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## Intraduction

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) as it is commonly referred to 'hairy litchi" is a medium-sized evergreen tropical tree belonging to Sapindaceae, a family that includes other fruit crops such as litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.), longan (Dimocarpus longan Lour) and pulasan (Nephelium mutabile Blume). Native to Indonesia and Malaysia, rambutan is now commonly grown throughout South East Asia. This crop has also established itself as a crop of warm humid tropics that adapts to various kinds of soil from low land-heavy soils to upland-hilly soil. Currently, Thailand is the world's leading producer of rambutan. However, Indonesia, Malaysia, Australia, China, Philippines and some other countries in the western hemisphere are also centres of commercial production.

Rambutan trees are either male (producing staminate flowers only), female (producing flowers that are only functionally female), or hermaphrodite (producing flowers that are female with a small percentage of male flowers). Most of the commercially cultivated varieties are hermaphrodite. Rambutan can be propagated vegetatively as well as by seeds. However, to obtain quality true- totype bearing trees and to achieve early bearing, vegetative propagation techniques are normally resorted to. Seedling progenies show high variability due to heterozygosity. Secondly, there is a chance that such plants raised could be a male leading to no fruit yield at all and thirdly they have a high gestation period of nearly 4-6 years. There is also wide morphological variations observed among plants grown as a consequence of which little uniformity is observed in the orchards and also the fruits realized from them.

In India, rambutan is mostly confined to two districts of Pathanamthitta and Kottayam of state of Kerala. The crop has also become one of the most treasured fruit particularly as a 'courtyard crop' or on its fringes in the homegardens of Kerala. Available information on the subject is scanty but it is certainly a crop with tremendous potential for cultivation in the whole state of Kerala..

A recently held state level workshop sponsored by National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) and National Horticulture Board
(NHB) of Govt. of India, concluded that there exist very high variability among the seedling progenies cultivated. Some of the types showcased were much more superior than the established cultivars of South East Asiatic countries revealing that there is sufficient scope for selection of elite/promising types. (Sijimon, 2009).

This study was taken up with the prime objectives of showcasing the existing variability and genetic wealth available in the important rambutan growing tracts of Kerala (Pathanamthitta, Kottayam, Idukki and Thrissur). It also aims at comparing the different types available by analyzing the physiochemical traits of fruits and conducting sensorial perceptions to evaluate the consumer preference of identified promising types. Finally, the outcome of the project is expected to be in terms of identifying elite types with promising quality attributes which can be outrightly recommended for cultivation.

Revien of literature

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.), native to Indonesia and Malaysia is a crop of the warm humid tropics and sub-tropical regions and is widely cultivated throughout South - East Asia. There exists great variability in rambutan because of the natural cross pollination and sexual propagation. In this chapter, an attempt is made to review the relevant literature that has been reported in rambutan and is presented in a chronological order under various subheads such as variability in vegetative characters, reproductive characters, yield studies, fruit characters, quality parameters, postharvest management, value addition and pests, diseases and physiological disorders.

### 2.1 Variability in rambutan

Conventional orchards show large phenotypic variability beginning from tree form to fruit and quality attributes due to seed propagation which was in practice. On the contrary, domestication of improved types forced orchardists to resort to vegetative propagation to preserve fruit quality characters. However, this aspect falls outside the ambit of the review.

### 2.1.1 Vegetative characters

Naturally occurring rambutan trees are fairly large, grow up to a height of 20 m and clonal cultivars are small, 4-7 m tall with spreading habit. Leaves are alternate, paripinnate, ovate to obovate leaflets with a dimension of $5-28 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2$ 10.5 cm , usually glabrous above, sometimes hairy beneath (Tindall, 1994).

Andrade et al. (2009) conducted a study with the objective of morphological characterization and grouping of rambutan plants. They evaluated the morphological characters like plant height, geometry, diameter, leaves and leaflet size, leaflet number, length of petiole, leaf area, leaflet colour etc and claimed that morphological descriptors can be efficiently used in determining the genetic divergence whereas visual distinction is not possible, because no outstanding characteristic exists.

The study conducted to characterize the rambutan plants using foliar aspects like leaf and leaflet size, leaflet area, number of leaflets, rachis length, leaflet colour etc concluded that there exists low genetic divergence between the plants studied and it is not possible to distinguish plants of the same sex based on the foliar aspects (Barreto et al., 2015).

Flushing normally start soon after harvest on shoots which have already borne fruits. Lateral buds seen below the desiccated panicles give rise to flushes. About 57 per cent of the shoots produce flowers and fruits on previously nonbearing twigs, but only 22 per cent produce flowers on twigs which have borne fruits previously (van Welzen and Verheij, 1991). Vegetative flushing is stimulated by external environmental conditions like rainfall, heavy irrigation and practices such as pruning and harvest. Flushing will be reduced by cool weather in the presence of heavy rainfall. Hawaii experiences steady rainfall and warm temperatures, which allows rambutan trees to grow and flush year- round as a consequence of which terminal maturation is non-synchronous within the tree and is very difficult to acquire synchrony in flowering, fruit set, and harvest in orchard (Kawabata et al., 2005).

