000 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	34	36	70.667 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	34	36	65.333 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	32	36	73.333 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	31	36	84.000 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	34	36	61.333 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	31	36	82.667 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	32	36 .	76.000 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	32	36	77.333 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	34	35	60.000 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	33	36	69.333 <sup>ab</sup>
Control-1	36	. 36	48.000 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	2	5	84.000 <sup>ab</sup>
<sup></sup>	2	4	84.000 <sup>ab</sup>
$M_2T_1C_3$	2	5	78.667 <sup>ab</sup>
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 1	2	5	85.333 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	. 2	4	85.333 <sup>ab</sup>
M2 <sup>T2C3</sup>	1	5	90.667 <sup>b</sup> .
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	2	5	88.000 <sup>b</sup>
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	1	3	. 80.000 <sup>ab</sup>
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1	5	93.333 <sup>b</sup>
M2T4C1	2 .	5	89.333 <sup>b</sup>
M2T4C2	2	5	80.000 <sup>ab</sup>
M2T4C3	2	5	81.333 <sup>ab</sup>
Control-2	4	5	74.667 <sup>ab</sup>

Table 1. Effect of seed coat and GA on time taken for germination and percentage of germination

 $M_1$  - with seed coat;  $M_2$  - without seed coat;  $T_1$  - 6 h soaking;  $T_2$  - 12 h soaking;  $T_3$  - 18 h soaking;  $T_4$  - 24 h soaking;  $C_1$  - 200 ppm GA;  $C_2$  - 300 ppm GA;  $C_3$  - 500 ppm GA Control-1 - with seed coat - no GA - no soaking Control-2 - without seed coat - no GA - no soaking  $x^2$  - analysis was done which took about four weeks for the same. The treatments,  $M_2T_2C_3$  (without seed coat - 12 h soaking - 500 ppm GA),  $M_2T_3C_2$  (without seed coat - 18 h soaking - 300 ppm GA) and  $M_2T_3C_3$  (without seed coat - 18 h soaking - 500 ppm GA) registered the shortest period of one week for attaining 50 per cent germination. All the seeds with seed coat ( $M_1$ ) required more than 30 weeks for attaining 50 per cent germination. Within  $M_1$ , the treatments,  $M_1T_2C_3$  (with seed coat - 12 h soaking - 500 ppm GA) and  $M_1T_3C_2$  (with seed coat -18 h soaking - 300 ppm GA) recorded the shortest time span of 31 weeks for attaining 50 per cent germination while the control treatment took the longest time (36 weeks).

When the time required for maximum germination was studied, within  $M_2$ ,  $M_2T_3C_2$  recorded the shortest period of three weeks and  $M_2T_2C_2$  and  $M_2T_1C_2$  required a period of four weeks from the beginning of germination. All other treatments within  $M_2$ , required five weeks to attain maximum germination. Within  $M_1$ , all the treatments, except  $M_1T_4C_2$ , required 36 weeks for attaining maximum germination while  $M_1T_4C_2$  required only 35 weeks.

When the final germination percentage after eight weeks from the beginning of germination was considered, seeds without seed coat recorded higher values compared to seeds with seed coat. The final germination percentage of seeds

with seed coat ranged from 48.00 to 84.00 per cent while that of seeds without seed coat ranged from 74.667 to 93.333 per cent. Among the seeds without seed coat,  $M_2T_3C_3$  recorded the highest percentage of germination (93.333) followed by  $M_2T_2C_3$ (90.667). The minimum percentage of final germination within  $M_2$  (74.667) was noticed in the control treatment. Within  $M_1$ , the highest percentage of germination was 84.00 in  $M_1T_2C_3$ closely followed by 82.667 in  $M_1T_3C_2$ . Similar to  $M_2$ , in  $M_1$ also, the minimum percentage of germination was noticed in the case of control treatment (48.00).

## 4.1.2 Main effect of seed coat on percentage of seed germination

Data on the main effect of seed coat on seed germination are presented in Table 2. It could be observed that the removal of seed coat influenced the germination percentage significantly. Throughout the period of study, seeds without seed coat recorded higher values for germination compared to seeds with seed coat. The final germination percentage after the eighth week was 70.111 and 85.00 respectively for seeds with and without seed coat.

4.1.3 Effect of GA on percentage of seed germination 4.1.3.1 Main effect of different periods of soaking

The study on the main effect of soaking on seed

Treatments		(	Germination	percentaç	je at weekl	y interval	.s	
	1	2	3	4	5			- <b></b>
<sup>N</sup> l	26.889 <sup>a</sup>	38.222 <sup>a</sup>	46.278 <sup>a</sup>	47.500 <sup>a</sup>	57.500 <sup>a</sup>	62.556 <sup>a</sup>	70.111 <sup>a</sup>	
<sup>M</sup> 2			.79.000 <sup>b</sup>					
CD(0.05)	2.720*	J.U⊥6*	4.552*	4.098*	4.915*	5.299*	5.071*	5.071*
_	seed coat ficant at 5	per cent		1 <sub>2</sub> - with	out seed o	coat		

Table 2. Main effect of seed coat on seed germination at weekly intervals

.

germination (Table 3) showed that there was significant difference among the soaking periods (6 h, 12 h, 18 h and 24 h) with respect to the percentage of seed germination, throughout the period of study. In the first week, all the treatments were on par, but were significantly superior to the control (18.00 per cent). From the second week to the end of eighth week,  $T_2$  (12 h soaking) gave the highest percentage of germination as 53.667, 67.778, 68.444, 75.333, 76.889, 80.667 and 80.667 respectively. During all these weeks, the control recorded the lowest values for germination percentage which were computed as 28.667, 38.667, 48.667, 57.334, 59.334, 61.334 and 61.334 respectively.

### 4.1.3.2 Main effect of different concentrations of GA

The data presented in Table 4 showed that there was significant difference in seed germination between different concentrations of GA and the control, in all the weeks studied. The concentrations of GA (200 ppm, 300 ppm and 500 ppm) were proved to be on par, except in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th weeks, as far as the seed germination was concerned. In these weeks,  $C_3$ (500 ppm GA) was significantly superior to other treatments and yielded 55.667 per cent, 65.917 per cent and 68.250 per cent germination respectively. In all the weeks from lst to 8th, the control recorded the least values for germination percentage (18.00, 28.667, 38.667, 48.667, 57.334, 59.334, 61.334 and 61.334 respectively).

Freatments		Germination percentage at weekly intervals										
	1	2	3	4	5	· 6	7	8				
Tl	29.444 <sup>b</sup>	42.222 <sup>b</sup>			65.111 <sup>b</sup>							
<sup>т</sup> 2	38.667 <sup>b</sup>	53.667 <sup>C</sup>	67.778 <sup>C</sup>	68.444 <sup>°</sup>	75.333 <sup>C</sup>	76.889 <sup>C</sup>	80.667 <sup>C</sup>	80.667 <sup>C</sup>				
<sup>т</sup> 3	38.778 <sup>b</sup>	53.556 <sup>C</sup>	66.778 <sup>C</sup>	67.667 <sup>C</sup>	73-222 <sup>C</sup>	76.667 <sup>0</sup>	80.222 <sup>C</sup>	80.222 <sup>C</sup>				
т4	32.000 <sup>b</sup>	48.222 <sup>bc</sup>	60.222 <sup>b</sup>	65.550 <sup>°</sup>	71.333 <sup>bc</sup>	74.444 <sup>bC</sup>	76.222 <sup>0C</sup>	76.222 <sup>b</sup>				
Control	17.999 <sup>a</sup>	28.667 <sup>a</sup>	38.667 <sup>a</sup>	48.667 <sup>a</sup>	57.334 <sup>a</sup>	59.334 <sup>a</sup>	61.334 <sup>a</sup>	61.334 <sup>a</sup>				
CD (0.05)	9.797*	6.304*	6.047*	5.796*	7.000*	7.494*	7.180*	7.180*				
			<u>.</u>									
'l - 6 hs	oaking;	T <sub>2</sub> - 12	h soaking	9; Ý <sub>3</sub> .	- 18 h soa	aking; 7	24 h	soaking				
Control - No	soaking			5			4 21 11	Jouring				
Significan	t at 5 per	cent level										

Table 3. Main effect of different soaking periods on seed germination at weekly intervals

.

Treatments		Germination percentage at weekly intervals											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
cl	31.000 <sup>b</sup>	45.833 <sup>b</sup>	60.500 <sup>b</sup>	62.500 <sup>b</sup>	69.500 <sup>b</sup>	71.667 <sup>b</sup>	76.500 <sup>b</sup>	76.500 <sup>b</sup>					
C2	33.750 <sup>b</sup>	46.750 <sup>b</sup>	61.250 <sup>bc</sup>	64.000 <sup>bc</sup>	70.833 <sup>b</sup>	73.000 <sup>b</sup>	76.667 <sup>b</sup>	76.667 <sup>b</sup>					
c3	39.417 <sup>b</sup>	55.667 <sup>C</sup>	65.917 <sup>C</sup>	68.250 <sup>C</sup>	73.417 <sup>b</sup>	76.667 <sup>b</sup>	80.000 <sup>b</sup>	80.000 <sup>b</sup>					
Control	17.9 <b>9</b> 9 <sup>a</sup>	28.667 <sup>a</sup>	38.667 <sup>a</sup>	48.667 <sup>a</sup>	57.334 <sup>a</sup>	59.334 <sup>a</sup>	61.334 <sup>a</sup>	61.334 <sup>a</sup>					
CD (0.05)	1 <b>2.441*</b>	7.904*	5.344*	5.019*	6.020*	6.490*	6.210*	6.210*					

Table 4.	Main effect of	different	concentrations	of	GA	on	seed	germination	at	weeklv
,	intervals							5		····· · <b>·</b>

.

\* Significant at 5 per cent level

.

- 4.1.4 Interaction effect of various factors on percentage of seed germination
- 4.1.4.1. Interaction effect of seed coat and different periods of soaking

It is evident from Table 5 that the combined effect of seed coat and period of soaking was significant only in the fourth and fifth weeks of germination. In both the weeks,  $M_2T_2$  (without seed coat - 12 h soaking) and  $M_2T_3$  (without seed coat - 18 h soaking) recorded the highest percentage of germination while  $M_1T_1$  (with seed coat - 6 h soaking) recorded the lowest percentage of germination. The highest and lowest germination percentage in the fourth week were 84.889 and 35.111 respectively while those in the fifth week were 87.111 and 48.00 respectively.

## 4.1.4.2 Interaction effect of seed coat and different concentrations of GA

The interaction effect of seed coat and different concentrations of GA was significant only in 3rd, 4th and 5th weeks (Table 6). In these weeks, the highest germination percentage of 80.00, 84.00 and 87.667 respectively were noticed in  $M_2C_1$  (without seed coat - 200 ppm GA). The lowest values in these three weeks were 41.00, 41.00 and 52.333 respectively in  $M_1C_1$  (with seed coat - 200 ppm GA).

	. l <b></b>	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<sup>M</sup> l <sup>T</sup> l	19.556	23.889	35.111	35.111 <sup>a</sup>	48.000 <sup>a</sup>	52.000	64.000	64.000
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 2	33.333	43.556	52.000	52.000 <sup>b</sup>	63.556 <sup>b</sup>	66.667	74.222	74.222
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 3	29.778	42.222	50.444	50.444 <sup>b</sup>	59.333 <sup>b</sup>	66.222	73.333	73.333
<sup>M</sup> ]. <sup>′</sup> <sup>T</sup> 4	24.889	32.2 <b>2</b> 2	47.556	52.444 <sup>b</sup>	59.111 <sup>b</sup>	65.333	68,889	68.889
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	39.333	55.555	76.444	80.889 <sup>c</sup>	82.222 <sup>C</sup>	82.222	82.222	82.222
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 2	44.000	63.778	83.556	84.889 <sup>C</sup>	87.111 <sup>C</sup>	87.111	87.111	87.111
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 3	47.778	64.889	. 83.111	84.889 <sup>C</sup>	87.111 <sup>c</sup>	87.111	87.111	87.111
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 4	39.111	58.222	72.889	78.667 <sup>C</sup>	86.556 <sup>C</sup>	83.556	83.556	83.556
CD (0.05)	NS	NS ·	NS	8.150*	9.781*	NS	NS	NS
M <sub>l</sub> - with	seed coat;		6 h soaki	.ng; T <sub>3</sub>	- 18 h s	oaking;		
M <sub>2</sub> - with	out seed co	at; T <sub>2</sub> -	12 h soaki	.ng; T <sub>4</sub>	- 24 h s	oaking		

Table 5. Interaction effect of seed coat and different periods of soaking on seed germination at weekly intervals

-

•

		Germination	percentage	e at weekl	y interval	ls	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
23.667	34.000	41.000 <sup>a</sup>	41.000 <sup>a</sup>	52.333 <sup>a</sup>	56.667	66.333	66.333
25.000	34.333	45.667 <sup>ab</sup>	48.000 <sup>ab</sup>	.59.333 <sup>a</sup>	63.667	69.000	. 69.000
32.000	46.333	52.167 <sup>b</sup>	53.500 <sup>b</sup>	60.8333 <sup>a</sup>	67.333	75.000	75.ÚOO
38.333	57.667	80.000 <sup>C</sup>	84.000 <sup>C</sup>	86.667 <sup>b</sup>	86.667	86.667	88.667
42.500	59.167	76.834 <sup>C</sup>	80.000 <sup>C</sup>	82.334 <sup>b</sup>	82.334	82.334	82.334
46.833	65.000	79.667 <sup>C</sup>	83.000 <sup>C</sup>	86.000 <sup>b</sup>	86.000	86.000	86.000
NS	NS	7.557*	7.090*	8.514*	NS	NS	NS
	C <sub>1</sub> at; c	- 200 ppm	GA; C <sub>3</sub>	- 500 g	opm GA;	<del></del>	
	25.000 32.000 38.333 42.500 46.833 NS	l 2 23.667 34.000 25.000 34.333 32.000 46.333 38.333 57.667 42.500 59.167 46.833 65.000 NS NS	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23.66734.000 $41.000^{a}$ $41.000^{a}$ $52.333^{a}$ $56.667$ $66.333$ 25.00034.333 $45.667^{ab}$ $48.000^{ab}$ $59.333^{a}$ $63.667$ $69.000$ 32.000 $46.333$ $52.167^{b}$ $53.500^{b}$ $60.8333^{a}$ $67.333$ $75.000$ 38.333 $57.667$ $80.000^{c}$ $84.000^{c}$ $86.667^{b}$ $86.667$ $86.667$ 42.500 $59.167$ $76.834^{c}$ $80.000^{c}$ $82.334^{b}$ $82.334$ $82.334$ 46.833 $65.000$ $79.667^{c}$ $83.000^{c}$ $86.000^{b}$ $86.000$ $86.000$ NSNS $7.557*$ $7.090*$ $8.514*$ NSNS

.

Table 6. Interaction effect of seed coat and different concentrations of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals

• •

.

### 4.1.4.3 Interaction effect of different periods of soaking and concentrations of GA

Data on the combined effect of different periods of soaking and concentrations of GA on seed germination are compiled in Table 7. It was evident that the interaction effect was significant in 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th weeks. In 2nd and 5th weeks,  $T_2C_3$  (12 h soaking - 500 ppm GA) recorded the maximum germination percentage of 66.667 and 81.333 respectively while in the 3rd and 4th weeks  $T_3C_3$  (18 h soaking 500 ppm GA) recorded the highest germination percentage (76.667). The lowest germination in the 3rd, 4th and 5th weeks was recorded in  $T_1C_1$  (6 h soaking - 200 ppm GA) as 51.333, 56.00 and 63.333 per cent respectively. In the 2nd week, the lowest germination of 37.333 per cent was noticed in  $T_1C_2$  (6 h soaking - 300 ppm GA).

## 4.1.4.4 Interaction effect of seed coat, different concentrations and soaking periods of GA

Table 8 indicated that the data given in The interaction effect was significant only in the 1st week. In this week, the highest gormination percentage was computed as 53.333 in  $M_2T_2C_3$  (without seed coat - 12 h soaking - 500 ppm GA) and  $M_2T_3C_3$  (without seed coat - 18 h soaking - 500 ppm GA). The lowest germination percentage of 12.00 was noticed in  $M_1T_1C_2$  (with seed coat - 6 h soaking - 300 ppm GA). During the other weeks, none of the treatments showed significant difference.

· · ·	Germination percentage at weekly intervals.											
Treatments	1	2	3	4	5 5	6	7	 8 				
T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	28.000	41.333 <sup>ab</sup>	51.333 <sup>a</sup>	56.000ª	63.333 <sup>a</sup>	64.667	72.667	72.667				
<sup>T</sup> 1 <sup>C</sup> 2	26.000	37.333 <sup>a</sup>	58.000 <sup>ab</sup>	58.000 <sup>a</sup>	68.000 <sup>abc</sup>	70.667	72.000	72.000				
<sup>r</sup> 1 <sup>c</sup> 3	34 <b>.</b> 333 ·	48.000 <sup>abcd</sup>	58.000 <sup>ab</sup>	60.000 <sup>ab</sup>	64.000 <sup>ab</sup>	66.000	74.667	74.667				
<sup>r</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 1	31.333	44.000 <sup>abc</sup>	60.667 <sup>abc</sup>	61.333 <sup>ab</sup>	70.000 <sup>abcd</sup>	72.000	75.333	75.333				
<sup>2</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 2	35.333	50.333 <sup>bcd</sup>	68.6 <b>67<sup>bcde</sup></b>	69.333 <sup>bc</sup>	74.667 <sup>abcd</sup>	76.000	79.333	79.333				
<sup>2</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 3 .	49.333	66.667 <sup>e</sup>	de 74.000	74.667 <sup>C</sup>	81.333 <sup>d</sup>	82.667	87.333	87.333				
'3 <sup>C</sup> 1	28.667	44.667 <sup>abc</sup>	59.333 <sup>ab</sup>	60.667 <sup>ab</sup>	68.667 <sup>abc</sup>	71.333	74.667	74.667				
3 <sup>C</sup> 2	46.333	59.333 <sup>de</sup> -	64.333 <sup>bcd</sup>	65.667 <sup>abc</sup>	70.333 <sup>abćd</sup>	76.6 <b>6</b> 7	78.000	78.000				
<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>C</sup> 3	41.333	56.667 <sup>de</sup>	76.667 <sup>e</sup>	76.667 <sup>C</sup>	80.667 <sup>cd</sup>	82.000	88.000	88.000				
<sup>2</sup> 4 <sup>C</sup> 1	36.000	53.333 <sup>cd</sup>	70.667 <sup>cde</sup>	72.000 <sup>bc</sup>	76.000 <sup>bcd</sup>	78.667	83.333	83.333				
4 <sup>C</sup> 2	27.333	40.000 <sup>ab</sup> .	52.000 <sup>a</sup>	60.000 <sup>ab</sup>	66.667 <sup>ab</sup>	70.000	70.000	7 <b>0.</b> 000				
4 <sup>C</sup> 3	32.667	51.333 <sup>bcd</sup>	58.000 <sup>ab</sup>	64.667 <sup>abc</sup>	71.333 <sup>abcd</sup>	74.667	75.333	75.333				
CD (0.05)	NS	11.142*	10.682*	10.039*	12.040*	NS	NS	NS				

Table 7. Interaction effect of different periods of soaking and concentrations of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals

 $T_1 - 6$  h soaking;  $T_1 - 12$  h soaking;  $T_3 - 18$  ha soaking;  $T_4 - 24$  h soaking

 $C_1 - 200 \text{ ppm GA}; C_2 - 300 \text{ ppm GA}; C_3 - 500 \text{ ppm GA}$ 

\* Significant at 5 per cent level

		Cermination percentage at weekly intervals										
Treatments		2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
. <sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 1 <sup>C</sup> 1	18.667 <sup>abc</sup>	26,667	29.333	29.333	42.667	45.333	61.333	61.33				
$M_1T_1C_2$	12.000 <sup>.a</sup>	20.000	32.000	32.000	52.000	57.333	60.000	60.00				
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 1 <sup>C</sup> 3	28.000 <sup>cde</sup>	40.000	44.000	44.000	49.333	53.333	70.667	70.66				
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 1	24.000 <sup>bc</sup>	34.667	40.000	. 40.000	54.667	58.667	65.333	65.33				
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	30.667 <sup>cdef</sup>	41.333	53.333	53.333	64.000	66.667	73.333	73.33				
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 3	45.333 <sup>hij</sup>	54.667	62.667	62.667	72.000	74.667	84.000	84.00				
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	17.333 <sup>abc</sup>	30.667	37.333	37.333	49.333	54.667	61.333	61.33				
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 3 <sup>C</sup> 2	42.667 <sup>9hij</sup>	52.000	60.000	60.000	68.000	70.667	82.667	82.66				
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	29.333 <sup>cdef</sup>	44.000	54.000	54.000	60.667	73.333	76.000	76.00				
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	34.667 <sup>cdefg</sup>	44.000	57.333	57.333	62.667	68.000	77.333	77.33				
M <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	14.667 <sup>ab</sup>	24.000	37.333	46.667	53.333	60.000	60.000	60.00				
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>T</sup> 4 <sup>C</sup> 3	25.333 <sup>bc</sup>	46.667	48.000	53.333	61.333	68.000	69.333	69.33				
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	37.333 <sup>defgh</sup>	56.000	73.333	82.667	84.000	84.000	84.000	84.000				
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	40.000 <sup>efghi</sup>	54.667	82.000	84.000	84.000	84.000	84.000	84.00				
M <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	40.667 <sup>efghi</sup>	56.000	72.000	76.000	78.667	78.667	78.667	78.66				
M2 <sup>T2<sup>C</sup>1</sup>	38.667 <sup>efgh</sup>	<b>53.33</b> 3	81.333	82.333	85.333	85.333	85.333	85.33				
M2 <sup>T</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 2	40.000 <sup>efghi</sup>	. <b>59.33</b> 3	84.000	85.333	85.333	85.333	85.333	85.333				
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 2 <sup>C</sup> 3	53.333 <sup>j</sup>	78.667	85.333	86.667	90.667	90.667	90.667	90.667				
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 3 <sup>C</sup> 1	40.000 <sup>efghi</sup>	58.667	81.333	84.000	88.000	88.000	88.000	88.000				
<sup>м</sup> 2 <sup>т</sup> 3 <sup>с</sup> 2	50.000 <sup>ij</sup>	66.667	74.667	77.333	80.000	- 80.000	80.000	80.000				
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 3 <sup>C</sup> 3	53.333 <sup>1</sup>	69.333	93.333	93.333	93.333	93.333	93.333	93.333				
<sup>4</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 4 <sup>C</sup> 1	37.333 <sup>defgh</sup>	62.667	84.000	86.667	89.333	89.333	89.333	89.333				
<sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 4 <sup>C</sup> 2	40.000 <sup>efghi</sup>	56.000	66.667	73.333	80.000	80.000	80.000	80.000				
<sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>T</sup> 4 <sup>C</sup> 3	40.000 <sup>efghi</sup>	56.000	68.000	76.000	81.333	81.333	81.333	81.333				
D (0.05)	11.600*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				

Table 8. Interaction effect of seed coat, different concentrations and soaking periods of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals

....

 $M_1$  - with seed coat;  $M_2$  - without seed coat;  $T_1$  - 6 h soaking;  $T_2$  - 12 h soaking;  $T_3$  - 18 h soaking;  $T_4$  - 24 h soaking;  $C_1$  - 200 ppm GA;  $C_2$  - 300 ppm GA;  $C_3$  - 500 ppm GA \* Significant at 5 per cent level

۰.

ŀ

Table 9. Effect of different treatments on seed germination over controls at weekly intervals

Treatments			Germinatio	n percenta	ge at week	ly interva:	ls	
	1	2	3	4	5	Ġ	 7 <sup>.</sup>	8
Control-1 vs. the rest of N	17.556	. 20.889	19.661	14.167	17.500	18.556	22.111	22.111
Contrcl-2 vs. the rest of M	15.889 · 2	20.611	28.333	18.333	10.333	10 333	10.333.	10.333
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	Contro	1-1 - wi	th seed co	pat - no GA	- no soak	ing		
	Contro	1-2 - wi	thout seed	coat - no	GA - no s	oaking		
• •	I	M <sub>l</sub> – wi	th seed co	at				
	. 1	<sup>M</sup> 2 - wi	thout seed	coat				

ဇာ သ 4.1.4.5 Effect of different treatments over controls

The data showing the superiority of interaction of seed coat and soaking treatment with GA over controls are tabulated in Table 9. It could be read from the data that the various GA treatments were superior to the corresponding control treatments, throughout the period of study. When control-1 (with seed coat - no GA treatment) was compared with various GA treatments with seed coat it was found that the superiority of treatments over the control increased upto the end of the 2nd week, thereafter decreased upto the end of the 4th week, again increased and remained steady after the end of the 7th week. The superiority of GA treatments over control-2 (without seed coat - no GA treatment) increased upto the end of the 3rd week, then decreased in the 4th week, and remained steady after the 5th week.

## 4.2 Standardisation of type and age of rootstock for epicotyl, softwood and double grafting

The type and age of rootstock are known to have a pronounced influence on the success and growth of grafted plants in several tropical fruit crops.

### 4.2.1 Standardisation of the type of rootstock

In the present study, rootstocks belonging to two species of the family Gutt:ferae, viz., <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> and

<u>G. cambogia</u> were tried. The initial and final percentage of success of grafts using these rootstocks following various vegetative propagation methods were recorded. The data were computed using chi-square statistic.

4.2.1.1 Epicotyl grafting

Among the two rootstocks tried, <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> did not attain sufficient height and girth at the prescribed age for epicotyl grafting. Hence epicotyl grafting was done only on <u>G. tinctoria</u> rootstocks.

4.2.1.2 Softwood grafting

The data on the effect of types of rootstock on initial (30 and 60 days, after grafting) and final (90 days after grafting) success are presented in Table 10. Initial success of grafts was not: influenced by the type of rootstock in softwood grafting while the final success after 90 days of grafting was significantly influenced by the type of rootstock. The final success of grafts after 90 days was high (60.00 per cent) when <u>G. cambogia</u> was used as rootstock. When <u>G. tinctoria</u> was used, the success was computed as 40.00 per cent.

Effect of type of rootstock on extension of growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion was compared using two sample case t-test and are tabulated in Tables 11 to 13. It

er of			Final success				
fts le	30 DAG		60 DAG	9	90 DAG		
	per Percer	ntage Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
0 66	5 <sup>a</sup> 55.(	00 53.00 <sup>a</sup>	44.16	48.00 <sup>a</sup>	40.00		
0 <b>7</b> 8	3 <sup>a</sup> 65.(	00 75.00 <sup>a</sup>	62.50	72.00 <sup>b</sup>	60.00		
	le Numb 66	le Number Percer	leNumber Percentage Number	le Number Percentage Number Percentage	le Number Percentage Number Percentage Number 66 <sup>a</sup> 55.00 53.00 <sup>a</sup> 44.16 48.00 <sup>a</sup>		

Table 10. Effect of different types of rootsock on the success of softwood grafting

R<sub>2</sub> - <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> x<sup>2</sup> - analysis was done .

eatments			Extension growth at fortnightly intervals								Mean
	l	2	3	4	5	6 6	77	8	9	10	
. <sup>R</sup> 1	1.010	1.020	1.057	1.087	1.133	1.157	1.180	1.213	1.253	1.287	1.140
<sup>R</sup> 2 .	1.030	2.370	6.200	9.000	11.300.	13.510	15.560	17.250	17.940	19.300	11.346

Table 11. Effect of different types of rootstock on the extension growth of scion in cm at fortnightly intervals in softwood grafting

Two sample case t-test was done

-

-

.

Table 12. Effect of different types of rootstock on the girth of scion in cm at fortnightly intervals in softwood grafting

Treatments	Girth at fortnightly intervals										
	1	2							9	10	- Mean
Rl	1.067	1,067					1.137		1.170	1:180	1.116
R <sub>2</sub>	1.280	1.290	1.330	1.360	1.450	1.491	1.520	1.570	1.580	1.630	1.450 <sup>b</sup>

 $R_1 - \underline{G}$ . <u>tinctoria</u>;  $R_2 - \underline{G}$ . <u>cambogia</u>

Two sample case t-test was done

,

,

ceatments	Number of leaves at fortnightly intervals											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	• Mean	
,												
<sup>R</sup> 1	1.970	1.970	1.960	1.800	1.830	1.700	1.730	1.730	1.700	1.700	1.809 <sup>č</sup>	
R <sub>2</sub>	2.000	2.000	2.600	4.100	4.600	6.300	6.600	7.200	7.200	7.800	5.040 <sup>1</sup>	

Table 13. Effect of different types of rootstock on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals in softwood grafting

 $R_1 - \underline{G}$ . <u>tinctoria</u>;  $R_2 - \underline{G}$ . <u>cambogia</u>

Two sample case t-test was done

was evident from the data that  $R_2$  (softwood grafting on <u>G. cambogia</u> was significantly superior to that on <u>G. tinctoria</u> ( $R_1$ ). The extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion on <u>G. cambogia</u>, taken as the average of ten fortnights after grafting were 11.346 cm, 1.450 cm and 5.040 while the corresponding values of the scion on <u>G. tinctoria</u> were 1.140 cm, 1.116 cm and 1.809 respectively.

### 4.2.1.3 Double grafting

data on the effect of type of rootstock The combinations on the success of double grafting are presented in Table 14. Similar to softwood grafting, in double grafting also, success after 30 days of grafting was not significantly different among the three kinds of combination tried, but after 60 days,  $M_3R_2$  (double grafting using two seedlings of G. cambogia) was significantly superior (55.00 per cent) to the other two combinations while these two were on par. When the final success after 90 days was compared, all the combinations were found to be statistically different from each other.  $M_3R_2$  recorded the highest success percentage (52.50) followed by  $M_3R_3$  (double grafting using one seedling each of <u>G. cambogia</u> and <u>G. tinctoria</u>) which gave 25.00 per M<sub>3</sub>R<sub>1</sub> (double grafting on two seedlings of cent success. G. <u>tinctoria</u>) proved to be the least successful (17.50 per cent success).

Treatments	Number of grafts		Initial	Final success			
		3	0 DAG	6	DAG	90 DAG	
	made	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
M <sub>3</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	120	61 <sup>a</sup>	50.83	35 <sup>a</sup>	29.17	21 <sup>a</sup>	17.50
M <sub>3</sub> R	.120	58 <sup>a</sup>	48.33	37 <sup>a</sup>	30.83	30 <sup>b</sup>	25.00
<sup>M</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 2	120	72 <sup>a</sup>	60.00	66 <sup>b</sup>	55.00	63 <sup>C</sup>	52.50
<sup>4</sup> 3 - Double	grafting	$R_1 - \underline{G} \cdot \underline{t}$	inctoria + <u>G</u> .	tinctoria	; DAG - Day	s after c	rafting
		R <sub>2</sub> - <u>G</u> . <u>c</u>	ambogia + <u>G</u> . <u>c</u>	ambogia			•
		R - <u>G</u> . t:	<u>inctoria</u> + <u>G</u> .	cambogia			

.

Table 14. Effect of different rootstock combinations on the success of double grafting

 $x^2$  - analysis was done

### 4.2.2 Standardisation of age of rootstock

The different age groups selected for <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks were 10, 15 and 20 days for epicotyl grafting and two, three and four months for softwood grafting whereas it was 18 months for <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> for softwood grafting. Double grafting was done using two rootstocks for each scion. The details of age groups of rootstocks are given in Chapter II. In order to compute the initial and final percentage of success of grafts chi-square test was adopted.

### 4.2.2.1 Epicotyl grafting

The data on the initial and final success of epicotyl grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> (Table 15) showed that the three age groups of rootstock viz., 10, 15 and 20 days after the emergence of seedlings were statistically homogeneous after 30 days of grafting while after 60 and 90 days the treatments differed significantly. Epicotyl grafting on 20 days old <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks  $(A_3R_1)$  recorded the highest percentage of success (80.00 per cer.t) followed by 15 days old rootstocks  $(A_2R_1 - 57.50$  per cent). Epicotyl grafting on 10 days old <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> recorded the least percentage of success  $(A_1R_1 - 52.50$  per cent). When the percentage of success after 90 days was computed, the same treatment,  $A_3R_1$  accounted the highest success (75.00 per cent) followed by  $A_2R_1$  (57.50 per cent) and  $A_1R_1$  (50.00 per cent).

	Number of	, 	Initial	Final	Final success			
Treatments	grafts made	30 DAG		. 6	0 DAG	90 DAG		
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Alul	120	72 <sup>a</sup>	60.00	63 <sup>a</sup>	52.50	60 <sup>a</sup>	50.00	
<sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>K</sup> 1	120	'75 <sup>a</sup>	62.50	69 <sup>a</sup>	57.50	69 <sup>b</sup>	57 <b>.</b> 5Ú	
<sup>A</sup> 3 <sup><i>R</i></sup> 1	120	96 <sup>4</sup>	. 80.00	93 <sup>b</sup>	80.00	90 <sup>C</sup>	75.00	
A <sub>l</sub> - 10 days	J. —	. <u>tinctori</u>	<u>a</u>				7	
A <sub>2</sub> - 15 days	DAG – I	Days after	grafting					
A <sub>3</sub> - 20 days								
x <sup>2</sup> - analysi	s was done							

.

-

.

Table 15. Effect of age of rootstock on the success of epicotyl grafting

4.2.2.2 Softwood grafting

The data pertaining to the effect of age of rootstock on the initial and final success of softwood grafting are presented in Table 16. The results indicated that the different age groups of rootstock influenced the graft success only after 90 days of grafting. At this stage, softwood grafting on 18 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstocks yielded the highest percentage of success followed by softwood grafting on two months, three months and four months old <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks. The percentage of success accounted in these cases were 60.00, 52.00, 38.00 and 30.00 per cent respectively.

4.2.2.3 Double grafting

results computed on the effect of age of The rootstocks on the initial and final success of grafting are shown in Table 17. On comparison of treatments, it was observed that  $M_{3}A_{3}R_{1}$  (double grafting using two, 20 days old seedlings of <u>G</u>. tinctoria) yielded the maximum percentage of success after 30 days of grafting (82.50 per cent). The maximum success after 60 days was observed in  $M_3^A r_2^R$  (55.00 per cent) followed by  $M_3^{I_3}R_1$ . After 90 days also  $M_3^{A_7}R_2$ yielded the maximum success of 52.50 per cent.  $M_3A_1R_1$  (double grafting on two seedlings of 10 days old <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u>) recorded the least percentage of success after 30, 60 and 90

	Number of		Initial	success		Final	success	
Treatments	grafts made	3	0 DAG	6	0 DAG	90 DAG		
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
<sup>A</sup> 4 <sup>R</sup> 1	120	75 <sup>a</sup>	62.50	66 <sup>a</sup>	55.00	62 <sup>C</sup>	52.00	
A <sub>5</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	120	66 <sup>a</sup>	55.00	51 <sup>a</sup>	42.50	45 <sup>b</sup>	38.00	
A6 <sup>R</sup> 1	120	57 <sup>a</sup>	47.50	42 <sup>a</sup>	35.00	36 <sup>a</sup>	30.00	
<sup>A</sup> 8 <sup>R</sup> 2	120	78 <sup>a</sup>	65.00	75 <sup>a</sup>	62.50	72 <sup>d</sup>	60.00	
A <sub>4</sub> – 2 moi A <sub>5</sub> – 3 moi	T							
$A_6 - 4 \text{ mon}$	2	- <u>G</u> . <u>cam</u> - Days a:	fter graftig					
A <sub>8</sub> - 18 mon	nths							
x <sup>2</sup> - analy	ysis was done							

Table 16. Effect of age of rootstock on the success of softwood grafting

.