### 2.1.2 Reproductive characters

Rambutan flowering is stimulated by water stress, and symptoms of water stress in trees can be observed when leaves curl inward along the margins. In Hawaii rambutan flowers twice a year during the months of March-May and JulyAugust in response to two short periods of dry weather followed by occasional showers (Kawabata et al., 2005). Two flowering periods can also occur in Malaysia from March-May and August-October depending on the prevailing climatic condition. The flowering and fruiting are observed to be a function of weather conditions and the status of the stored food reserves within the trees (Shaari et al., 1983).

The inflorescences of rambutan are erect and widely branched with many flowers, and are produced mainly on shoot tips (Shaari et al., 1983). Rambutan is
androdioecious with separate male and hermaphrodite trees. According to the flower characteristics rambutan trees are classified into three groups (Valmayor et al., 1970): a) trees producing only staminate flowers (male trees), 40-60 per cent of any seedling population b) trees producing hermaphroditic flowers functioning as female (HF) and c) trees producing both hermaphroditic female (HF) and hermaphroditic male (HM) flowers, the most common type in cultivar selections.

Cultivars have been selected for their high percentage of HF flowers and low percentage of HM flowers. The percentage of HM flowers is as low as $0.05-$ 0.90 per cent of the total flowers (Almeyda et al., 1979; Chin and Phoon, 1982; Tindall, 1994; Nakasone and Paull, 1998). On panicles having both HF and HM flowers, HM flowers usually open first and are found in highest frequency during the first three weeks of anthesis, but as anthesis progresses nearly all of the remaining flowers are HF flowers (Kawabata et al., 2005).

Rambutan flowers open at all times of the day but the majority of them do so at about 6.30 am . Anthesis in hermaphrodite flower is indicated by recurving of the bifid stigma whereas that of male flower by parting of the calyx. Open flowers usually persist on panicles for 7-10 days, if insects are excluded. The greenish white stigma remains active for a day, becomes dull on the second, and thereafter turns from brown to black (Tidal, 1994).

Panicles of male trees take approximately 24-46 days to complete anthesis, which act only as pollenizer and do not produce fruit. Anthers of male flowers release pollen, disperse through insects and wind and pollinate trees bearing HF flowers. The HF flowers have an ovary and stigma, but their anthers do not dehisce to release the well developed pollen grains whereas HM anthers shed pollen during athesis. Thus the HM and true male flowers are the source of pollen grains for effective pollination and subsequent fruit set (Ravishankar and Sakthivel, 2014). Tidal (1994) recommended to plant one male tree for every ten trees for getting adequate fruit set in cultivars which lack staminate flowers.

Rambutan is a cross pollinated crop and depends on insects for pollination and fruit set (Free, 1993; Zee, 1993). Aromatic rambutan flowers are highly attractive to many insects like bees (Apis spp and Trigona spp.), butterflies, and flies (Eristalis spp. and Lucilia spp.) (Chin and Phoon, 1982;Lim, 1984). The study conducted at Central Horticultural Experiment Station (CHES), Chettali confirmed that stingless bee (Trigona iridipennis) and Indian honeybee (Apis cerana indica) were the most dominant foragers in rambutan with a mean visitation of 3.81 and 3.54/panicle/ 10 minutes. Other foragers included A. florea, A. dorsata, an unidentified wasp and calliphorid flies. Peak activity of all the pollinating insects to the flowers were between 10.00-11.00 am. Bagged flowers completely failed to set fruits while open pollinated panicles set about 29.35 fruits/panicle (Shivaramu et al., 2012).

Uji (2015) found that the bees Apis cerana indica, Trigon itama, T. nitidiventris, T. canifrons, T. iridipennis and T. atripes are the potential pollinators of rambutan. Rincon-Rabanales et al. (2015) in their experiment in Mexico on the effect of bee pollination on the yield of rambutan. They claimed that fruit production increased nearly ten fold in both stingless bee species in open pollination treatments and within cages. A superior fruit yield, in weight and size, was also obtained from selfing mediated by pollinators in caged trees.

### 2.1.3 Yield studies in rambutan

Individual tree yields of rambutan in Thailand are from 20 kg at 3 years from planting to more than 400 kg at 21 years from planting (Watson, 1983; Laksmi et al., 1987). 'Amarillo' the first yellow coloured rambutan of Philippine gives an economic yield of $50-60 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tree at 10 years from planting in one fruiting season (Magdalita and Valencia, 2009a) whereas the red and sweet cultivar 'Roja' bears $70-80 \mathrm{~kg}$ of marketable fruits per tree in one fruiting season (Magdalita and Valencia, 2009b)

Yield of eight rambutan cultivars namely Benjai, Gula Batu, Jitlee, R-134, R-156Y, R-162, R-167 and Rongrein grown at two locations (Corozal and Isabela)
in Puerto Rico was evaluated for five years by Goenaga and Jenkins (2011). The average productivity recorded was $13,826 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and $5149 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ at Corozal and Isabela respectively.