-

	Number of		Initial	success		Final success 90 DAG		
Treatments	grafts made	3	0 DAG	 6(	DAG			
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	120	27 <sup>a</sup>	22.50	15 <sup>a</sup>	,12.50	0 <sup>a</sup>	· 0.00	
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	120	57 <sup>b</sup>	47.50	33 <sup>bc</sup>	27.50	24 <sup>b</sup>	. 20.00	
$M_{3}A_{3}R_{1}$	120	99 <sup>d</sup>	82.50	57 <sup>C</sup>	47.50	39 <sup>C</sup>	32.50	
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>1</sub> R	120	54 <sup>b</sup>	45.00	45 <sup>C</sup>	37.50	21 <sup>b</sup>	30.00	
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>2</sub> R	120	60 <sup>b</sup>	50.00	36 <sup>bc</sup>	30.00	30 <sup>C</sup>	25.00	
M <sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub> R	120	60 <sup>b</sup>	50.00	30 <sup>b</sup>	25.00	24 <sup>b</sup>	20.00	
<sup>M</sup> 3 <sup>A</sup> 7 <sup>R</sup> 2	120	·72 <sup>C</sup>	60.00	66 <sup>d</sup>	55.00	63 <sup>d</sup>	52.50	
M <sub>3</sub> - Doubl	le grafting	A <sub>1</sub> - 10	days R.	<u>- G. tin</u>	ctoria + <u>G</u> . t			
		Λ <sub>2</sub> - 15			<u>bogia</u> + <u>G</u> . <u>ca</u>			
		A <sub>3</sub> - 20	days R		bogia <u>+ G. ti</u>			
	after graftin Vsis was done		2 months					

Table 17. Effect of age of rootstock combinations on the success of double grafting

.

days of grafting which was accounted as 22.50, 12.50 and 0.00 respectively.

# 4.2.3 Standardisation of type of rootstock and method of grafting

The grafted plants at different stages of growth are The data on the success illustrated in Plates 9 to 14. percentage, extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the grafts in different treatments using two types of rootstocks and following three methods of grafting are tabulated in Tables 18, 19, 20 and 21 respectively. In order to compare the treatments based on initial and final success percentage of grafts chi-square test was conducted. Analysis of variance was done (Appendix III) to compare the treatments on the basis of extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion which were taken as the average of ten fortnights after grafting.

The results obtained (Table 18) indicated that there was significant difference between the treatments regarding the success of grafts after 60 and 90 days of grafting when different rootstocks were used and different methods were followed, while all the treatments seemed to be homogeneous after 30 days of grafting. A maximum percentage of success after 60 days was found to be associated with  $M_1R_1$  (epicotyl grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u>) and  $M_2R_2$  (softwood grafting on

Plate 9 Epicotyl grafts on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)

.

Plate 10 Softwood grafts on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)





Plate 11 Softwood grafts on <u>Garcinia cambogia</u> (3, 4 and 5 months after grafting)

Plate 12 Double grafts on <u>Garcinia tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)




Plate 13 Double grafts on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)

Plate 14 Double grafts on <u>Garcinia cambogia</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u> (2, 3 and 4 months after grafting)





	Number of		Initial	success		Final	success
Freatments	grafts made		0 DAG	<u>-</u> б	0 DAG	90	) dag
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
<sup>4</sup> 1 <sup>R</sup> 1	120	81 <sup>a</sup>	67.50	75 <sup>°</sup>	62.50	74 <sup>C</sup>	61.67
<sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 1	120	66 <sup>8</sup>	55.00	53 <sup>b</sup>	44.16	48 <sup>b</sup>	39.72
<sup>4</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 2	120	78 <sup>a</sup>	65.00	75 <sup>C</sup>	62.50	72 <sup>°</sup>	60.00
<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 1	120	61 <sup>a</sup>	50.83	35 <sup>a</sup>	29.17	21 <sup>a</sup>	17.50
1 <sub>3</sub> R	120	60 <sup>a</sup>	50.00	33 <sup>a</sup>	27.50	27 <sup>a</sup>	22.50
<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 2	120	72 <sup>a</sup>	60.00	66 <sup>C</sup>	55.00	63 <sup>C</sup>	52.50
l <sub>l</sub> - Epico	tyl grafting	R <sub>1</sub>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
1 <sub>2</sub> - Softw	ood grafting	 R <sub>2</sub> -	<u> </u>	-			
3 - Doubl	e grafting	R -	<u>G. tinctori</u>		mbogia		
AG - Days	after graftin	g			<u></u>		
2' - analy	sis was done				1		

Table 18. Interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on the success of grafts

.

<u>G. cambogia</u>) which was accounted as 62.50. At this stage,  $M_3R_2$  (double grafting on two seedlings of <u>G. cambogia</u>) was found to be on par with  $M_1R_1$  and  $M_2R_2$  which gave 55.00 per cent success. After 90 days also,  $M_1R_1$  was found to be on par with  $M_2R_2$  and  $M_3R_2$ . These three treatments recorded 61.67, 60.00 and 52.50 per cent success respectively after 90 days. The treatment,  $M_3R$  (double grafting on <u>G. tinctoria</u> and <u>G. cambogia</u>)recorded the lowest percentage of success after 60 days of grafting while  $M_3R_1$  (double grafting on two seedlings of <u>G. tinctoria</u>) yielded the lowest percentage of success (17.50) after 90 days of grafting. Since this treatment did not put forth any new growth, it was deleted from further studies.

The statistical analysis of Table 19 revealed that the different treatments with respect to the type of rootstock and method of grafting differed significantly considering the extension growth of the scion. It seemed that the maximum extension growth of 11.346 cm was associated with  $M_2R_2$  followed by  $M_3R_2$  which recorded 8.105 cm. The least extension growth of 1.140 cm was recorded in  $M_2R_1$  (softwood grafting on <u>G. tinctoria</u>) and it was on par with the rest of the two treatments viz.,  $M_2R_1$  and  $M_3R$ . The treatment,  $M_2R_2$  recorded the highest value for girth of scion (1.450 cm) while the lowest value was found in  $M_1R_1$  (0.887 cm) and it was on par with  $M_2R_1$  and  $M_3R$  as revealed from Table 20. It was

Treatments			Ext	ension g	rowth at	fortnig	htly int	ervals			
	1	2	3	4	5	• 6	7	8	 9	. 10	Mean
Mlul	0.816	0.907	1.003	1.080	1.217	1.283	1.427	1.463	1.500	1.516	1.221 <sup>a</sup>
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 1 ·	1.010	1.020	1.057	1.087	1.133	1.157	1.180	1.213	1.253	1.287	1.140 <sup>a</sup>
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.030	2.370	6.200	9.000	11.300	13.510	15.560	17.250	<sup>.</sup> 17.940	19.300	11.346 <sup>C</sup>
<sup>M</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.160	2.250	4.250	6.850	8.450	10.660	10.660	11.600	12.400	12.770	8.105 <sup>b</sup>
M <sub>3</sub> R	1.075	1.085	1.300	1.435	1.555	1.705	1.940	2.045	2.240	2.425	1.681 <sup>a</sup>
CD (0.05)											3.065*
M <sub>l</sub> - Epice	otyl grad	fting;	м <sub>2</sub> –	Softwoo	d grafti	.ng; M	1 <sub>3</sub> - Do	ouble gra	afting		
$R_1 - G \cdot ti$	inctoria;	; R <sub>2</sub> -	<u>G. cam</u> l	bogi <u>a</u> ;	R – <u>G</u> .	tinctor	<u>ia</u> + G.	cambogia	à		
* Significar									-		G

Table 19. Interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on the extension growth of scion in cm at fortnightly intervals

.

.

•

reatments				Girth	at fortn	ightly i	ntervals				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Mean
<sup>I</sup> 1 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.733	0.757	0.780	0.800	0.877	0.910	0.923	0.977	0.990	1.027	0.877
2 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.067	1.067	1.067	1.077	1.110	1.123	1.137	1.160	1.170	1.180	bo 1:116
<sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.280	1.290	1.330	1.360	1.450	1.491	1.520	, <b>1.</b> 570	1.580	1.630	1.450
<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.020	1.020	1.060	1.140	1.180	1.240	1.330	1.420	1.530	1.610	1.255
3 <sup>R</sup>	0.895	0.895	0.905	0.940	0.990	1.005	1.015	1.070	1.085	1.095	a) 0.990
D (0.05)											0.149
1 <sub>1</sub> - Epic	otyl gra:	fting:	 M G								<u>_</u>
-1	5012 520		···2 ···	SI CWOOD Y	grarcing	<b>'</b> "3 <sup>–</sup>	Doubte	grartin	g		
$R_1 - \underline{G} \cdot \underline{t}$	<u>inctoria</u>	; R <sub>2</sub>	- <u>G</u> . <u>c</u> a	ambogia;	R –	<u>G. tinct</u>	<u>oria</u> + <u>G</u>	. cambog	ia		

Table 20. Interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on the girth of scion in cm at fortnightly intervals

.

10

.

evident that the treatments,  $M_3R_2$  and  $M_2R_2$  recorded higher values for the number of leaves (Table 21). On an average,  $M_3R_2$  and  $M_2R_2$  produced 5.470 and 5.080 leaves respectively. The minimum number of leaves was found in  $M_2R_1$  (1.800) while it was on par with  $M_1R_1$  and  $M_3R_2$ .

4.2.4 Interaction effect of type and age of rootstock and method of grafting on growth parameters

The influence of type and age of rootstock and method of grafting on the various growth parameters of the grafts, viz., extension growth, girth and number of leaves were studied and compared at fortnightly interval upto the 10th fortnight after grafting, adopting the principles of analysis of variance (Appendix IV, V and VI). The data are presented in Tables 22, 23 and 24 respectively.

4.2.4.1 Extension growth of scion

Observations on the extension growth of scion for various treatments are presented in Table 22. The table showed that there was significant difference between the treatments throughout the period of study. In all the fortnights studied, except the first,  $M_2A_8R_2$  (softwood grafting on 18 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>) recorded the maximum values for the extension growth of scion. The values were 2.370 cm, 6.20 cm, 9.00 cm, 11.30 cm, 13.510 cm, 15.560 cm,

Treatments			Numb	er of le	eaves at	fortnigh	tly inte	ervals			- Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
M <sub>1</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	1.330	1.700	2.130	2.470	3.100	3.200	3.170	3.170	3.130	3.100	2,650 <sup>a</sup>
M <sub>2</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	1.970	-1.970	1.930	1.800	1.830	1.700	1.730	1.730	1.700	1.700	1.806 <sup>a</sup>
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 2	2.000	2.000	3.000	4.100	4.600	6.300	6.600	7.200	·7.200	7.800	5.080 <sup>b</sup>
<sup>M</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 2	2.500	2.700	3.200	3.400	4.800	6.000	6.600	7.500	8.300	9.700	5.470 <sup>b</sup>
M <sub>3</sub> R	2.000	2.150	2.150	3.750	2.800	3.100	3.300	3.550	3.800	3.850	2.945 <sup>a</sup>
CD (0.05)											1.344*
 M <sub>l</sub> - Epic	otyl gra	fting;	M <sub>2</sub> - S	oftwood	grafting	; M <sub>3</sub> -	Double	graftin	g		
~		<u>i;</u> R <sub>2</sub> -	-			<i>U</i>					
* Significa	nt at 5	per cent	level								

Table 21. Interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals

.

.

က ယ

		Extension growth at fortnightly intervals													
reatments	, 1	· 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10					
1 <sup>A</sup> 1 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.740 <sup>a</sup>	0.830 <sup>2</sup>	0.880 <sup>a</sup> .	0.970 <sup>a</sup>	1.120 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.370 <sup>a</sup>	1.390 <sup>a</sup>	1.420 <sup>a</sup>	1.450 <sup>a</sup>					
1 <sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.760 <sup>a</sup>	0.860 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.070 <sup>a</sup>	1.280 <sup>a</sup>	1.350 <sup>a</sup>	1.440 <sup>a</sup>	1.450 <sup>a</sup>	1.490 <sup>a</sup>	1.500 <sup>a</sup>					
1 <sup>A</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.950 <sup>b</sup>	1.010 <sup>a</sup>	1.130 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.250 <sup>a</sup>	1.300 <sup>a</sup>	1.470 <sup>a</sup>	1.550 <sup>a</sup>	1.590 <sup>a</sup>	1.600 <sup>a</sup>					
2 <sup>A</sup> 4 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.940 <sup>b</sup>	0.940 <sup>a</sup>	0.950 <sup>a</sup>	0.950 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.010 <sup>a</sup>	1.020 <sup>a</sup>	1.060 <sup>a</sup>	1:070 <sup>a</sup>	1.080 <sup>a</sup>					
2 <sup>A</sup> 5 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.040 <sup>bC</sup>	1.040 <sup>a</sup>	1.100 <sup>a</sup>	1.100 <sup>a</sup>	1.140 <sup>a</sup>	1.170 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.230 <sup>a</sup>	1.290 <sup>a</sup>	1.340 <sup>a</sup>					
2 <sup>A</sup> 6 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.050 <sup>bc</sup>	1.050 <sup>2</sup>	1.120 <sup>a</sup>	1.210 <sup>a</sup>	1.260 <sup>a</sup>	1.290 <sup>a</sup>	1.320 <sup>a</sup>	1.350 <sup>a</sup>	1.400 <sup>a</sup>	1.440 <sup>a</sup>					
2 <sup>A</sup> 8 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.030 <sup>bc</sup>	2.370 <sup>b</sup>	6:200 <sup>°</sup>	9.000 <sup>C</sup>	11.300 <sup>c</sup>	13.510 <sup>°</sup>	15.560 <sup>C</sup>	17.250 <sup>C</sup>	17.940 <sup>C</sup>	19.300 <sup>0</sup>					
3 <sup>A</sup> 7 <sup>R</sup> 2 ·	с 1.160	2.250 <sup>b</sup>	4.250 <sup>b</sup>	6.850 <sup>b</sup>	8.450 <sup>b</sup>	10.660 <sup>b</sup>	10.660 <sup>b</sup>	11.600 <sup>b</sup>	12.400 <sup>b</sup>	12.770 <sup>5</sup>					
3 <sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup>	1.090 <sup>bC</sup>	1.110 <sup>a</sup>	1.400 <sup>a</sup>	1.570 <sup>a</sup>	1.750 <sup>a</sup>	1.930 <sup>a</sup>	2.180 <sup>a</sup>	2.390 <sup>a</sup>	2.640 <sup>a</sup>	2.870 <sup>a</sup>					
<sub>3</sub> A <sub>3</sub> R	1.060 <sup>bC</sup>	1.060 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.300 <sup>a</sup>	1.360 <sup>a</sup>	1.480 <sup>a</sup>	1.700 <sup>a</sup>	1.700 <sup>a</sup>	1.840 <sup>a</sup>	1.980 <sup>8</sup>					
о (0.05)	0.160*	0.400*	0.870*	1.290*	1.410*	1.590*	1,760*	1.860*	2.000*	2.120*					

Table 22. Interaction effect of different types and age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals

.

 $M_1$  - Epicotyl grafting;  $M_2$  - Softwood grafting;  $M_3$  - Double grafting

 $A_1 = 10 \text{ days}; A_2 = 15 \text{ days}; A_3 = 20 \text{ days}; A_4 = 2 \text{ months}; A_5 = 3 \text{ months}; A_6 = 4 \text{ months}$ 

A<sub>7</sub> - 12 months; A<sub>8</sub> - 18 conths; R<sub>1</sub> - <u>G. tinctoria</u>; R<sub>2</sub> - <u>G. cambogia</u>; R - <u>G. tinctoria</u> + <u>G. cambogia</u>

\* Significant at 5 per cent level

17.250 cm, 17.940 cm and 19.30 cm respectively at the end of 2nd to 10th fortnights. In the 1st fortnight, M3A7R2 (double grafting on two, 12 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>) recorded the maximum extension growth of scion which was measured as 1.160 cm. In the 1st, 2nd and 3rd fortnights  $M_1A_1R_1$  (epicoty) grafting on ten days old G. tinctoria) yielded the lowest values for extension growth as 0.740 cm, 0.830 cm and 0.880 cm respectively. Thereafter, upto the 10th fortnight  $M_2^A 4^R 1$ (softwood grafting on 2 months old <u>G</u>. tinctoria) recorded the lowest values (0.950 cm, 1.00 cm, 1.010 cm, 1.020 cm, 1.060 cm, 1.070 cm and 1.080 cm respectively). An overall comparison of revealed the at different fortnights treatments the superiority of  $M_2^A 8_2^R$  and  $M_3^A 7_2^R$  in all the fortnights while the rest of the treatments were on par, for the extension of the scion. <sup>M</sup>2<sup>A</sup>8<sup>R</sup>2 showed the maximum extension growth of 19.30 cm followed by  $M_3^A 7_2^R$  (12.770 cm) while the rest of the treatments showed a difference of only less than 2 cm between the first and last fortnights studied.

### 4.2.4.2 Girth of scion

It was apparent from Table 23 that the treatments under study differed significantly from each other from 1st to 10th fortnights after grafting. The maximum values for girth of scion were measured in  $M_2A_8R_2$  in all the fortnights considered. The values were 1.280 cm, 1.290 cm, 1.330 cm,

Treatments		Girth at fortnightly intervals												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
<sup>M</sup> 1 <sup>A</sup> 1 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.680 <sup>a</sup>	0.680 <sup>a</sup>	0.740 <sup>a</sup>	0.780 <sup>ab</sup>	0.870 <sup>ab</sup>	0.910 <sup>ab</sup>	0.940 <sup>ab</sup>	1.000 <sup>ab</sup>	1.020 <sup>a</sup>	1.060 <sup>a</sup>				
<sup>N</sup> 1 <sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.690 <sup>a</sup>	0.750 <sup>ab</sup>	0.750 <sup>a</sup>	0.760 <sup>a</sup>	0.790 <sup>a</sup>	0.850 <sup>a</sup>	0.860 <sup>a</sup>	0.930 <sup>a</sup>	0.950 <sup>a</sup>	0.990 <sup>a</sup>				
M1 <sup>A</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.830 <sup>b</sup>	0.840 <sup>b</sup>	0.850 <sup>ab</sup>	0.860 <sup>ab</sup>	0.970 <sup>b</sup>	0.970 <sup>ab</sup>	0.970 <sup>ab</sup>	1.000 <sup>ab</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.030 <sup>a</sup>				
M <sub>2</sub> A <sub>4</sub> R <sub>1</sub>	1.140 <sup>d</sup>	1.140 <sup>d</sup>	1.140 <sup>e</sup>	1.140 <sup>C</sup>	1.160 <sup>C</sup>	1.160 <sup>cd</sup>	1.170 <sup>d</sup>	1.180 <sup>bc</sup>	1.180 <sup>bc</sup>	bc 1.190				
<sup>M</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 5 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.970 <sup>°</sup>	0.970 <sup>C</sup>	0.970 <sup>cd</sup>	0.970 <sup>b</sup>	1.020 <sup>bc</sup>	1.040 <sup>bc</sup>	1.050 <sup>bc</sup>	1.090 <sup>be</sup>	1.100 <sup>ab</sup>	ab 1.110				
<sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 6 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.090 <sup>cd</sup>	1.090 <sup>d</sup>	1.090 <sup>de</sup>	1.120 <sup>°</sup>	1.150 <sup>C</sup>	1.170 <sup>cd</sup>	1.190 <sup>d</sup>	1.210 <sup>C</sup>	1.230 <sup>c</sup>	bc 1.240				
<sup>4</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 8 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.280 <sup>e</sup>	1.290 <sup>e</sup>	1.330 <sup>f</sup>	1.360 <sup>d</sup>	1.450 <sup>e</sup>	1.491 <sup>e</sup>	1.520 <sup>f</sup>	1.570 <sup>d</sup>	1.580 <sup>d</sup>	1.630 <sup>d</sup>				
3 <sup>A</sup> 7 <sup>R</sup> 2	1.020 <sup>cd</sup>	1.020 <sup>cd</sup>	1.060 <sup>de</sup>	1.140 <sup>°</sup>	1.180 <sup>cd</sup>	1.240 <sup>d</sup>	1.330 <sup>e</sup>	1.420 <sup>d</sup>	1.530 <sup>d</sup>	1.610 <sup>d</sup>				
3 <sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup>	0.880 <sup>bc</sup>	0.830 <sup>bc</sup>	0.900 <sup>bc</sup>	0.910 <sup>b</sup>	0.970 <sup>b</sup>	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1.000 <sup>.b</sup>	1.060 <sup>ab</sup>	1.070 <sup>ab</sup>	1.090 <sup>a</sup>				
<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>A</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup>	0.910 <sup>bc</sup>	0.910 <sup>bc</sup>	0.910 <sup>C</sup>	0.970 <sup>c</sup>	1.010 <sup>b</sup>	1.010 <sup>bc</sup>	1.030 <sup>b</sup>	1.080 <sup>ab</sup>	1.100 <sup>ab</sup>	ab 1.110				
CD (0.05)	0.125*	0.127*	0.131*	0.133*	0.133*	0.136*	0.139*	0.152*	0.150*	0.158*				

Table 23. Interaction effect of different types and age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals

 $M_1$  - Epicotyl grafting;  $M_2$  - Softwood grafting;  $\dot{M}_3$  - Double grafting

 $A_1 = 10 \text{ days}; A_2 = 15 \text{ days}; A_3 = 20 \text{ days}; A_4 = 2 \text{ months}; A_5 = 3 \text{ months}; A_6 = 4 \text{ months}$ 

A<sub>7</sub> - 12 months; A<sub>8</sub> - 18 months; R<sub>1</sub> - <u>G. tinctoria</u>; R<sub>2</sub> - <u>G. cambogia</u>; R - <u>G. tinctoria</u> + <u>G. cambogia</u>

\* Significant at 5 per cent level

1.360 cm, 1.450 cm, 1.491 cm, 1.520 cm, 1.570 cm, 1.580 cm and 1.630 cm respectively from 1st to 10th fortnights. Except in the lst three fortnights,  $M_3^A 7_2^R$  recorded the second maximum In the first three fortnights,  $M_1A_1R_1$  (epicoty) values. grafting using ten days old G. tinctoria rootstock) showed the lowest values for girth of scion while in the rest of the fortnights  $M_1 A_2 R_1$  (epicotyl grafting using 15 days old tinctoria rootstock) yielded the lowest values. An G. overall comparison of the treatments showed the superiority of  $M_2^A {}_8^R_2$  in all the fortnights which was on par with  $M_3^A {}_7^R_2$ in 4th, 8th, 9th and 10th fortnights, for the girth of scion.

4.2.4.3 Number of leaves

The data recorded in Table 24 revealed that the treatments differed significantly in all the fortnights as in the case of extension growth and girth of scion.  $M_3A_7R_2$  and  $M_2A_8R_2$  showed apparent superiority with respect to the number of leaves produced on the scion. In all the fortnights, except in the 4th and 6th,  $M_3A_7R_2$  produced maximum number of leaves compared to the other treatments. The number of leaves corresponding to  $M_3A_7R_2$  from 1st to 10th fortnights were 2.50, 2.70, 3.20, 3.40, 4.80, 6.00, 6.60, 7.50, 8.30 and 9.70 respectively. In the 4th and 6th fortnights,  $M_2A_8R_2$  slightly surpassed  $M_3A_7R_2$  in which case the number of leaves recorded were 4.10 and 6.30 respectively. Upto the 4th fortnight,  $M_1A_1R_1$  recorded the least number of leaves (0.90, 1.20, 1.40)

				Number of 3	leaves at fe	ortnightly j	ntervals			
reatments	1	2	3	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10
1 <sup>A</sup> 1 <sup>R</sup> 1	0.900 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.400 <sup>a</sup>	1.500 <sup>a</sup>	2.800 <sup>ab</sup>	2.800 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.400 <sup>C</sup>	3.400 <sup>bc</sup>	3.200 <sup>cd</sup>	ьс 3.200
1 <sup>A</sup> 2 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.400 <sup>ab</sup>	2.200 <sup>bc</sup>	2.500 <sup>bc</sup>	2.700 <sup>bc</sup>	2.900 <sup>.ab</sup>	3.000 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.000 <sup>bc</sup>	2.900 bcd	2.900 <sup>bc</sup>	bc 3.000
1 <sup>-2-1</sup> 1 <sup>A</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.700 <sup>bc</sup>	1.700 <sup>ab</sup>	2.500 <sup>bc</sup>	3.200 <sup>cd</sup>	3.600 <sup>bc</sup>	3.800 <sup>d</sup>	3.500 <sup>c</sup>	3.200 bcd	3.300 <sup>cd</sup>	ьс 3.100
2 <sup>A</sup> 4 <sup>R</sup> 1	2.200 <sup>cd</sup>	2.200 <sup>bC</sup>	2.200 <sup>ab</sup>	1.800 <sup>ab</sup>	1.600 <sup>a</sup>	1.300 <sup>a</sup>	1.300 <sup>a</sup>	0.900 <sup>a</sup>	0.800 <sup>a</sup>	0.800
2 <sup>-4-1</sup> . 2 <sup>A</sup> 5 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.900 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.900 <sup>b</sup>	1.900 <sup>ab</sup>	1.900 <sup>ab</sup>	2.200 <sup>a</sup>	2.100 <sup>abc</sup>	2.200 <sup>abc</sup>	2.400 <sup>bc</sup>	2.400 <sup>bc</sup>	ь 2.400
2 <sup>-5-1</sup> 2 <sup>A</sup> 6 <sup>R</sup> 1	1.800 <sup>bc</sup>	1.800 <sup>ab</sup>	1.700 <sup>ab</sup>	1.700 <sup>ab</sup>	1.700 <sup>a</sup>	1.700 <sup>ab '</sup>	1.700 <sup>ab</sup>	1.900 <sup>ab</sup>	1.900 <sup>abc</sup>	ab 1,900
2 <sup>A</sup> 8 <sup>R</sup> 2	2.000 <sup>bcd</sup>	2.000 <sup>b</sup>	2.600 <sup>bc</sup>	4.100 <sup>d</sup>	4.600 <sup>C'</sup>	6.300 <sup>e</sup>	6.600 <sup>d</sup>	7.200 <sup>e</sup>	7.200 <sup>e</sup>	7.800
3 <sup>A</sup> 7 <sup>R</sup> 2	2.500 <sup>C</sup>	2.700 <sup>C</sup>	3.200 <sup>C</sup>	3.400 <sup>cd</sup>	4.800 <sup>°</sup>	6.000 <sup>e</sup>	6.600 <sup>d</sup>	7.500 <sup>e</sup>	8.300 <sup>e</sup>	9.700
<sup>3</sup> A <sub>2</sub> R	2.000 <sup>bcd</sup>	2.000 <sup>b</sup>	2.000 <sup>ab</sup>	2.800 <sup>bc</sup>	2.800 <sup>8b</sup>	3.300 <sup>cd</sup>	3.500 <sup>e</sup>	3.900 <sup>d</sup>	4.300 <sup>d</sup>	4.400
3 <sup>A</sup> 3 <sup>R</sup>	2.000 <sup>bcd</sup>	2.300 <sup>bc</sup>	2,300 <sup>abc</sup>	2.700 <sup>bc ·</sup>	2.800 <sup>ab</sup>	2.900 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.100 <sup>bc</sup>	3.200 bcd	3.300 <sup>cd</sup>	cd 3,300
5 5		0.630*	0.920*	1.170*	1.290*	1.410*	1.490*	1.440*	1.350*	1.300

Table 24. Interaction effect of different types and age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals

.

-

-

.

.

and 1.50 respectively). Thereafter  $M_2A_4R_1$  registered the lowest number of leaves (1.60, 1.30, 1.30, 0.90, 0.80 and 0.80 respectively). An overall comparison revealed that  $M_3A_7R_2$  produced maximum number of leaves except in the 4th and 6th fortnights and in the lst, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 9th fortnights it was on par with  $M_3A_8R_2$ .

# 4.3 Effect of type and age of scion wood on the success of grafting

Type and age of scion wood had got a direct influence on the success of grafting. In the present study, three types of scion wood (Plate 15) viz., new flush shoot with a plumy apical bud (completely green in colour and three months old), past season shoot with no new sprout (completely brown in colour and six months old) and past season shoot with a new sprout and a plumpy apical bud (basally brown, green at the top and nine months old) were used to know their effect on graft take. Among them, six months old scion material did not produce any new growth on the graft even after five months of The initial and final success could be computed grafting. observing the colour of the grafted scion. It remained green even after the prescribed periods (30, 60 and 90 days after grafting). Therefore, comparison of growth parameters like extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion was not possible in these grafts. Chi-square statistic was adopted to compute the initial and final percentage of success Plate 15 Different types of scion wood used for grafting

- A nine months old
- B six months old
- C three months old

- Plate 16 Grafts prepared using different types of scion wood (5 months after grafting)
  - A Using three months old scion wood
  - B Using nine months old scion wood





of grafts while the principles of two sample case t-test were followed, to compare the treatments  $(T_1 \text{ and } T_3)$ , on the basis of growth parameters. The grafts prepared using these two types of scion wood are illustrated in Plate 16.

Table 25 gives an account of the initial and final success of grafts and it was seen that there was significant difference between the treatments in this respect.  $T_3$  (nine months old scion wood) recorded the highest percentage of initial and final success of grafts as 80.00, 75.00 and 70.00 after 30, 60 and 90 days of grafting, however it was on par with  $T_1$  (three months old scion wood).

The data pertaining to the comparison of treatments with respect to extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion are presented in Tables 26 to 28. The studies revealed that the two treatments were statistically different and T<sub>3</sub> gave higher values for extension growth of scion compared to T<sub>1</sub> (Table 26). Extension growth of scion from the lst to 10th fortnights, in T3 were 1.180 cm, 2.250 cm, 4.90 cm, 5.580 cm, 9.310 cm, 10.420 cm, 11.780 cm, 13.40 cm, 14.620 cm and 15.420 cm respectively. When the girth of scion was considered, the treatments were similar to each other (Table 27). The treatments differed significantly with regard to the number of leaves, in all the fortnights except the first and second ones (Table 28). Here also,  $T_3$  recorded more number of leaves compared to  $T_1$ . The average number of leaves

			Initial	success		Final	success
reatments	Number of grafts	 3	0 DAG	6	0 DAG	91	U DAG
	made	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Tl	- 60	45 <sup>b</sup>	75.00	39 <sup>b</sup>	65.00	30 <sup>b</sup>	50.00
<sup>т</sup> 2 .	. 60	6 <sup>a</sup>	10.00	3 <sup>a</sup>	5.00	3 <sup>ä</sup>	5.00
т3	60	48 <sup>b</sup>	80.00	45 <sup>b</sup>	75.00	42 <sup>b</sup>	70.00
r <sub>l</sub> - Three	months old	DAG	- Days afte	er graftin	ng		
r <sub>2</sub> – Six m	onths old						
$T_3^{\dagger}$ - Nine	months old						

.

Table 25. Effect of type and age of scion wood on the success of gratting

X<sup>2</sup> - analysis was done

·

Table 26. Effect of type and age of scion wood on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals

reatments -	Extension growth at fortnightly intervals												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8, 	9	10			
Tl	0.870 <sup>a</sup>	1.100 <sup>a</sup>	1.650 <sup>2</sup>	2.120 <sup>a</sup>	4.160 <sup>a</sup>	6.400 <sup>a</sup>	7.380 <sup>a</sup>	9.100 <sup>a</sup>	9.990 <sup>a</sup>	10.496 <sup>8</sup>			
т <sub>з</sub>	1.180 <sup>b</sup>	2.250 <sup>b</sup>	4.900 <sup>b</sup>	5.580 <sup>b</sup>	9.310 <sup>b</sup>	10.420 <sup>b</sup>	11.780 <sup>b</sup>	13.400 <sup>b</sup>	14.620 <sup>b</sup>	<b>15.4</b> 20 <sup>k</sup>			

 $T_1$  - Three months old;  $T_3$  - Nine months old

Two sample case t-test was done

.

Table 27. Effect of type and age of scion wood on the girth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals

				Girth a	at fortnig	ghtly inte	ervals	<b></b>		
Treatments	•	2	3	4		6				
	0.950 <sup>a</sup>	0.960 <sup>a</sup>	0.980 <sup>ª</sup>	1.050 <sup>a</sup>		1.110ª				
т <sub>3</sub>	0.930 <sup>a</sup>	0.940 <sup>a</sup>	0.970 <sup>a</sup>	1.030 <sup>a</sup>	1.080 <sup>a</sup>	1.150 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.2 <b>7</b> 0 <sup>a</sup>	1.350 <sup>a</sup>	1.410 <sup>a</sup>
3							·			

 $T_1$  - Three months old;  $T_3$  - Nine months old

sample case t-test was done

Table 28. Effect of type and age of scion wood on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals

Treatments	Number of leaves at fortnightly intervals											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Τl	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	2.100 <sup>a</sup>	2.200 <sup>a</sup>	3.300 <sup>a</sup>	4.000 <sup>a</sup>	4.300 <sup>a</sup>	5.200 <sup>a</sup>	5.600 <sup>a</sup>	6.300 <sup>a</sup>		
<sup>.</sup> т <sub>3</sub>	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	3.300 <sup>b</sup>	3,900 <sup>b</sup> .	5.300 <sup>b</sup>	5.900 <sup>b</sup>	7.400 <sup>b</sup>	7.800 <sup>b</sup>	9.300 <sup>b</sup>	10.000 <sup>b</sup>		
						·				<u> </u>		

 $T_1$  - Three months old;  $T_3$  - Nine months old

Two sample case t-test was done

•

computed in T<sub>3</sub> from 3rd to 10th fortnights were 3.30, 3.90, 5.30, 5.90, 7.40, 7.80, 9.30 and 10.00 respectively.

It is concluded from the observations that nine months old scion material was more suitable for softwood grafting in <u>G. cambogia</u> compared to three months old or six months old scion materials, both in the case of percentage of success of grafts and the growth parameters.

## 4.4 Effect of season on the success of grafting

In order to find out the best season for softwood grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> and to improve the success percentage, grafting operation was conducted during six months viz., May, June, July, October, November and December. The data showing the effect of season on the initial (30 and 60 days after grafting) and final (90 days after grafting) success are tabulated in Table 29. Chi-square test was adopted to compare the treatments with respect to the success percentage of grafts.

On comparison, it was observed that the treatments differed significantly when the initial and final success of grafts were considered. Grafting operation during May, June and July yielded more success percentage compared to October, November or December grafting, after 30, 60 and 90 days of grafting. Among the six treatments,  $T_2$  (June grafting)

95

	Number of		Initial	success	-	Final s	success
Treatments	grafts made	. 3	0 DAG		60 DAG	90	DAG
, 		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Tl	100	88 <sup>C</sup>	88.00	80 <sup>C</sup>	80.00	75 <sup>C</sup>	75.00
T <sub>2</sub>	100	92 <sup>C</sup>	92.00	87 <sup>C</sup>	87.00	84 <sup>C</sup>	84.00
т <sub>з</sub>	100	90 <sup>C</sup>	90.00	85 <sup>C</sup>	85.00	80 <sup>C</sup>	80.00
т <sub>4</sub>	100	60 <sup>b</sup>	60.00	56 <sup>b</sup>	56.00	56 <sup>b</sup>	56.00
т <sub>5</sub>	100	52 <sup>ab</sup>	52.00	37 <sup>a</sup>	37.00	28 <sup>a</sup>	28.00
<sup>т</sup> б	100	43 <sup>a</sup>	43.00	32 <sup>a</sup>	32.00	12 <sup>a</sup>	12.00
T <sub>j</sub> - May	grafting	т <sub>3</sub> – J <sup>.</sup>	uly grafting	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	T <sub>5</sub> - Novembe	er graftin	g
T <sub>2</sub> - June	grarfting	т <sub>4</sub> – о	ctober graftir	ng	T <sub>6</sub> - Decembe	er graftin	g
x <sup>2</sup> - analy	sis was done						

.