### 2.1.4 Fruit characters

Fruit development starts shortly after anthesis. Initial fruit set may reach 25 percent but a high level of abortion contributes to a much lower level of production at harvest (1-3per cent) (Tindall, 1994).

Although 2 ovaries are present on a female flower, one ovary aborts leaving the other to develop into a normal fruit. Rarely, both ovaries develop resulting in two fruits on the same peduncle. Growth of rambutan fruits is observed as a typical sigmoidal pattern. Seed filling begins $8-10$ weeks after anthesis, and aril development occurs 10-12 weeks after anthesis. Dry weather during this period will result in under-sized fruits. During the last few weeks before harvest, fruit colour intensifies and total soluble solids concentration increase (Kawabata et al., 2005). Fruits normally ripen in about 110 days from flowering ( 16 weeks after anthesis). Rambutan is a non-climacteric fruit where both maturity and ripening coincides, hence harvesting must be done at the peak of ripeness for overall colour, texture and flavour appeal.

Rambutan fruits are produced in bunches, fruit shape varies from roundish to oval, $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $4-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ wide, pericarp soft with many abundant hairy growths, which change colour from green to red (Kothagoda and Rao, 2012). Rambutan fruits are green when immature and eventually turn red or yellow which is cultivar dependent (van Welzen and Verheij, 1991). The hairy pericarp can be easily removed exposing the white to cream coloured edible pulp that surrounds the big central seed which may either stick to the seed or is detachable and tastes from sour to sweet (Kothagoda and Rao, 2012).

### 2.1.5 Quality parameters

Magdalita and Valencia (2004) found a wide variability for fruit weight, fruit length, total soluble solids (TSS), aril percentage and seed weight among 100
strains of rambutan evaluated for phenotypic characteristics in Philippine. The studies conducted by Vanderlinden et al. (2004) revealed that fruit weight, spintern appearance and colour, as well as fruit diameter and aril to fruit weight are the indicators for identifying fruit quality which are modified by environment and management practices.

Hiranpradit et al. (1992) set up quality standardisation of two commercial varieties of Thai rambutan, namely Rong-rien (RR) and See-chompoo (SC). They found that fruit size (width, length, thickness), rind weight and thickness, aril weight and thickness and seed weight are highly correlated with fruit weight whereas aril colour, flavour and texture are not correlated with fruit weight. They categorized the quality standardisation of RR and SC in to Extra, Class I and Class II. In case of RR, in Extra class the number of fruit specified per kilogram is $<25$, in Class I is $25-28$ and in Class II it is $<32$, while in case of SC cultivar $<28$ includes Extra class, 28-32 in Class I and $<36$ in Class II. Further, all classes should satisfy certain basic requirements such as a) fresh appearance, clean and practically free from marked bruising, disease and insect damage. b) shape, smell and taste must be typical to the nature of the produce. c) general characteristics must be typical to the cultivar and d) the produce must be sufficiently developed and stay in satisfactory condition at the place of destination.

The rambutan tree bears ovoid fruits, that has dark red to yellow coloured pericarp covered with soft spinterns on its exterior, that vary in colour from green to yellow and red and internal fleshy aril. The fruit weight varies from 20 to 60 g , with 40-60per cent pericarp, 30-58per cent aril and 4-9per cent seed. The edible aril is white or translucent, sweet and juicy and clings to the testa of seed (Nakasone and Paul, 1998; Smith et al., 1992; Wall, 2006; Sacramento et al., 2013).

For export, the fruits of rambutan should satisfy the following quality specifications: uniform red colour, free from lesions, pests and diseases, clean, weight above 30 g , spines no longer than 1 cm , thick firm aril with very poor adherence to seed, and total soluble solid content of 16 to $18^{\circ}$ Brix (Landrigan et al., 1996; Kader, 2001). The fundamental attributes of fruit quality from the
consumer point of view are its visual aspects such as appearance, size, colour, texture, firmness and absence of defects, flavour, juiciness, poor attachment of aril to seed and nutrient content (Kader, 2001). According to Codex Standard (Codex Stan 246-2005), a size code of 1 is given for fruits weighing above 43 g . The superior quality rambutan fruits are classified in the category 'extra', good quality fruits with some defects in Class I and fruits satisfying only minimum requirements in Class II (Codex Alimentarious, 2008).

Amarillo is the first Philippine yellow variety of rambutan with oblong shaped fruits, weighs 18.38 g and measures 52.65 mm long and 36.89 mm wide. The skin and spines are thick and leathery. The flesh is white, juicy, smooth, easily detachable from the seed and very sweet with a TSS of $22.35^{\circ}$ Brix. The fruit has a relatively large edible portion ( 60.7 per cent) and oblong seed which measures 25.40 mm long, 14.60 mm wide and 5.70 mm thick (Magdalita and Valencia, 2009).

Wall et al. (2006) estimated the ascorbic acid and mineral composition of rambutan cultivars grown in Hawaii. Average ascorbic acid content was 22.047 $\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ for the cultivars tested. There was no correlation between ascorbic acid and total soluble solids content. The rambutan fruit had 20 per cent of the daily recommended intake (DRI) for Cu and 8-10per cent of the DRI for Mn .

### 2.1.6 Postharvest management and value addition

As a consequence of rapid desiccation and browning of the spinterns, rambutan fruit lose visual quality rapidly. Browning of the spinterns usually occurs within 4-5 days after harvest at ambient temperature and relative humidity (Landrigan et al., 1994). Browning of the rind and spinterns are the major factors affecting the shelf life of rambutan which result in weight loss during storage and transportation. The major cause of browning is water loss (Landrigan et al., 1996; Nathiwatthana, 1981; Mendoza et al., 1972; Wells and Bagshaw, 1989).

The work of Landrigan et al. (1996a) confirmed that tissue browning in rambutan was preceded by water loss and associated decline in water potential of rind and spinterns. Decrease in weight and water potential of the rind were strongly
correlated with both rind and spinterns browning. Spintern browning was more strongly correlated with weight loss than rind browning. They observed a substantial weight loss of about 23 per cent after the first 4 days of storage which increased to 35 per cent on the eighth day of storage. Finally they concluded that a loss of membrane permeability due to plasmolysis allowed the browning processes to proceed. In both rind and spinterns vascular bundles are connected. Vascular bundles are also found close to the aril below the peel surface. Water moves from the rind to the tips of the spinterns and transpires through the stomata seen on the rind and spinterns which is influenced by the density of stomata. The rate of water loss from rambutan fruits is greatly affected by the stomatal density rather than the number and length of spinterns ((Yingsanga et al., 2006).

The effect of relative humidity, mechanical damage and enzymatic action on rambutan fruits was studied by Landrigan et al. (1996b). Browning was significantly increased when fruits were stored at low RH irrespective of infiltration with enzyme inhibitors whereas browning was reduced significantly when infiltrated with enzyme inhibitors and stored at high RH compared to infiltration with water. Irrespective of infiltration, mechanical damage significantly increased browing processes. Browning in mechanically damaged fruits was reduced when infiltrated with enzyme inhibitors compared to infiltration with water. These observations led to the inference that enzymes were involved in browning damaged fruits under high RH and enzymes were ineffective at low RH as desiccation was the major cause of browning.

Fruits of three rambutan cultivars namely R162, Sit Lee and R156 were stored for 25 days at 0 to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Maximum shelf life of 15 days was observed for R162 all the three cultivars when stored at $7.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 13$ days for Jit Lee at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 11-12 days for R156 at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under normal atmosphere. Chilling injury was noticed which was characterized by a dark-maroon colouration of the rind in red cultivars (R162 and Jit Lee) and bronzing in yellow cultivar R156. Cultivar R162 was observed to be more chill-tolerant than other cultivars. Shelf life of R162 was
extended by 4-5 days with decreased rate of colour loss when stored under enhanced carbon dioxide (9-12per cent) (O'Hare et al., 1994a; O'Hare, 1995).

The effect of modified atmosphere on chilling injury and storage life of 'Rongrien' rambutan was investigated by Ketsa et al. (1995). Fruits of 'Rongrien' were sealed in polyethylene bags with $0,1,2$ or 3 ventilation pores and stored at 10 and $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Chilling injury appeared more rapidly at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Longest storage life of 18 days was obtained when fruits were stored at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sealed in bags with one ventilation pore. Storage life of 5 and 8 days was observed when unpacked fruits were stored at 10 and $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively.

Kanlayanarat et al. (2000) packed Rongrien rambutans in 0.01, 0.04 and 0.08 mm thick polyethylene (PE) films and stored at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $95-100$ per cent RH . The atmospheric compositions developed in the sealed bags were 15-16per cent $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and 2-3per cent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in 0.01 mm PE bags, 3-5per cent $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and 10-11 per cent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in 0.04 mm , and 1-2per cent $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $15-16$ per cent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in 0.08 mm PE bags. Maximum storage life of 18 days was observed when fruits were packed with 0.01 and 0.04 mm thick PE bags compared with 16 days in fruits stored in 0.08 mm thick PE bags and 12 days in controls.