Table 29. Effect of season on the success of grafting

96

.

,

registered the highest success of 92.00 per cent, 87.00 per cent and 84.00 per cent respectively after 30, 60 and 90 days of grafting while  $T_6$  (December grafting) recorded the lowest success as 43.00 per cent, 32.00 per cent and 12.00 per cent respectively at these stages.

# 4.5 Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting

When IBA and GA each at 100, 250 and 500 ppm were sprayed on grafted plants immediately after grafting, it was observed that growth regulators had practically no effect on the graft take as well as further growth of the grafts. The same growth regulators each at 500, 750 and 1000 ppm were used for dipping the scion before grafting. The treatments were compared through chi-square test to find out the initial and final percentage of success. Analysis of variance was done to compare the treatments with respect to the growth parameters like extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the grafts at fortnightly intervals (Appendices VII, VIII and IX).

The observations on initial and final success of grafts are tabulated in Table 30. It was confirmed that there was significant difference between the treatments as far as the initial and final success of grafts after 30, 60 and 90 days of grafting were considered.  $T_1$  (GA 500 ppm) gave the highest percentage of success after 30 and 60 days of grafting which were computed as 62.00 and 60.00 respectively.

	Number of		Initial	Final success				
Treatments	grafts made	3	0 DAG	61	0 DAG	90 DAG		
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Tl	60	37 <sup>C</sup>	62.00	36 <sup>d</sup>	60.00	34 <sup>d</sup>	56.67	
т <sub>2</sub>	60	32 <sup>°</sup>	54.00	25 <sup>C</sup>	42.00	22 <sup>C</sup>	36.67	
т <sub>з</sub>	60	15 <sup>b</sup>	25.00	7 <sup>a</sup>	11.67	5 <sup>a</sup>	8.33	
т <sub>4</sub>	60	19 <sup>b</sup>	32.00	17 <sup>b</sup>	28.33	14 <sup>b</sup>	23.33	
т <sub>5</sub>	60	10 <sup>a</sup>	16.67	7 <sup>a</sup>	11.67	4 <sup>a</sup>	6.67	
$^{\mathrm{T}}$ 6	60	$10^{a}$	16.67	2 <sup>a</sup>	3.33	0 <sup>a</sup>	0.00	
<sup>T</sup> 7	60	36 <sup>C</sup>	60.00	34 <sup>đ</sup>	56.67	34 <sup>đ</sup>	56.67	
T <sub>1</sub> - GA 5	. 00 ppr.	т <sub>5</sub> – т	BA 750 ppm	DAG -	- Days after	grafting		
T <sub>2</sub> - GA 7	50 ppm	т <sub>6</sub> – і	BA 1000 ppm					
T <sub>3</sub> - GA 1	000 ppm	<sup>т</sup> 7 -	Control					
$T_4 - IBA$	500 ppm							
_	ysis was done.	e						

Table 30. Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting

.

After 90 days,  $T_1$  and  $T_7$  (control) recorded the highest percentage of success (56.67). The lowest success of 16.67 per cent was recorded in  $T_5$  (IBA 750 ppm) and  $T_6$  (IBA 1000 ppm) after 30 days of grafting while after 60 and 90 days  $T_6$ recorded the least percentage of success (2.33 and 0.00 per cent respectively).

The comparison of the treatments with reference to the extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion are depicted in Tables 31, 32 and 33 respectively. In these tables, only six treatments could be compared because the grafts survived in  $T_6$  were too low in number to be compared statistically.

The data taken on the extension growth of scion indicated that the treatments differed significantly in all the fortnights from the 1st to the 10th (Table 31). It was found that  $T_7$  was superior to the other treatments in the first three fortnights. The extension growth registered in  $T_7$  during these fortnights were 1.030 cm, 2.370 cm and 6.20 cm respectively. From the 4th fortnight onwards,  $\mathtt{T}_{\mathtt{l}}$  recorded the highest values for extension growth which were measured as 9.840 cm, 11.350 cm, 14.950 cm, 17.750 cm, cm, 19.820 22.220 cm respectively. Eventhough, Τı and 20.070 cm registered higher values for extension growth compared to all

Treatments	Extension growth at fortnightly intervals										
	1	2	3	<b>4</b> ·	5	6	7	8	9	10.	
т <sub>1</sub>	1.000 <sup>bc</sup>	2.180 <sup>b</sup>	5.890 <sup>b</sup>	9.840 <sup>b</sup>	11.350 <sup>b</sup>	14.950 <sup>b</sup>	17.750 <sup>b</sup>	19.820 <sup>b</sup>	20.070 <sup>C</sup>	22.220 <sup>b</sup>	
<sup>т</sup> 2	0.967 <sup>ab</sup>	0.967 <sup>a</sup>	1.267 <sup>a</sup>	2.267 <sup>a</sup>	2.567 <sup>a</sup>	6.567 <sup>a</sup>	8.100 <sup>a</sup>	11.667 <sup>a</sup>	12.867 <sup>b</sup>	13.433 <sup>a</sup>	
T3	0.833 <sup>a`</sup>	0.867 <sup>a</sup>	1.067 <sup>a</sup>	2.007 <sup>a</sup>	2.337 <sup>a</sup>	4.773 <sup>a</sup>	6.000 <sup>a</sup>	7.467 <sup>a</sup>	8.800 <sup>a</sup>	9.267 <sup>8</sup>	
ч <sub>4</sub>	0.920 <sup>∂b</sup>	1.350 <sup>a</sup>	1.550 <sup>a</sup>	2.170 <sup>a</sup>	2.870 <sup>a</sup>	4.833 <sup>a</sup>	7.150 <sup>a</sup>	8.340 <sup>a</sup>	10.840 <sup>ab</sup>	11.090 <sup>2</sup>	
$^{\mathrm{T}}$ 5	0.814 <sup>a</sup>	1.100 <sup>a</sup>	1.206 <sup>a</sup>	2.880 <sup>a</sup>	2.880 <sup>a</sup>	4.357 <sup>a</sup>	5.500 <sup>a</sup>	6.929 <sup>a</sup>	7.071 <sup>a</sup>	8.143	
<sup>т</sup> 7	1.030 <sup>bc</sup>	2.370 <sup>b</sup>	6.200 <sup>b</sup>	9.000 <sup>b</sup>	11.300 <sup>b</sup>	13.510 <sup>b</sup>	15.560 <sup>b</sup>	17.250 <sup>b</sup>	17.940 <sup>C</sup>	19.300 <sup>k</sup>	

Table 31. Effect of growth regulators on the extension growth of scion in cm, at fortnightly intervals

-	BA 750 ppm	<sup>т</sup> з	-	GA 1000 ppm
-	IBA 750 ppm	$^{\mathrm{T}}$ 7	_	Control

<sup>т</sup>2

т<sub>5</sub>

GA 500 ppm

IBA 500 ppm

Τı

т4

A Fry A Thomas A

100

The results obtained showed the superiority of T7 on girth of scion over the other treatments, throughout the period, except the last two fortnights (Table 32). The values for girth of scion corresponding to  $T_7$  in these fortnights were 1.280 cm, 1.290 cm, 1.330 cm, 1.360 cm, 1.450 cm, 1.470 cm, 1.520 cm, 1.570 cm, 1.580 cm and 1.630 cm treatments, except T<sub>7</sub>, were All the respectively. statistically similar to each other, in these fortnights as far as the girth of scion was concerned. During the last two fortnights, all the treatments including T7 were statistically homogeneous.

When the treatments were compared with respect to the number of leaves produced on the scion (Table 33) it was found that the treatments differed significantly except in the first two fortnights. From the third fortnight to the end of tenth fortnight,  $T_1$  (GA 500 ppm) produced the maximum number of leaves which were accounted as 3.70, 4.60, 6.90, 8.00, 8.70, 9.20, 9.20 and 9.40 respectively. However,  $T_1$  did not prove its significant superiority over  $T_7$  except, in the seventh fortnight.

The analysis was also performed through two way classification (Appendix IX) with unequal number of

atments		Girth at fortnightly intervals									
	1	2 ·	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Tl	1.090 <sup>a</sup>	1.160 <sup>b</sup>	1.160 <sup>a</sup>	1.210	1.240 <sup>a</sup>	1.270 <sup>a</sup>	1.280 <sup>a</sup>	1.330 <sup>a</sup>	l:.350	1.37	
<sup>т</sup> 2	0.957 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.057 <sup>a</sup>	1.086 <sup>a</sup>	1.129 <sup>a</sup>	1.157 <sup>a</sup>	1.124 <sup>a</sup>	1.271 <sup>a</sup>	1.357	1.40	
т <sub>3</sub>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.067 <sup>a</sup>	1.067 <sup>a</sup>	1.133 <sup>a</sup>	1.167 <sup>a</sup>	]_267 <sup>a</sup>	1.300 <sup>a</sup>	1.333	1.40	
$\mathbf{T}_{4}$	1.020 <sup>a</sup>	1.040 <sup>ab</sup>	1.090 <sup>a</sup>	1.130 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.250 <sup>a</sup>	1.290 <sup>a</sup>	1.360 <sup>a</sup>	1.430	1.50	
<sup>т</sup> 5	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	1.167 <sup>a</sup>	1.167 <sup>a</sup>	1.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.300 <sup>a</sup>	1.333 <sup>a</sup>	1.400	1.46	
T <sub>7</sub>	1.280 <sup>b</sup>	1.290 <sup>b</sup>	1.330 <sup>b</sup>	1.360 <sup>b</sup>	1.450 <sup>b</sup>	1.470 <sup>b</sup>	1.520 <sup>b</sup>	1.570 <sup>b</sup>	1.580	1.63	

Table 32. Effect of growth regulators on the girth of scion growth in cm, at fortnightly intervals

Treatments	Number of leaves at fortnightly intervals										
	1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8	9	10	
Tl ·	2.100	2.400	3.700 <sup>b</sup>	4.600 <sup>b</sup>	6.900 <sup>C</sup>	8.000 <sup>b</sup>	8.700 <sup>b</sup>	9.200 <sup>b</sup>	9.200 <sup>b</sup>	9.400	
<sup>т</sup> 2	2.000	2.143	3.057 <sup>b</sup>	3.571 <sup>a</sup>	4.286 <sup>a</sup>	5.286 <sup>a</sup>	5.571 <sup>a</sup>	6.000 <sup>a</sup>	6.571 <sup>a</sup>	7.143	
т <sub>3</sub>	2.000	2.000	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	3.000 <sup>a</sup>	3.000 <sup>a</sup>	3.667 <sup>a</sup>	5.000 <sup>a</sup>	6.000 <sup>a</sup>	6.667 <sup>a</sup>	7.333	
т <sub>4</sub>	2.000	2.000	2.200 <sup>a</sup>	2.500 <sup>a</sup>	3.700 <sup>a</sup>	4.800 <sup>a</sup>	5.600ª'	6.800 <sup>a</sup>	7.000 <sup>a</sup>	7.100	
т <sub>5</sub>	2.000	2.000	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	2.000 <sup>a</sup>	3.000 <sup>a</sup>	3.000 <sup>a</sup>	5.667 <sup>a</sup>	6.333 <sup>a</sup>	.7.000 <sup>a</sup>	7.333	
<sup>т</sup> 7	2.000	2.000	3.000 <sup>b</sup>	4.100 <sup>b</sup>	4.600 <sup>b</sup>	6.300 <sup>b</sup>	6.600 <sup>a</sup>	7.200 <sup>ab</sup>	7.200 <sup>ab</sup>	a 7.700	
	 NS	 NS								` <b></b>	

Table 33. Effect of growth regulators on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals

observations in different treatments. It was evident from the analysis that the treatments differed significantly in their effect on the extension growth of scion. It was also found that the increment in extension growth differed significantly from fortnight to fortnight and maximum increase in extension growth was observed from 4th to 8th fortnights. When the different treatments were ranked on the basis of leaves produced it was also found that the different levels of growth regulators differed significantly from each other. The treatment T<sub>1</sub>, produced the maximum number of leaves followed by T7. Here also, the maximum number of leaves were produced from 4th to 8th fortnights (Appendix X).

#### 4.6 Anatomical studies

Anatomical studies were conducted to understand the characteristic features of graft union in various methods of grafting and to arrive at the reasons for graft failure.

#### 4.6.1 General anatomy of the stem

In <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> (Plate 17) and <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> (Plate 18) the outermost layer of cells was the epidermis. These cells were rectangular in shape. A few unicellular epidermal hairs projecting from the epidermis were also seen. Below the epidermis, there were two to three layers of collenchyma which consisted of cells with thick non-lignified walls, thickened at the corners. The cortex consisted of 15 to 20 layers of Plate 17 Cross-section of Garcinia tinctoria stem

Plate 18 Cross-section of Garcinia cambogia stem



thin walled parenchymatous cells found to be underlying the collenchyma. In the cortex tissue, three to four layers of laticiferous cells were found in G. tinctoria while in cambogia, one or two layers of laticifers were seen. G. Laticifers are cells or series of fused cells containing a fluid called latex and forming system that permeate various tissues of the plant body. In addition to the laticifers, some gummy exudates were also found in the cells of cortex of G. tinctoria. The gummy exudates usually consist of phenols and natural dyes. The cells with gummy exudates were found to be deeply stained in the section of G. tinctoria stem. In G. cambogia such gummy exudates were almost absent so that the cells in the cortex appeared to be uniformly stained. In G. tinctoria numerous starch granules and raphides containing calcium crystals were also found to be scattered in the cortex tissue. In G. cambogia such starch grains and raphides were absent in the cortex tissue. Below the cortex, a single layer of endodermis made of parenchyma cells of rectangular shape was seen in G. tinctoria whereas such a distinct endodermis In the stem structure of absent in G. cambogia. was G. tinctoria and G. cambogia, secondary xylem and phloem were absent upto the softwood stage. Xylem mainly consisted of xylem parenchyma and vessels which were arranged radially from the pitch. Phloem was mainly made up of sieve tubes and fibres. Starch granules were found to be associated with phloem tissue in both the plants. Extending from the pitch and below the cortex, there were radially arranged parenchyma cells called xylem rays. The central tissue, pith, consisted of large thin walled parenchymatous cells.

4.6.2 Anatomy of graft union

In the case of epicotyl grafting using <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> as rootstock (Plate 19), thick callus formation was found only from the stock portion while the scion (<u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>) did not produce any callus tissue. The damaged cells of the scion at the cut surface turned brown and formed a necrotic layer which persisted at the graft union even after 90 days of grafting. Similar to epicotyl grafting, callus production was noticed only from the stock portion i.e. from <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> in softwood grafting. Here the number of layers of callus cells found in the union was lesser than the epicotyl grafts (Plate 20).

When softwood grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>camboqia</u> were studied, it was observed that callus was formed from both the sides viz., stock and scion and the callus was not very thick, unlike the grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstock (Plate 21).

In double grafts on two seedlings of <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> (Plate 22) the anatomical studies revealed that callus proliferation from the stock portion was very intensive while the scion portion produced no callus at all. When the double grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> and <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> (Plate 23) were studied, it was found that active callus proliferation occurred
Plate 19 Cross-section of epicotyl graft on Garcinia tinctoria

SC - Scion C - Callus

Plate 20 Cross-section of softwood graft on Garcinia tinctoria

- ST Stock
- SC Scion
- C Callus



Plate 21 Cross-section of softwood graft on <u>Garcinia cambogia</u> SC - Scion

C - Callus

Plate 22 Cross-section of double graft on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> ST - Stock SC - Scion C - Callus





Plate 23 Cross-section of double graft on <u>Garcinia</u> <u>tinctoria</u> + <u>Garcinia</u> <u>cambogia</u>

> ST - Stock SC - Scion C - Callus

Plate 24	Cross-section of double graft on Garcinia
	cambogia + Garcinia cambogia
	ST - Stock
	SC - Scion
	C - Callus



Plate 25 Absence of callus production between stock and scion ST - Stock SC - Scion

Plate 26 Excessive callus production between stock and scion

C - Callus





Plate 27 Degenerated phloem of the scion

DP - Degenerated phloem

Plate 28 Formation of a thick necrotic layer between stock and scion

NL - Necrotic layer



from the stock side while the contribution of scion towards callus proliferation was nil or very litte. On the other hand, when grafts were made on two seedlings of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> (Plate 24) and sections of the grafts were examined it was confirmed that callus proliferation occurred both from scion and stock and was about equal from both the stock and scion.

4.6.3 Reasons for graft failure

Examination of dried up grafts and grafts showing poor growth rate disclosed a wide gap between the stock and scion (Plate 25) owing to the absence of callus production between the stock and scion. Excessive callus production in between the stock and scion with no proper cambial bridge formation (Plate 26) was found to be another reason for graft failure. In some grafts, the formation of a thick necrotic layer at the graft union (Plate 27) was observed. The anatomical studies showed the phloem degeneration of the scion (Plate 28) at the graft union which was also assumed to be one of the reasons for graft failure.



## DISCUSSION

In <u>Garcinia cambogia</u> (Kodumpuli), an important minor fruit of Kerala's homesteads, research on propagation has not made any headway. Generally, it is propagated by seeds obtained from mature ripe fruits. Because of the disadvantages of sexual propagation like wide variation in fruit type and sex of the plant among the seedling progenies and long resting period and seasonal availability of seeds, the seed propagation of <u>G. cambogia</u> can not be solely relied upon. Therefore, a systematic study on a suitable propagation technique in this crop has become a deepfelt need.

In some of the related species of Kodumpuli viz., <u>mangostana</u>), kokam (<u>G</u>. mangosteen (<u>G</u>. <u>c</u>ambogia) etc. encouraging findings have been reported in the field of vegetative propagation. Taking into account these promising results in these close relatives of Kodumpuli, the present series of studies were undertaken on its methods of propagation with special emphasis on epicotyl and softwood grafting in the Department Pomology and of Floriculture, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara. These studies are the first of its kind, though some isolated attempts have been made at the District Agricultural Farm, Thaliparamba and R.A.R.S., Kumarakom (personal communication).

In the present study, two species of the family, Guttiferae, viz., <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> and <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> (*Rajapuli*) were tried as rootstocks. Both the plants flower during the summer season (March-April) and fruits ripen and seeds become available during the rainy season (June-July). Normally, the seeds of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> take a long period of about seven months for germination. Removal of seed coat hastens germination of seeds in many of the tropical crops. Stimulatory effect of growth regulators like GA on germination of seeds has also been widely accepted (Hartmann and Kester, 1989). The results of the present study concerned with various factors involved in seed germination and vegetative propagation methods like epicotyl, softwood and double grafting of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> are discussed hereunder.

## 5.1 Effect of seed coat and GA on germination of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> seeds

It was observed that the seeds from which seed coat was removed recorded higher and faster germination compared to seeds with intact seed coat. The difference in the time taken for starting the germination between these two groups was about 28 weeks. On an average, seeds without seed coat took one to four weeks for attaining 50 per cent germination while seeds with intact seed coat required 31 to 36 weeks for the same, after sowing. From the beginning of germination, seeds

without seed coat completed germination within five weeks while the seeds with seed coat took seven weeks for the completion of germination. These facts led to the conclusion that in the case of seeds with seed coat, germination was. delayed as well as staggered compared to seeds without seed When the final germination percentage in different coat. treatments including two controls was studied, it was found that the seeds without seed coat recorded comparatively higher values. Simao (1960) and Subramanya and Reddy (1989) also found that germination was enhanced and germination percentage was improved in mango stones by dehusking. Ilyas (1978) showed that dehusked clove seeds started germination 16 days after sowing while the normal seeds germinated only after 27 days. Germination percentage was also increased in this case. From the present study, it could be revealed that the reason for the delayed germination anđ lower percentage of germination of seeds in this crop might be due to the presence of mechanically hard seed coat. Therefore, the beneficial effect of removal of seed coat on seed germination might be due to the removal of mechanically hard seed coat itself or the toxic metabolites present on the seed coat as reported by Hartmann and Kester, 1989.

Studies carried out to know the effect of different concentrations of GA on germination revealed that though the treatments found to differ significantly from control, all the

concentrations of GA tried (200 ppm, 300 ppm and 500 ppm) were on par, except in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th weeks. In these weeks, GA 500 ppm showed significantly higher percentage of The treatments of seeds with GA at germination. any concentration significantly improved the germination percentage over the control. Different soaking periods also showed significant results on seed germination over the control. Among the different soaking periods 12 h soaking was found to be superior to others. However 18 h soaking was on par with 12 h soaking. In many tropical fruits like jack, cherimoya, nutmeg, cashew and guava, it has been found that GA treatment could enhance and improve seed germination (Farooqui <u>et al</u>., 1971; Duarte <u>et al</u>., 1974; Mathew, 1979; Shanmugavelu, 1985; Kumar et al., 1991). The study on the action of GA on seed germination indicated that GA accelerated the enzyme reaction which bring about the break down of starch and it is the first step of germination (Hartmann and Kester, 1989). The soaking treatment also could leach out the toxic metabolites from the seeds (Basu et al., 1975). Perhaps these might be the possible reasons in the present study also, for getting an early and higher germination percentage.

In order to obtain an overall comparison, the interaction effect of various factors were analysed. When the interaction of three factors, viz., seed coat, soaking periods and concentrations of GA was considered it was clear that the

interaction effect was significant only at the first week. In order to attain the maximum germination within one week (53.333) seed coat removal and seed soaking with 500 ppm GA for 12 h or 18 h could be adopted. However, to prevent wastage of time, a combination of seed coat removal and seed soaking with 500 ppm GA for 12 h could be practised. In spite of the hmogenity of treatments after the first week, seed coat removal and soaking with 300 ppm GA for 12 h and 18 h gave higher percentage of germination (90.667 and 93.333 respectively) compared to all other treatments. The similarity in the effect of treatments on the basis of percentage of germination after the first week of germination indicated that the three factor interaction hastened the germination compared to the individual effect of the factors.

## 5.2 Vegetative propagation

<u>G. cambogia</u> finds a place in most of the homesteads in Kerala. The popular method of propagation now existing is by seeds obtained from mature ripe fruits. But the seedlings exhibit heterogenity in tree characters and manifest a long pre-bearing period. Unlike many other fruit plants, seed propagation in this plant has an added disadvantage of existence of dioecious plants among the seedlings progenies. Standardisation of an easy, simple and economic method of vegetative propagation will not only expedite the perpetuation of high yielding female trees but also elude the waste incurred towards the maintenance of male trees till the flowering stage. Practically, very little work has been done to standardise the vegetative propagation methods in this crop. Hence the present study was taken up to find out the feasibility of vegetative procreation methods (like epicoty), softwood and double grafting) in *Kodumpuli* under Kerala conditions.

The two recent and propitious methods viz., epicotyl and softwood grafting techniques which were reputed to be highly successful in tropical fruits like mango and jack (Ratan, 1985; Radhamony, 1987; Jose, 1989) were studied in this crop. In addition, success of double grafting engaging two rootstocks was also studied. It is well known that success of grafting is greatly influenced by type of rootstock, age of rootstock, type of scion and the season of grafting irrespective of the method of grafting. Therefore, work was initiated in this direction and promising results have been obtained.

5.2.1 Type of rootstock

In the present study, two species of the family, Guttiferae, viz., <u>G. cambogia</u> and <u>G. tinctoria</u> were used as

rootstocks. But at the epicotyl stage (10 to 20 days after germination) G. cambogia seedlings attained a height of only 4 to 6 cm and a girth of 0.4 to 0.6 cm which made it impossible to perform epicotyl grafting. Therefore, for the assessment of rootstocks, softwood and double grafting were considered. Even in the case of softwood grafting, owing to the inherent slow growth of the seedlings of  $\underline{G}$ . cambogia at the initial stage, it could be performed only on seedlings having at least 18 months age, whereas in G. tinctoria softwood grafting could be started from two months onwards. It was observed from the present study that in softwood grafting, G. cambogia rootstocks contributed higher percentage of success compared to G. tinctoria rootstocks. Also, when the growth parameters like extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion were compared, the superiority of <u>G</u>. cambogia over <u>G</u>. tinctoria as rootstock was conspicuous. In the case of extension growth of scion, a significant difference of 18 cm was shown by the grafts on G. cambogia rootstocks. A notable increase in the extension growth was noticed between the 2nd to 8th fortnights and thereafter the rate was slightly retarded, whereas the grafts prepared on G. tinctoria rootstocks showed an increase of only 0.30 cm during the interval of ten fortnights. The increase in girth of scion during ten fortnights was not very conspicuous. A difference of about 0.40 cm was recorded in grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> whereas it was only 0.10 cm in those on

<u>G. tinctoria</u>. Number of leaves produced by the grafts on <u>G. cambogia</u> was significantly higher. Scions grafted on <u>G. tinctoria</u> rootstock retained the initial number of leaves till the third fortnight and thereafter abcission was noticed. At the same time those on <u>G. cambogia</u>, picked up growth and produced about six new leaves during a span of ten fortnights (Fig.2).

In the case of double grafting also superiority of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstock was confirmed. During the initial stage, all the three combinations of rootstocks gave statistically similar results in double grafts. When the survival percentage was computed after 90 days of grafting, superiority of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstocks was evident. Double grafts prepared by using two rootstocks of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> gave about 52.50 per cent success while it was only 17.50 and 25.00 per cent respectively in the case of grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks and <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> + <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks.

Compatibility is one of the crucial factors in grafting which ultimately affect the success and further growth of the grafted plants (Popence, 1920). From this study, it was evident that when same species was used as rootstock the chance of getting a better graft union and a further vigorous growth was greater. This was found true in



the relative species of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> viz., mammey apple, mangosteen and kokam (Krochmal, 1970; Dassanayake and Perera, 1988; Hadangar <u>et al.</u>, 1987, 1991).

5.2.2 Age of rootstock

When epicotyl grafting was performed on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> at three age groups, viz., 10, 15 and 20 days it was observed that the different age groups did not show any significant difference during the initial stage. Grafts made on 20 days old <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks showed significantly higher percentage of survival after 90 days compared to the other age groups. The study showed a positive relation between the age of rootstock and percentage of survival of grafts. This was in confirmity with the findings of stone grafting in mango by Singh and Sreevastava (1981).

When softwood grafting was done on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> (2, 3 and 4 months old rootstocks) and <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> (18 months old rootstocks) the final success ranged from 30.00 to 60.00 per cent. Here also, the different age groups of rootstocks did not show any significant change during the initial stage. But, after 90 days, softwood grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstocks showed 60.00 per cent survival which was significantly superior to the other three age groups of <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u>. Among the different age groups in <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u>, softwood grafts on

two months old rootstock rendered higher percentage of success. A decreasing trend was noticed in the final success percentage with an increase in the age of rootstock. Similar results were obtained in mangosteen (Dassanayake and Perera, 1988) and mango (Reddy and Melanta, 1988) softwood grafts.

rootstock different age of the studies on The combinations on the success of double grafting revealed the superiority of two seedlings of 12 months old G. cambogia both When two seedlings of after 60 and 90 days of grafting. G. tinctoria were used as rootstocks an age of 20 days gave superior results than the rest of the age groups, while the seedling each of G. tinctoria and combination of one G. cambogia was used, ten days old G. tinctoria seedlings with 12 months old G. cambogia seedlings recorded higher percentage of success. Nagawekar et al. (1984) and Subramanya and Reddy (1989) also discussed the possibility of double grafting in mango.

The influence of age of rootstock on the growth rate of epicotyl, softwood and double grafts could be studied from comparison of growth parameters. It was evident from this comparison that epicotyl or softwood grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> did not favour extension growth, girth or number of leaves of the grafts desirably, while softwood grafting on 18 months old G. <u>cambogia</u> gave satisfactory results. When double grafting

was carried out on two seedlings of 10, 15 and 20 days old rootstocks of <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> none of the grafts put forth any new growth. When it was performed on one seedling each of G. cambogia and G. tinctoria of three age groups (10, 15 and 20 days old G. tinctoria along with 12 months old G. cambogia in each case), the extension growth and girth of scion were less than 3.00 cm and 1.20 cm respectively and number of leaves produced on the scion was less than five, after ten fortnights of grafting. When double grafting was done on two seedlings of 12 months old G. cambogia, the extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion were more than 12.00 cm, 1.60 cm and 9.00 respectively (Tables 22 to 24). softwood grafting on 18 months old G. cambogia Thus, rootstocks or double grafting on 12 months old G. cambogia rootstocks were proved to be superior.

An overall comparison of different methods of grafting employing different types of rootstock revealed that epicotyl grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstock yielded the maximum success percentage (Table 18). The percentage of success of softwood grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstock was on par with epicotyl grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u>. As in the case of production of significantly higher number of grafts, <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstocks influenced remarkably on the growth of the scion. This was clearly evident from the studies on the growth parameters of softwood grafting using this (18 months old) rootstock. Here

119

the scion produced an extension growth of 19.30 cm, a girth of 1.630 cm and 7.80 leaves. This extension growth of the scion was significantly superior to any other method or age and type of rootstock while the girth and number of leaves were on par with those of double grafts using 12 months old seedlings of Though the present studies showed the the same rootstock. equality in the percentage survival of grafts between epicotyl grafts using G. tinctoria rootstock and softwood grafts employing 18 months old G. cambogia rootstock, the growth rate of the former was very poor and it showed more or less a static growth when the various growth parameters were studied. The negative influence of  $\underline{G}$ . <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks and the synergistic effect of <u>G</u>. cambogia rootstocks on growth rate of grafts were evident in double grafting also. Double grafts on G. tinctoria seedlings and combinations of G. tinctoria and G. cambogia rootstocks recorded relatively slower growth rate while those on two seedlings of G. cambogia rootstocks showed. an extension growth of 12.770 cm, a girth of 1.60 cm and produced 9.70 leaves within ten fortnights.

5.2.3 Type and age of scion wood

In <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>, because of its growth habit, three types of scion materials were available. They were new flush shoot (completely green in colour and about three months old), past.season shoot (completely brown in colour and about six

120

months old) and past season shoot with a new sprout and a plumpy apical bud (basally brown, green at the top and about nine months old). These three scion materials when employed for softwood grafting revealed the superiority of nine months old scions on the percentage of success of grafts. Three months old scion materials were also on par, but the former one recorded 20 per cent more take than the latter while six months old scions could produce negligibly lesser take. The superiority of T<sub>3</sub> (nine months old scion) was also evident from the growth rate of scion. Over a period of ten fortnights, T3 recorded an extension growth of about 15 cm whereas it was only 10 cm in the case of  $T_1$  (three months old scion). Girth of scion did not differ much between the two treatments during these fortnights. But in the case of production of leaves, a remarkable difference was noticed between the two scion wood. Compared to three months old scion wood, leaf production was almost double in the case of nine months old scion wood during a span of five months (Fig.3). The graft using three months old scion wood picked up growth only after the fourth fortnight while those using nine months old wood showed a faster growth rate from the second fortnight onwards. The superiority of nine months old scion wood might be due to the fact that, such type of scion wood contains a good amount of reserve food and plant hormones owing to the presence of brown wood and actively growing



In three months old wood, eventhough there leaves or buds. was actively growing leaves and buds, the amount of food might be comparatively less which might have reserve attributed to its slower growth. In the case of six months old wood, the plant hormones which are necessary to activate the reserve food and thereby growth might be very less in quantity because of the absence of well developed leaves and buds. This might be the reason for lower success percentage and growth rate of grafts using this type of scion. In mangosteen Popence (1920) reported that seven months old scion could be used in grafting on G. tinctoria to get effective union. In softwood grafting of kokam, Hadangar et al. (1987, 1991) observed that terminal, greenish brown, five to six These findings clearly months old twigs were successful. indicate the influence of age of scion wood on the graft take.

5.2.4 Effect of season on the success of grafting

Results of the experiment to find out the best method of grafting and type and age of rootstocks, showed the superiority of softwood grafting by using 18 months old <u>G. cambogia</u> roostocks not only in the percentage of success but also in the growth parameters of grafts. Experiments conducted in this department on the tropical fruit crops like mango and jack (Dhungara, 1984; Jose, 1989) and elsewhere

revealed that season has got pronounced influence on the success of grafting. Hence the study on the effect of season on softwood grafts using <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstock find relevance.

The data showed that season of grafting had a significant influence in determining the grafting success. The monsoon season was proved to be better than the dry and cold season. June grafting gave the highest percentage of success after 30, 60 and 90 days of grafting (92.00, 87.00 and 84.00 respectively), while December grafting gave the lowest success (43.00, 32.00 and 12.00 respectively) at these stages Under Vellanikkara conditions, the rainfall and (Fig.4). relative humidity were high (993.10 mm and 88.00 per cent respectively) and mean daily temperature was comparatively low (26.8°C) during the month of June, 1991 while low rainfall and relative humidity (64.00 per cent) were (0.20)mm) experienced during December (Appendix I). High rainfall often high relative humidity and low temperature. in results Hartmann and Kester (1989) opined that high humidity was a major factor to enhance the callus growth and graft union. The results of the present study is also in confirmity with this opinion. The results of this study led to the conclusion that June is the besu month for softwood grafting in G. cambogia. Harmekar (1980) showed that for epicotyl grafting in jack the maximum success of 95 per cent was obtained in the



month of June. According to Gunjate <u>et al</u>. (1982) success ranging from 62.20 to 64.70 per cent was obtained from June to September which was reduced in October (55.60 per cent) and November (35.50 per cent). When epicotyl grafting was done in mango during June-July, the percentage of successful grafts varied from 50 to 96 per cent (Maiti and Biswas, 1980). The success percentage of softwood grafts when done in mid-June was 100 per cent, however in mid-July the success was much lower (76.6 per cent) as compared to mid-June (Singh, <u>et al</u>., 1984). According to Jose (1989) the month of June was the most suitable for both epicotyl (61.67 per cent) and softwood grafting (5.00 per cent) in jack under Kerala conditions.

5.2.5 Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting

The studies using growth regulators were conducted to suggest improvements upon softwood grafting of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u>. Initially, a preliminary trial was conducted to know the effect of GA and IBA on the graft take in which they were sprayed on grafted plants immediately after grafting, each at 100, 250 and 500 ppm concentration. It was observed from this trial that the growth regulator sprays had no remarkable influence on the graft take compared to control. Hence in the present study, GA and IBA were tried, each at 500, 750 and 1000 ppm concentration for scion dipping before grafting. The study revealed that the maximum final percentage of success of

grafts (56.67) was the same for both the control and the plants treated with 500 ppm GA (Table 30). On considering the extension growth of scion, it led to the conclusion that scions dipped in 500 ppm GA measured the maximum extension growth, after five months of grafting despite, this treatment was on par with the control. After five months of grafting, the scions treated with 500 ppm GA and those not treated with any growth regulator registered an extension growth of 22.22 cm and 19.30 cm respectively. When the girth of scion considered, no treatment could be recommended for was increasing the girth of grafts. After five months of grafting, all the treatments including control were found to be on par with each other. When the number of leaves of the scion was taken as a basis for evaluation of the treatments, GA 500 ppm was found to give higher values similar to the case of extension growth. Here also, the treatment was found to be on par with the control. After five months of grafting, the treatments, GA 500 ppm and control, registered 9.40 and 7.80 leaves, respectively.