Boonyaritthongchai et al. (2003) studied the influence of controlled atmospheric storage on the quality of 'Rongrein' rambutan fruits. Fruits lasted for only 6 days at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 days at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under normal atmosphere $(0.03$ per cent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). Under 10 per cent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ storage life prolonged to 18 days with reduced rates of browning, weight loss, respiration and ethylene production. Quality of the fruits including total soluble solids, titratable acidity and ascorbic acid contents was not adversely affected in this controlled atmospheric storage.

Wongs-Aree and Kanlayanarat (2005) conducted an experiment to study the effect of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ applications on storage quality of rambutan. Rambutan fruits were dipped in $0,0.1,1$ and 4 per cent $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ for 5 min and then stored at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a RH of $90-95$ per cent. They concluded that applications of low concentrations of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ can give a storage life of 10 days whereas at higher concentrations (4per cent)
browning of spinterns occur within 4 days of application. They also found that the application of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ will retard the growth of many pathogens such as Colletotrichum sp., Gliocephalotrichum sp., and Botryodiplodia sp.

Siriphollakul et al. (2006) reported the use of antitranspirants in rambutan for maintaining freshness and improving shelf life. Use of antitranspirants such as abscisic acid (ABA) and salicylic acid (SA) delayed pericarp browning and thus prolonged the fruit shelf life. Antitranspirants reduced the rates of respiration, losses in ascorbic acid and titratable acids, and increase in soluble solids. They recommended the use of ABA at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or SA at $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ litre ${ }^{-1}$ as an effective treatment to prolong the shelf life of rambutan fruits.

Shelf life of rambutan fruits increased from 4-6 days in the normal condition to 10-14 days in hydrocooled fruits. Hydrocooled fruits were charcacterized by reduced pericarp browning as compared to non-hydrocooled fruits. Greatest reduction in browning was observed at a water temperature of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while lower water temperatures induced chilling injury shown as increases surface discoloration. Hydrocooling not only maintained the quality of fruits by delaying soluble solids increase and reducing titratable acid and ascorbic acid loss but also retarded the respiration rates (Nampan et al., 2006).

According to YuanZhi et al. (2013) rambutan fruits packed with antimoisture polyethylene and stored at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were the most appropriate strategies to prolong the shelf life and to maintain the quality. Rambutan fruits packed with frozen gel maintained the freshness with minimal colour and weight loss as compared to the fruits packed without frozen gel (Latifah et al., 2013).

The study conducted by Yingsanga et al. (2015) on storage quality of spinterned and non-spinterned 'Rongrein' rambutan concluded that shelf life and fruit quality changes of rambutan during storage were not affected by their spinterns. Compared to spinterned rambutan fruits non-spinterned fruits might be advantageous to extend the storage life by coating treatment and to improve postharvest management.

Rambutan fruit is primarily valued as fresh fruit for dessert. Fruits meant for export are preserved by canning in syrup. The roots, leaves and bark has many medicinal properties as they are used as poultice, astringent for treating tongue diseases and as decoction for treating fever (Coronel, 1986).

Consumer acceptance of rambutan pulpy juice was investigated by Sukasih and Setyadjit (2015). Pulpy juice rambutan with addition of both rambutan and citrus pulp were the most preferred juice by the panellist.

Thitilertdecha et al. (2008) noted that rambutan rind and seed possess both antioxidant and antibacterial activities. Antioxidant property of rambutan rind was revealed by Palaniswamy et al. (2008) as it has no pro-oxidant activity induced by transition metals, unlike ascorbic acid at higher concentrations. The ethanoloic extract of rambutan rind possesses high free radical scavenging activity which is much higher than that of grape seed and comparable with that of ascorbic acid and it is having 30 per cent superoxide-scavenging ability. The phenolic content of ethanolic rind extract ranges from $762-822 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ GAE and it does not contain compounds that are cytotoxic to normal cells. The predominant bioactive compound in rambutan rind is geraniin. The rambutan rind extract appears to have the potential to inhibit the carbohydrate hydrolysing enzymes $\alpha$-glucosidase and $\alpha$ amylase in addition to the ability to control the development of diabetic complications by suppressing aldose reductase activity and the formation of advanced glycation end products. All these properties make rambutan rind extract a potential drug in the management of type 2 diabetes (Gorinstein et al., 2010; Ruffino et al., 2010; Palaniswamy et al., 2011).

The extracted rambutan seed fat could be exploited in the manufacture of candles, soaps and fuels as well as a source of natural edible fat. Rambutan seed fat would be useful as a softer filling fat compatible with cocoa butter in chocolate manufacture (Lannes et al., 2003; Issara et al., 2014).

### 2.1.7 Pests, diseases and physiological disorders

### 2.1.7.1 Pests of rambutan

Although there are no reports on incidence of severe pest and disease attack but several problems are being monitored which can probably become a threat in the future. The incidence of pests like mealy bug (Planococcus citri), red borer (Zeuzera sp.), bostrichid beetle (Sinoxylon sp.) and fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis and B. caryeaea) have been reported from different rambutan growing tracts of South India (Mala et al., 2015).

Osman and Chettanchitara (1987) have listed out seven insect pests of economic importance in other Asiatic countries: leaf minor (Acrocercops cramerella), armoured scale (Phenacaspis sp.), citrus mealy bug (Planococcus citri), yellow peach moth (Conogethes punctiferalis), oriental fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis) and driedfruit beetles (Carpophilus dimidiatus). Watson (1988) has reported banana spotting bug (Amblypelia lutescens), mealy bugs and mites as contributing to skin deterioration and discolouration in Australia.

### 2.1.7.2 Diseases of rambutan

Fungal pathogens of rambutan also affect both quality and quantity of the produce. The major diseases of rambutan are powdery mildew (Oidium nephelii) on young growth, pink disease (Erythricium salmonicolor) and sooty mould (Meliola nephelii var. singalensis). Postharvest diseases include various fruit rots (Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Gliocephalotrichum sp., Greeneria sp., Pestalotiopsis sp., Phomopsis sp., Dothiorella sp.) (Keith et al,, 2011).

Farungsang et al. (1991) isolated Pestalotiopsis sp., Phomopsis sp., Colletotrichum gloeosporioides and Glomerella sp. as the pathogens causing fruit rots in Thailand. Also Botryodiplodia theobromae, Gliocephalotrichum bulbilium and Colletotrichum sp . reported as the three major causes of postharvest disease in Thailand (Chayasombat and Sangchote, 1983; Visarathanonth and Ilag, 1987). Sivakumar et al. (1997) identified anthracnose (Colletotrichum gloeosporioides), stem-end rot (Botryodiplodia theobromae) and brown spot (Gliocephalotrichum
michrochlamydosporum) as the common postharvest diseases in Malwana special selection 1, Malaysian yellow and Malaysian red cultivars of rambutan.

O'Hare et al. (1994b) reported the incidence of Colletotrichum sp., Dothiorella dominicana, Fusarium sp., Penicillium sp., Pestalotiopsis sp., and Phoma sp. in rambutan fruits stored at $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from Australia. In Malysia, Lam (1982) identified the yeast Candida sp. as the cause of pulp fermentation in rambutan fruits stored at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.7.3 Physiological disorders of rambutan

Physiological disorders like fruit cracking or fruit splitting in thin skinned cultivars like 'Rongrien', poor filling of fruit due to low adaptability of fruit to dry conditions (Lam and Tongumpai, 1987) and premature seed germination inside mature fruit associated with subsequent aril softening and flavour loss (Kosiyachinda and Salma, 1987) etc have been reported in rambutan.

Sudden uptake of water during the last stages of fruit development or heavy rains cause the aril to expand at a faster rate than the rind which in turn results in rind rupture (Lam and Tongumpai, 1987). Khadivi-Khub et al. (2015) reviewed the genetic, morphological, environmental and physiological aspects of fruit splitting. Cracking susceptibility varies with cultivars under the same environmental conditions. Fruit splitting is correlated with fruit traits such as fruit shape, fruit size, fruit firmness, anatomy and strength of the fruit skin, stomata in fruit skin, cuticular properties, osmotic concentration, water capacity of the fruit pulp and growth stages of the fruit. In addition, management practices such as irrigation and manuring and environmental factors such as temperature, wind and light will influence fruit splitting.

## Materials and methads

The study pertaining to 'Variability studies in rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.)' was carried out from December 2014 to April 2016 in order to select the elite/promising types and to conserve the identified promising types to serve as a basis for promotion of cultivation of this exotic fruit in Kerala. The materials used and methodology adopted in this study are presented in this chapter.

### 3.1 Experimental site

The study was conducted in the major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala namely Pathanamthitta, Kottayam and Thrissur and a minor area in the plains of Idukki (Fig. 1). A preliminary survey was conducted in these tracts in consultation with Agricultural Officers of the concerned areas to locate the variable rambutan trees. The methodology adopted was purposive sampling. A total of 100 variable types of rambutan were located from these four districts (Pathanamthitta-40, Kottayam-37, Thrissur-18, Idukki-5). These 100 collections include 13 seedling progenies of rambutan maintained in the orchard of Dept. of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Horticulture, KAU, Vellanikkara, Trichur. Among these collections 86 trees were of seedling origin (natural types) and 14 trees were budded plants. All the collections were of productive and steady bearing nature except Col. 003 and Col. 011 which were male trees.

### 3.1.1 Location

The important rambutan growing areas of Kerala fall in four districts. Thrissur district lies at $10^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $76^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ E longitude and at an altitude of 2.83 $m$ above mean sea level, Kottayam at a latitude of $9^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, longitude of $76^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and at an altitude of 3 m above mean sea level, Idukki at $9^{\circ} 89^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $76^{\circ} 72^{\prime}$ E longitude and 40 m above mean sea level and Pathanamthitta at $9^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $76^{\circ} 78^{\prime}$ E longitude and at an altitude of 31 m above mean sea level.