Thus, the present studies indicated that the growth regulator treatments had no beneficial effect on the graft take as well as the growth of the grafts. Among the growth regulator treatments, GA 500 ppm had almost similar effects to the control while the other treatments had only adverse effect

on the grafts. When the concentration of GA and IBA increased from 500 to 1000 ppm, the intensity of undesirable effects with respect to the percentage success, extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the grafts also increased. It can not, however, be ruled out that the growth regulator will not influence the graft take. Perhaps, the chemicals at the concentrations tried might not be at the optimum level to influence the graft beneficially. Hence detailed studies are required to draw any conclusion. Ratan (1985) opined that IAA or GA at 100 or 250 ppm had no influence on the growth of the grafts. Sreevastava et al. (1989) observed that the effect of plant growth regulators in mango grafting was negligible. Mathad et al. (1991) opined that GA had an adverse effect on mango grafts. They also found that untreated grafts recorded the highest percentage of success compared to the treated ones.

## 5.2.6 Anatomical studies

Compatibility is one of the important factors which determine the success of grafting. Even if compatible stock and scion were used the graft failure may occur due to many reasons. Anatomical studies can establish some possible explanations in this regard. Mechanism of healing of the graft union not only depends, to a large extent, on the activities of the cambium and vascular tissues but also on the

quantity, structure and origin of the callus tissue. Moreover, some of the cells present in the cortex tissue will hasten the formaticn of a smooth union (Fahn, 1982).

In the stem structure of G. tinctoria, a number of cells with gummy exudates were found. The gummy exudates in the plant parts usually consisted of phenols and natural dyes and the phenols of one species were found to have deleterious effects on the cells of another incompatible species (Esau, 1972). In the grafts made on G. tinctoria the latex contained in the laticifers might have acted additively to this effect. So, in the grafts on G. tinctoria rootstocks, these phenols and latex might be the two reasons for little or no callus production from the scion. In the cortex of G. tinctoria stem, numerous starch grains which form the reserve food were The presence of these starch grains; found in also seen. abundance, clearly explains the thick callus formed at the graft union from the stock side when G. tinctoria was used as rootstock.

The stem anatomy of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> revealed that the cortical cells were almost free of gummy exudates. So the problem of phenols was not met with in the grafts prepared on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstock. Even if the content of laticifers had some undesirable effect on the graft union, it was not severe because of the compatibility of the same species. This might

be the reason for the grafts made on <u>G</u>. <u>cambodia</u> rootstocks produced callus from both the components, i.e., scion and stock (Plate 21). However this callus was not so thick compared to the grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstock because the starch grains found in <u>G</u>. <u>cambodia</u> which were confined to the phloem were lesser in number. When the epicotyl grafts and softwood grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> were compared the softwood grafts were found to produce lesser quantity of callus. This might be due to the fact that the epicotyl region, being tender, might be in the actively growing stage and hence respond faster to wounding.

The investigation on the reasons for graft failure arrived at the conclusion that graft failure could be resulted from four general anatomical reasons. In some cases, failure was due to the lack of callus formation even after several days of grafting resulting in a wide gap between the stock and scion (Plate 25). This was in confirmity with the findings of Ratan (1985), Radhamony (1987) and Jose (1989). It might have also been caused by the excessive callus formation at the grafted portion as revealed from the present study (Plate 26). The excessive callus proliferation occurred from <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstock might have indirectly provided a compressive effect to the phloem sieve tubes and xylem trachieds at the graft union and ultimately led to the phloem degeneration of scion (Plate 27) which is attributed as the third reason for graft

Luthra and Sharma (1946) also observed excessive failure. undifferentiated callus or other irregular growth at the union of the incompatible combinations of stock and scion. Hartmann and Kester (1989) attributed the degeneration of xylem and phloem of the scion owing to the incompatibility of components as one of the reasons for graft failure. Another possible reason for the graft failure is the formation of a thick necrotic layer at the wounded surface of stock and scion This necrotic layer might have prevented the (Plate 28). cambial bridge formation which further hindered the formation of vascular continuity between the stock and scion. Jose (1989) also found that formation of a thick necrotic layer at the cut surface of stock and scion as one of the reasons for graft failure.


An investigation was carried out in the Department of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara during the period of 1990-92 to standardise the epicotyl and softwood grafting in <u>Garcinia cambogia</u>. The following experiments were undertaken during the course of investigation.

SUMMARY

- (i) Effect of seed coat and growth regulator treatment on germination of <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> seeds.
- (ii) Standardisation of type and age of rootstock for epicotyl, softwood and double grafting.
- (iii) Effect of type and age of scion wood on the success of grafting.
- (iv) Effect of season on the success of grafting.
- (v) Effect of growth regulators on the success of grafting.
- (vi) Study of the anatomy of graft union.

The salient findings are listed below.

 There was marked variation in the time required for germination between the seeds with and without seed coat.
 In order to obtain a higher percentage of seed germination in this crop, either the seed coat could be removed or seed treatment with GA could be given. For getting an early and higher germination seed coat removal could be better adopted than any growth regulator treatment. For further enhancement of germination, the seeds could be treated with 500 ppm GA for 12 h. This treatment gave a germination percentage of 90.667 within five weeks after sowing.

Trials on the vegetative propagation methods like 2. epicotyl, softwood and double grafting revealed that epicotyl grafting (on 10, 15 and 20 days old rootstocks) and softwood grafting (on 2, 3 and 4 months old rootstocks) could not be done using G. cambogia rootstock because the rootstocks were too small in size to perform grafting at these stages. When. softwood grafting was done on 18 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstocks a remarkably higher percentage of success was obtained after 90 days of g afting. The treatment also proved its superiority when the extension growth, girth and number of leaves of scion were considered. This treatment was followed by double grafting on two seedlings of G. cambogia (12 months old) with respect to the superiority in the extension growth and girth of scion. When the percentage of success was taken into consideration, epicotyl grafting on <u>G. tinctoria</u> (20 days old) rootstocks gave a higher percentage of success (75.00). Still this treatment could notl be recommended as a method for vegetative propagation in <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> because of the poor growth rate of the grafts. Apart from the softwood and double

grafts on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> all other grafts registered a slow growth to be adopted as an economic method.

3. The study to find out the best type and age of scion wood revealed that past season shoot with a new sprout and a plumpy apical bud (basally brown, green at the top and about nine months old) proved to be the best as far as the percentage of success, extension growth, girth and number of leaves of the scion were concerned. This type of scion wood recorded 70.00 per cent success. Among the other scion materials six months old wood produced no new growth at all while three months old wood produced comparably better results.

4. The investigation to find out the best season for softwood grafting on <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> led to the conclusion that June is the best month followed by July, May, October, November and December. The success of grafts registered in June was 84.00 per cent followed by 80.00 per cent in July and 75.00 per cent in May. During the dry and cold months like October, November and December the percentage of success was in the order of 56.00, 28.00 and 12.00 per cent respectively.

5. The studies with growth regulators like IBA and GA, each at 500, 750 and 1000 ppm indicated that none of the treatments had any beneficial effect on the graft take or the growth of the grafts compared to control.

anatomical studies helped to draw the б. The conclusion that the antagonistic effect of G. tinctoria seedlings as rootstock for G. cambogia might be due to the presence of gummy exudates and laticifers present in the stem The investigation also attributed the general structure. anatomical reasons for graft failure. They were (1) absence of callus production between the stock and scion which resulted in a wide gap, (2) excessive callus production but no proper formation of union because of lack of differentiation into cambium, (3) degeneration of phloem of the scion and (4) formation of a thick necrotic layer between the stock and the scion.

getting a higher and earlier for In nutshell, germination in this crop, the seed coat could be removed and seeds could be treated with 500 ppm GA for 12 h. Softwood grafting using 18 months old G. cambogia and double grafting using two seedlings of 12 months old G. cambogia could be methods òf vegetative suitable adopted as the most Among the different types of scion material, propagation. nine months old wood was found to give the best results. Grafting operation when done in June gave higher percentage of success compared to the operation during the other months. G. tinctoria rootstocks were found to be incompatible for the epicotyl, softwood or double grafting in <u>G</u>. cambogia. The anatomical reasons for graft failure were also discussed.

References

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, R. 1966. Some studies on the vegetative propagation of guava. W. Pakist. J. agric. Res. 4: 68-79.
- Amin, R.S. 1978a. In situ softwood grafting in mango. Indian Hort. 23 (3): 7-10.
- Amin, R.S. 1978b. In situ softwood grafting in mango. Indian J. Hort. 35 (2): 105-108.

Anonymous. 1954. Mangosteen. Indian Hort. 3 (1): 7.

Anonymous. 1956. Wealth of India. C.S.I.R., New Delhi, p.100.

- \*Arriaga, A. and Maldonaldo, V. 1976. Preliminary studies on the propagation of Mammea americana by grafting. Revista Cafetalera 157: 13-15.
- \*Auramov, L. and Jokovic, D. 1961. A contribution to the study of callus formation at the union of vine grafts in the stratification room. Ash Polijopr. Nauka. 14: 65-67.
- \*Basu, R.N., Bose, T.K., Chattopadhyay, K., Gupta, M.D., Dhar, N., Kundu, C., Mitra, R., Pal, P. and Pathak, G. 1975. Seed treatment for maintenance of vigour and viability. Indian Agric. 19: 91-96.
- Bhan, K.C., Samaddar, H.N. and Yadav, P.S. 1969. Chip budding and stone grafting of mangoes in India. Trop Agricst. 46: 247-253.

- Bhandary, K.R., Shetty, K.P.V. and Shet, M. 1974. Propagation of cashew by wedge grafting. J. Plantn. Crops 2 (1): 37.
- \*Bhuva, H.P., Katrodia, J.S. and Chundawat, B.S. 1990. Influence of environment on success of sapota (Achras sapota L.) propagation. Hort. J. 3(1-2):6-9.
- \*Buchloh, G. 1960. Phenolics in Plants in Health and Disease. Pergamon Press, Oxford, p. 67-71.
- Chakrabarthy, U. and Sadhu, M.K. 1984. Effect of age and length of rootstock and scion on the success of epicotyl grafting in mango. Indian J. agric. Sci. 54 (12): 1066-1072.
- Chakrabarthy, U. and Sadhu, M.K. 1985. Anatomy of graft union of epicotyl grafting in mango. Indian J. agric. Sci. 53 (8): 637-641.
- \*Ciz, D.A. 1969. Anatomical evaluation of compatibility. Sodovodstvo 1: 20-22.
- Cutler, D.F. 1978. Applied Plant Anatomy. Longman group Ltd., London, p. 56.
- Dassanayake, E.M. and Perera, W.G.S. 1988. No true seed, no fertilization wedge graft manyosteen. Indian Hont. 32 (4): 10-11.
- Desai, J.B. and Patil, V.K. 1984. Success of stone grafting in mango in glass house and in open. Punjab hort. J. 24 (1/4): 7-10.

- \*Dengale, K.M. 1980. Studies on stone grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.) M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis, Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri.
- Devadhas, C.D. and Pappiah, C.M. 1988. Studies on certain aspects of epicotyl grafting in mango. S. Indian Hort. 36 (3): 154.
- \*Dhakal, D. 1979. Studies on stone grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis, Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri.
- Dhungana, D.B. 1984. Standardisation of methods of vegetative propagation in mango. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.
- \*Duarte, O., Villagrica, J: and Franciosi, R. 1974. The effect of different treatments on the propagation of cherimoya by seeds, cuttings and grafting. Proceedings of the American Society of Agricultural Sciences 18: 41-48.
- Esau, K. 1972. Anatomy of Seed Plant. Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, p.304-305.
- Fahn, A. 1982. Plant Anatomy. Pergamon Press, Oxford, p.304-305.
- Farooqui, A.A., Nalawadi, U.G. and Sulladnath, U.V. 1971. Effect of growth regulators on the germination of sapota seeds. Mysore J. agric. Sci. 5 (3): 341-343.
- \*Flach, M. 1966.Nutmeg cultivation and its Sex Problem. Mededelingen van de Landbouwhoge School, Netherland, p. 86.

- \*Galkina, I.F. 1979. Factors affecting the extent of take in bench grafting of apples. Sodovodstvo 11: 59-60.
- Ghandhoke, M.M.S. and Joshi, O. 1987. Flush grafting for mango propagation. Indian Hort. 31 (3): 17.
- \*Giri, A. 1966. Germination percentage, average height and girth of seedlings raised from seed stones extracted from syrupy and firm mango fruits. Pakist. J. Sci. 18: 79-81.
- \*Gonzalez, L.G. and Anoos, Q.A. 1951. The growth behaviour of mangosteen and its graft affinity with some relatives. *Philipp. Agric.* 35: 379-385.
- \*Gonzalez, L.G. and Fabella, E.L. 1952. Inter-generic graft affinity of the chico-sapodilla. Philipp. Agric. 35: 402-407.
- Gunjate, R.T. and Limaye, V.P. 1977. Effect of maturity of stock and scion and method of grafting on success in stone grafting in mango. *Dapoli agric. Coll. Mag.* 7: 20-24.
- Gunjate, R.T., Dhakal, D.D. and Limaye, V.P. 1982. Stone grafting in mango under Konkan condition. Indian J. Hort. 39 (1&2): 45-50.
  - Gupta, O.P., Jawanda, J.S. and Sharma, K.C. 1988. Stone
    grafting in mango under Jammu conditions.Progve. Hort.
    20 (1&2): 11-14.
  - Hadangar, P.M. Salvi, M.J. and Joshi, G.D. 1987. Softwood grafting - a viable propagation technique for kokam production. Indian Hort. 31 (4): 21.

- Hadangar, P.M., Salvi, M.J., Joshi, G.D. and Patil, J.L. 1991. Effect of season and shade provision on softwood grafting of kokam. Indian Cocoa, Arec. Spices J. 4 (14): 158.
- \*Harmekar, M.A. 1980. Studies on vegetative propagation of cashew nut (Anacardium occidentale Linn.) and jack fruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam.). M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis, Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri.
- Hartmann, H.T. and Kester, D.E. 1989. Plant Propagation Principles and Practices. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, p. 344-444.
- \*Hartmann, W. 1974. Histological and anatomical studies of the process of establishment of a union in grafting Juglans regia J. nigra. Mitteilungen Rebe Und Wein 24 (2/3): 175-186.
- Hayes, W.B. 1957. Fruit Growing in India. Kitabisthan, Allahabad, p. 395.
- \*Iglesiaz, A.A. and Sanchez, L.A. 1985. Propagation of sour-sop (Annona muricata L.) by grafting on different annonaceous rootsocks. Acta Agronomica 35 (3): 53-58.
- Ilyas, M. 1978. The spices of India II. Econ. Bot. 32: 238-263.
- Johanson, D.A. 1940. Plant Micro-techniques. McGraw Hill, New York, p. 62-113.
- Jose, M. 1989. Standardisation of epicotyl and softwood grafting in jack (Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam.). M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.

- \*Juliano, J.S. 1941. Callus development in graft union. Philipp. J. Sci. 75: 245-254.
- Kannan, K. 1971. Certain nursery techniques for raising nutmeg seedlings. Arec. Spices Bull. 2 (4): 8.
- Kannan, K. and Nair, T.N. 1960. A note on the growth of jack on two rootstocks. S. Indian Hort. 8 (3/4): 26-27.
- Kannan, K. and Rao, V.N.M. 1964. Effect of gibberellic acid on seed germination, seedling growth and graft 'take' in mango. Madras agric. J. 51: 77-78.
- Khan, F. and Rao, S. 1953. A note on the vegetative propagation and clonal performance in custard apple (Annona squamosa L.). Indian J. Hort. 10: 140-144.
- Konkar, T. and Das, G.C. 1985. Epicotyl and softwood grafting a new technique of vegetative propagation of cashew in Orissa. Cashew Causerie 7 (3): 13-15.
- Krishnamurthy, S. and Rao, V.N.M. 1962. Mangosteen deserves
  wider attention. Indian Hort. 7 (1): 4.
- \*Krochmal, A. 1970. Inarching as a method of propagating tropical fruit trees. Wld. Crops 23 (4): 218-219.
- \*Kulwal, L.V., Tayde, G.S. and Deshmukh, P.P. 1985. Studies on softwood grafting of sapota. P.K.V. Res. J. 9 (2): 33-36.
- Kumar, A.V., Palaniswamy, U., Jayaraj, T. and Arumugam, R. 1991. Studies on certain technological aspects in guava (*Psidium guajava L.*). S. Indian Hont. 39 (5): 315.

- Kumar, D.P. and Khan, M.M. 1988. In situ softwood grafting in cashew. The Cashew 2 (2): 3-5.
- Leopold, A.C. 1979 Plant Growth and Development. Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Co., New Delhi, p. 137-152.
- \*Luthra, N.C. and Sharma, N.M.C. 1964. Some studies on the conductivity and histology of grafted mango shoots. Indian bot. Sci. J. 25: 221-229.
- Maiti, S.C. and Biswas, P. 1980. Effect of scion variety and type of scion shoot on success of epicotyl grafting of mango. (Mangifera indica L.). Punjab hort. J. 20 (3&4): 152-155.
- \*Makhmet, B.M., Bulakh, A.A. and Kolensnichenko, P.A. 1980. Anatomical indices for determining incompatibility between components of interspecific grafts of trees and shrubs. Fiziologiya i Biokhimiya Kul'turnykh Rastenii 12 (2): 179-185.
- Mathad, J.C., Rao, M.M. and Rajanna, K.M. 1991. Influence of growth regulators on the success of wedge grafts of Alphonso mango. S. Indian Hort. 39 (4): 232-233.
- Mathew, K.L. 1979. Propagation studies in nutmeg. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.
- Nagabhushanam, S. 1982. Epicotyl grafting in cashew. Cashew Causerie 4 (1): 8-9.
- \*Nagabhushanam, S. 1985. Vegetative propagation in cashew. Acta Hort. 108: 57-63.