### 3.1.2 Climate

All the areas enjoy the typical warm humid tropical climate of Kerala. The meteorological data during the period of study are given in Appendix I.


Fig. 1. Major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala

### 3.2 Morphological characterization

Growth characters, leaf characters, inflorescence characters, fruit characters and seed characters were recorded as per standard descriptors prescribed by IPGRI (2003).

### 3.2.1 Growth characters

### 3.2.1.1 Tree age (y)

Approximate age of the tree was noted in consultation with the respective growers and from the basic records maintained at the college.

### 3.2.1.2 Planting material

Information on the origin of each tree were collected to know whether the collection was of seedling origin or a buddling.

### 3.2.1.3 Trunk surface

Smoothness of trunk was observed and classified into three groups namely smooth, rough and very rough.

### 3.2.1.4 Crown shape

Crown shape of all the collections was observed and grouped into four categories namely oblong, broadly pyramidal, semicircular and spherical.


Fig. 2. Crown shape: (1)Oblong, (2)Broadly pyramidal, (3)Semicircular,

### 3.2.1.5 Branching pattern

Branching pattern of trees were noted and classified into three groups namely upright, horizontal and irregular.


Fig. 3. Branching pattern: (1)Upright, (2)Horizontal and (3)Irregular

### 3.2.1.6 Young shoot pubescence

Young shoots of trees were observed to check whether it is pubescent or not.

### 3.2.2 Leaf characters

Quantitative leaf characters were recorded as the average of 20 fully expanded representative leaves, collected when shoots are lignified and for qualitative characteristics the predominant first pair of leaflets from terminal leaflet was used.

### 3.2.2.1 Leaf colour

Leaf colour was evaluated at mature stage on the adaxial side and were grouped into light green, green and dark green.

### 3.2.2.2 Number of leaflets per leaf

Average number of leaflets from 20 fully expanded representative leaves was recorded.

### 3.2.2.3 Rachis length

Length from stem to last leaflet was recorded and expressed in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.2.4 Length of petiole

Length from the rachis to the base of the leaflet was recorded and expressed in millimetre (mm).

### 3.2.2.5 Leaflet length

Average of 20 fully expanded representative leaves were measured from the base to the tip of the leaf blade and expressed in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.2.6 Leaflet width

Average of the same 20 fully expanded leaves were used for the measurement of leaf length and it was measured at the widest point and expressed in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.2.7 Leaflet shape

Shape of the leaf was determined and classified into three groups namely obovate, elliptic and lanceolate.


Fig. 4. Leaflet shape: (1)Obovate, (2)Elliptic and (3)Lanceolate

### 3.2.2.8 Leaflet surface

Surface of leaflet was observed and categorized as either smooth or pubescent.

### 3.2.3 Inflorescence characters

Inflorescence characters were recorded as the average of at least ten panicles from each collections at bloom period.

### 3.2.3.1 Flowering season

Flowering season was recorded as month from start to end of flowering.

### 3.2.3.2 Flower composition

Inflorescences were observed with the help of hand lens and presence of HFF (hermaphrodite flowers functioning as female), HFM (hermaphrodite flowers functioning as male), TM (true male flowers), both HFFand HFM in same panicle and panicle with all type of flowers (HFF, HFM and TM) were observed.


Fig. 5. Flower composition in inflorescence: (1)HFF, (2)HFM and (3)TM

### 3.2.3.3 Position of inflorescence

Position of inflorescence was noted and grouped as terminal, axillary and both terminal and axillary.


Fig. 6. Position of inflorescence: (1) Terminal, (2)Axillary and (3)Both terminal and axillary

### 3.2.3.4 Shape of inflorescence

Shape of inflorescence was observed and classified into three groups namely pyramidal, conical and obtriangular.


Fig. 7. Shape of inflorescence: (1)Pyramidal, (2)Conical and (3)Obtriangular

### 3.2.3.5 Inflorescence colour

Colour of inflorescence was noted and grouped as either light green or green.

### 3.2.4 Fruit characters

Fruits were harvested from the different growing tracts from May, 2015 to September, 2015 coinciding with the ripening stage and were immediately observed for all morphological and biochemical characters in the laboratory of Dept. of Pomology and Floriculture, College of Horticulture, Kerala Agricultural University. All the characters were recorded as average of 20 well ripened fruits.

### 3.2.4.1 Fruiting season

The fruiting season was recorded as month from start to end of harvesting period in case of each collection.

### 3.2.4.2 Percentage fruit set

Fruit set was recorded the percentage of flowers that set fruits and mean of ten bunches was calculated

### 3.2.4.3 Length of fruit bunch

Fruit bunch length was recorded as the length from base of the bunch to tip of the bunch and expressed in centimetre $(\mathrm{cm})$ as mean of length of ten bunches.