- Nagabhushanam, S. and Mohan, E. 1982. Stone grafting in cashew. Indian J. Hort. 39 (3&4): 213-216.
- Nagawekar, D.D., Gunjate, R.T. and Salvi, M.J. 1984. Effects of various factors on survival of mango stone grafts. J. Maharashtra agric. Univ. 9 (3): 281-284.
- Naik, K.C. 1944. Notes on mangosteen propagation. Indian J. Hort. 1: 54.
- Naik, K.C. 1948. South Indian Fruits and Their Culture. V. Varadhachari -8-Linghichetty, Madras. p. 397-400.
- Nair, M.K., Premkumar, T., Sarma, Y.R. and Ratnambal, M.J. 1977. Prospects and problems of tree spices cultivation in India. Indian Spices 14 (2&3): 2-9.
- Nambiar, K.P.P. 1954. Note on the performance of sapota on three rootstocks at the Agricultural Research Station, Thalipparamba. S. Indian Hort. 2: 62.
- Narwadkar, P.R. and Anserwadekar, K.N. 1985. Effect of growth regulator treatments on the success of epicotyl grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.). Abstract of papers, Second International Symposium on Mango, Horticultural Society of India, Bangalore, p. 18.
- \*Oscar, S.A. 1983. Studies on propagation of kokam (Garcinia indica Choisy.). M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis, Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri.
- Panicker, P. and Desai, A.G. 1989. Effect of age of scion mother tree, different flushes of rootstock and in situ grafting on success and growth of softwood grafts of Alphonso mango. Progve. Hort. 29 (1-2): 141-144.

- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1968. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. I.C.A.R., New Delhi, p. 75-77.
- Patel, B.M. and Amin, R.S. 1981. Investigation into the best period for softwood grafting on mango in situ. S. Indian Hort. 29 (2): 90-94.
- Patil, V.K. and Patil, J.D. 1985. Effect of defoliation of scion and age of rootstock in epicotyl and wedge grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.). Abstract of papers, Second International Symposium on Mango, Horticultural Society of India, Bangalore, p. 25.
- Patil, V.K., Warke, D.C., Patil, V.K. and Gunjkar, S.N. 1983. Studies on epicotyl grafting in mango. Punjab hort. J. 23 (1&2): 29-34.
- Patil, J.D., Warke, D.C., Patil, V.K. and Gunjkar, S.N. 1984. Studies on epicotyl grafting in mango. Indian J. Hort. 41 (1/2): 69-72.
- Philip, J. 1974. Prospects, problems and research needs of nutmeg cultivation in India. Indian Spices 11 (1): 2-5.
- Popence, W. 1920. Manual of Tropical and Subtropical Fruits. Macmillan Co., New York, p. 398-400.
- Pushpalatha, P.B., Selam, M.A. and Suma, A. 1991a. In situ grafting in cashew - experience at Cashew Research Station, Madakkathara. The Cashew 5 (2): 6-7.
- Pushpalatha, P.B., Veeraraghavan, P.G. and George, T.E. 1991b. Studies on variables influencing success in softwood grafting in cashew. The Cashew 5 (3): 7.

- Radhamony, P.S. 1987. Varietal responses of scion to stone grafting in mango for commercial propagation. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.
- Raman, K.R. 1956. A note on the germination of seeds of jack. S. Indian Hort. 4: 87-88.
- Rao, V.N.M., Rao, I.K.S. and Hassan, M.V. 1957. Studies on seed viability in cashew. Indian J. agric. Sci. 27: 289-294.
- \*Rasalam, S.J. 1978. Practical Research in the Field of Agriculture. Atmanilayam, Parassala, p. 36.
- Ratan, J. 1985. Standardisation of: epicotyl grafting in mango. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.
- Ratan, J., Aravindakshan, M. and Gopikumar, K. 1987. Studies on stone grafting in mango. S. Indian Hort. 35 (3): 192-198.
- Reddy, V.C. 1987. Studies on the propagation of mango (Mangifera indica L.) by softwood grafting. Mysore J. Agric. 21 (4): 23-25.
- Reddy, V.C. and Melanta, K.R. 1988. Effect of age of rootstock on the success of softwood grafting of mango in containers and in situ. S. Indian Hort. 36 (3): 143-145.
- \*Richards, A.V. 1943. Studies on propagation of sapodilla. Trop. Agricst. 99: 78-82.

- Robert, R. 1949. Theoretical aspects of graftage. Bot. Rev. 15: 423-463.
- Sarada, C., Rao, V.P., Sankar, C.R. and Rao, N.S. 1991. Studies on softwood grafting cashew. S. Indian Hort. 39 (3): 119-123.
- Savithri, A. 1990. Standardisation of softwood grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.). M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.
- Sawke, D.P. 1983. Effect of season and age of stock on success in epicotyl grafting in cashew. Cashew Causerie 5 (2): 7-9.
- Sawke, D.P. 1984. A new technique of vegetative propagation epicotyl grafting. Cashew Causerie 5 (2): 7-9.
- Sawke, D.P., Salvi, M.J. and Patil, M.M. 1985. Prospects of clonal propagation in cashewnut by softwood grafting. Indian Cashew J. 17 (4): 15-17.
- \*Shanmugavelu, K.G. 1985. Studies on the effect of plant growth regulators on cashew. Acta Hort. 108: 32-39.
  - Shanmugavelu, K.G., Selvarajan, M. and Thamburaj, S. 1987. Mangosteen. S. Indian Hort. 35 (1&2): 144.
- Sheshadri, K.V. and Rao, R.R. 1985. Modified method of epicotyl grafting of cashew for commercial propagation. Indian Cashew J. 17 (4): 11-13.

- Sheshadri, K.V. and Rao, R.R. 1986. Effect of age of rootstock and pre-treating scion on the success of softwood grafting in cashew. S. Indian Hort. 34 (4): 255-257.
- \*Shimoya, C., Gomide, C.J. and Pinheiro, R.V. 1970. The anatomy of union in wedge grafts of avocado and mango. Rev. Ceres. 17: 119-138.
- Shylaja, M.R. 1984. Standardisation of the technique of stone grafting in cashew (Anacardium occidentale L.) and management practices for field establishment. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Trichur.
- Siegal, S. 1979. Non Parametric Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences McGraw Hill, Tokyo, p. 166-172.
- \*Simao, S. 1960. Seeds of green mangoes for rootstock production. Rev. Agric. Piracicaba 35: 183-188.
- Singh, A. 1986. Fruit Physiology and Production. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, p. 368.
- \*Singh, L.B. 1951. Mango grafting in eight weeks. Sci. 114: 393.
  - Singh, N.P. and Sreevastava, R.P. 1980. A new approach towards double grafting in mango. Curn. Sci. 49 (17): 678-679.
- Singh, N.P. and Sreevastava, R.P. 1981. Success in stone grafting of mango as influenced by method of grafting and the age of rootstock. Punjab hort. J. 21 (3&4): 166-171.
- Singh, N.P. and Sreevastava, R.P. 1982. Studies on various factors involved in softwood grafting in manyo. Progve. Hort. 14 (2&3): 117-120.

- Singh, R.N., Rao, O.P. and Singh, G. 1984. Propagation studies in mango (Mangifera indica L. cv. Langra). Progve. Hort. 16 (3&4): 161-165.
- Singh, S., Krishnamurthy, S. and Katyal, S.L. 1963. Fruit Culture in India, I.C.A.R., New Delhi, p. 292-295.
- \*Sinha, N.M. and Sinha, S.N. 1968. Effect of NAA on the germination of jack fruit seeds. Sci. Culture 34: 372-373.
- \*Sinsor, R.K. 1982. Scion/rootstock incompatibilities in young trees. Compact Fruit Tree 15: 30-32.
- \*Skene, D.S., Shepherd, H.R. and Howard, B.H. 1983. Characteristic anatomy of union formation in T and chip budded fruit and ornamental trees. J. hort. Sci. 58 (3): 295-299.
  - Snedecor, G.S. and Cochran, W.G. 1968. Statistical Methods. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, p.339-379.
  - Sreevastava, R.P. 1985. Propagation of mango by newer techniques.Abstract of papers,Second International Symposium on mango, Horticultural Society of India, Bangalore, p. 28.
- \*Sreevastava, S.S., Sharma, H.B. and Kumar, P. 1989. Studies on the factors contributing to the success of grafting in mango. Agric. Sci. Digest. 7 (1): 7-10.
- Sriram, T. 1977. Tree Spices Retrospect and research needs. Arec., Spices Bull. 8 (4): 97-100.

- Subramanya, G. and Reddy, Y.N. 1989. Studies on epicotyl grafting in mango (Mangifera indica L.). Mysore J. agric. Sci. 23 (4): 577.
- \*Subronto, A. and Hutomo, T. 1987. Comparative study between stumping and bending of rootstock and application of growth regulators on cocoa bud-grafting. Bull. Perkebunan 18 (1): 29-33.
  - Sundararaj, J.S. and Varadarajan, E.N. 1956. Propagation of nutmeg on different rootstocks. S. Indian Hort. 4: 85-86.
- Swamy, K.R.M., Singh, R. and Mohan, E. 1990. Correlation of success in softwood grafting cashew with weather parameters. S. Indian Hort. 38 (6): 297-300.
- \*Tayde, G.S., Kulwal, L.V. and Das, P.R. 1988. Studies on in situ grafting on mango by different methods under Akola conditions. P.K.V. Res. J. 12 (1): 31-36.

\*Thayer, E.F. 1961. Mangosteen. Amer. hort. Mag. 40: 299.

\*Verma, S.R. 1941. A novel mango graft. Punjab Fruit J. 5: 95-97.

- \*Wilson, J. and Wilson, P.M.V. 1961. The position of regenerating cambia - new hypothesis. New Phytol. 60: 63-73.
- \*Winters, H.F. and Rodriguez, F. 1953. Storage of mangosteen seed. Proceedings of American Society of Horticultural Science 61: 304-306.
  - \* Originals not seen

Appendices

·			Appen	dix-	I	•		
Monthly	weather	data	during	the	course	of	investigation	

.

Months	Mean temper	ature (°C) –	Mean R.	H. (%)	Rainfal	1 (mm)
	1991	1992	1991	1992	1991	1992
January	27.90	26.50	57.00	53.00	3.90	0.00
February	28.80	28.20	51.00	65.00	0.00	0.00
March	30.70	29.90	66.00	61.00	1.80	0.00
April	30.10	30.35	68.00	65.00	83.80	48.60
Мау	30.30	29.30	70.00	73.00	56.10	90.60
June	26.80	26.90	88.00	. 84.00	993.10	979.80
July	26.00		86.00	•	975.60	
Aug <b>ust</b>	25.90		87.00		533.30	
September	27.60		78.00		61.50	
October	27.10		82.00		281.70	
November	27.30		75.00		191.30	
December	26.60		64.00		0.20	

#### Appendix-II

-

Analysis of variance for the interaction effect of seed coat, periods of soaking and concentrations of GA on seed germination at weekly intervals

Source	df			Mean squ	uares at w	weekly in	tervals		
	ur 	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Methods	1	4418.00	* 9022.72	* 19273.39	* 21840.50	* 13612.50	* 9067.56	* 3990.22	* 3990.22
Period of soaking	3	403.63	503.28	578.72	409.54	349.54	377.48	229.93	229.93
Concentration of GA	2	442.06	708.17	82.72	105.50	58.50	80.89	33.56	33.56
Method x period of soaking	3	NS 74.15	NS 43.17	NS 195.00	* 296.80	* 105.24	NS 127.11	24.59	NS 24.59
Method x concentration of GA	2	NS 15.17	NS 64.39		* 465.50	* 240.50	NS 323.56	NS 254.89	NS 254.89
Period of soaking x concentration of GA	6	NS 273.04	* 321.06			* 186.26	NS 145.48	NS 253.70	NS 253.70
Method x period of soaking x concentration of GA	6	* 138.20	110		NS 34.24	NS 15.91		NS 55.48	
Control vs. treatment	1	NS 1849.09	NS 14372.56	NS 3775.07	NS 2402.85	NS 2274.33	NS 2766.12	NS 2168.53	NS 2168.53
Error	52	33.64	90.00	90.00	80.36	119.44	137.03	122.67	122.67

.

\* Significant at 5 per cent level

.

#### Appendix-III

Analysis of variance for the interaction effect of different types of rootstock and methods of grafting on the extension growth, girth and number of leaves of scion over ten fortnights

Source	df	Mean squa	res over ten fo	ortnights
	u.	Extension growth	Girth	Number of leaves
- ++ ++ ++ -=				
Treatment	4	224.163*	0.372*	23.600*
Error	45	12.228	0.029	2.350

#### Appendix-IV

Analysis of variance for the interaction effect of different types and age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the extension growth of scion at fortnightly intervals

.

~ .	_		Mean squares at fortnightly intervals										
Source	df 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Treatments	* 9 0.189	3.166	* 32.602	* 83.941	136.5 <b>6</b> 8	210.306	* 255.481	* 315.296	* 346.560	* 393.542			
Error	90 0.032	0.206	0.985	2.188	2.595	3.265	4.058	4.601	5.207	5.768			

#### Appendix-V

Analysis of variance for the interaction effect of different types and age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the girth of scion at fortnightly intervals

-	3.C		Mean squares at fortnightly intervals												
Source	df	1	2	3	4	- <b></b> 5.	6	7	8	· 9	10				
Treatments	9	0.368*	0.342*	0.339*	0.354*	0.349*	0.351*	0.399*	0.404*	0.467*	0.528				
Error	90	0.020	0.021	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.023	0.024	0.028	0.028	0.030				

#### Appendix-VI

Analysis of variance for the interaction effect of different types and age of rootstock and methods of grafting on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals

			Mean squares at fortnightly intervals												
Source	df	 1	2	3		5	6	7	8	9	10 				
Treatments	9	* 1.938	* 1.600	* 2.623	* 7.173	* 11.751	* 28.899	* 32.667	* 42 <b>.</b> 232	* 52 <b>.16</b> 0	* 69.933				
Error	90	0.422	0.484	1.090	1.842	2.202	2.770	3.232	3.086	2.642	2.373				
						. "									

#### Appendix-VII

Analysis of variance for the effect of growth regulators on the extension growth of scion at fortnightly intervals

•

.

2	3.6		Mean squares at fortnightly intervals												
Source	df	1 ·	2	3	4	5 5	6	7	. 8	9	10				
Treatments	5								* 107.534						
Error	37	0.014	0.412	3.363	6.581	8.870	10.888	13.975	15.922	1 <b>6.</b> 578	18.783				

### Appendix-VIII

.

Analysis of variance for the effect of growth regulators on the girth of scion at fortnightly intervals

Source	đf		Mean squares at fortnightly intervals										
	ar	1	2	3	· 4	5		7	8	9	10		
Treatments	5	* 0.116	* 0.111	* 0.102	0.090	* 0.118	0.107	* 0.094	* 0.100	NS 0.071	NS 0.083		
Error	37	0.023	0.022	0.023	0.027	0.030	0.032	0.039	0.040	0.040	0.052		

#### Appendix-IX

.

Analysis of variance for the effect of growth regulators on the number of leaves of scion at fortnightly intervals

Source	df		Mean squares at fortni					ortnightly intervals						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Treatments	5	NS 0.015	NS 0.232				* 19.800		* 14.462	* 15.074	* 13.257			
Error	37	0.024	0.142	0.772	1.771	2.671	3.613	5.116	5.080	4.954	4.938			

#### Appendix-X

Friedman two way analysis of variance for the effect of growth regulators on the extension growth of scion at fortnightly intervals

Source	df	Mean squares
Fortnights	. 8	11.267*
Freatments adjusted	5	66.958*
Preatments x fortnights	40	1.057
Error	. 333	0.763

### Appendix-XI

Friedman ranks for the effect of growth regulators on the number of leaves of the scion at fortnightly intervals

.

Treatments			I	Ranks at	differe	nt fortn	ights		
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
GA 500 ppm	3	8	5 ،	9	7	4	6	2	1
GA 750 ppm	1	7	7	7	9	2.	3	4.5	4.5
GA 1000 ppm	2	2	7.5	2	5	9	7.5	5	5
IBA 500 ppm	1	3.5	5	7.5	9	6	7.5	3.5	2
IBA 750 ppm	2.5	2.5	2.5	7	2.5	8.5	8.5	6	5
Control	1	7	8	6	5	9	3	3	3

# STANDARDISATION OF SOFTWOOD AND EPICOTYL GRAFTING IN Garcinia cambogia Desr.

🗥 By

NAZEEMA, K. K.

## ABSTRACT OF A THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

# Master of Science in Horticulture

Faculty of Agriculture Kerala Agricultural University

Department of Pomology & Floriculture COLLEGE OF HORTICULTURE Vellanikkara, Thrissur

#### ABSTRACT

The investigations on standardisation of softwood and epicotyl grafting in <u>Garcinia cambogia</u> was carried out in the Department of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Horticulture, Vellanikkara, Trichur during the period, 1990-92.

The studies revealed that seed coat removal or seed the final regulators increased growth treatment with percentage of seed germination compared to control though there was no significant difference between the treatments. the seed germination coat removal could enhance Seed remarkably. After the beginning of germination seeds with intact seed coat completed germination within seven weeks while those seeds without seed coat required only five weeks In order to obtain an early and higher for the same. germination, seed coat removal along with seed soaking with 500 ppm GA for 12 h could be adopted. This treatment registered 90.667 per cent germination.

Softwood grafting on 18 months old <u>G</u>. <u>cambogia</u> rootstock was found to be the best method of vegetative propagation compared to epicotyl grafting (using <u>G</u>. <u>tinctoria</u> rootstocks) and double grafting (using different combinations