### 3.2.4.4 Number of fruits per bunch

The fruit number was recorded as the number of fruits per cluster/inflorescence and mean of 10 clusters was worked out.

### 3.2.4.5 Fruit shape

Shape of the fruit was recorded in each collection and grouped into three categories namely globose, ovoid and oblong.


Fig. 8. Fruit shape: (1)Globose, (2)Ovoid and (3)Oblong

### 3.2.4.6 Fruit length

The length of fruit was measured using Vernier caliper as an average of 20 randomly selected fruits and expressed in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.4.7 Fruit diameter

The diameter of the fruit was measured at the widest point of the fruit using Vernier caliper and expressed in centimetre (cm) as the average of 20 fruits.

### 3.2.4.8 Fruit weight

Twenty mature fruits of variable sizes from each collection were randomly collected to find out the mean weight of fruits. The weight was taken by using a standard electronic balance and expressed in gram (g).

### 3.2.4.9 Rind colour

Rind colour was observed at the time of fruit maturity and described with the help of Universal Colour Language (UCL) defined by the Inter-society Colour Council, National Bureau of Standards in 1946.

### 3.2.4.10 Rind thickness (mm)

Rind thickness was measured using Vernier caliper and expressed in millimetre (mm).

### 3.2.4.11 Rind weight (g)

Weight of the rind was recorded with the help of an electronic balance and expressed in gram (g).

### 3.2.4.12 Spine length (cm)

Spine length of ten well developed spines selected randomly was measured and expressed as mean length in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.4.13 Spine texture

The texture of spine was observed and classified as either soft or stiff.

### 3.2.4.14 Spine density

The spine density was observed as the number occurring in a $2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ area.

### 3.2.4.15 Spine colour

Spine colour was visually identified and classified into different colour groups namely red, red spine with light green tip, yellow, light green spine with pink base and pink spine with light green tip.

### 3.2.4.16 Aril weight (g)

The aril of ten mature fruits randomly collected from each collection were taken to find out the mean weight of aril using an electrical balance and expressed in gram (g).

### 3.2.4.17 Aril colour

Aril colour was visually identified at the fully matured stage and classified into three categories namely white, dull white and creamy white.

### 3.2.4.18 Aril thickness (mm)

Aril thickness was measured using a Vernier caliper and expressed in millimetre (mm).

### 3.2.4.19 Aril texture

Aril texture was described at the fully matured stage into soft, firm and crispy.

### 3.2.4.20 Aril taste

Based on the aril taste the fruits were grouped into different categories such as insipid, acid, acid sweet and sweet.

### 3.2.4.21 Aril juiciness

Aril juiciness was classified as not juicy, juicy and very juicy types.

### 3.2.4.22 Attachment of aril to seed

The adherence of aril to seed was observed and classified into poor, medium, good and very good.

### 3.2.4.23 Aril to fruit ratio

Ratio of aril weight to fruit weight was worked out by weighing each part separately and the same was recorded for all the collections.

### 3.2.4.24 Shelf life

Number of days the fruit remains in good palatable condition with the natural colour being retained $i e$, start of peel browning under ambient condition.

### 3.2.5 Seed characters

### 3.2.5.1 Seed length

Twenty seeds from the mature fruits of each collection were taken to find out the mean length of seed using an electrical balance and expressed in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.5.2 Seed width

Twenty seeds from the mature fruits of each collection were taken and width was measured at the widest point from which the mean width was worked out and expressed in centimetre (cm).

### 3.2.5.3 Seed weight

Twenty seeds from the mature fruits of each collection were taken to find out the mean weight of seed with electrical balance and expressed in gram $(\mathrm{g})$.

### 3.2.5.4 Seed shape

Shape of seed was observed and classified into four groups namely roundish, obovoid, obovoid elongated and oblong.
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Fig. 8 Seed shape: (1)Roundish, (2)Obovoid, (3)Obovoid elongated and

## (4 )Oblong

### 3.2.5.5 Seed coat colour

Seed coat colour was observed and classified into five groups namely offwhite, creamish, dull brown, brown and dark brown.

### 3.2.5.6 Seed to fruit ratio

Ratio of seed weight to fruit weight was recorded by weighing each part separately in all collections.

### 3.2.5.7 Seed to aril ratio

Ratio of seed weight to aril weight was recorded by weighing each part separately in all collections.

### 3.3 Biochemical analysis

### 3.3.1 Total soluble solids (TSS)

TSS of fruit aril was recorded directly using a digital hand refractometer having range $0-32^{\circ}$ brix and expressed in degree brix ( ${ }^{0}$ Brix).

### 3.3.2 Titratable acidity

The titratable acidity was estimated according to AOAC (1998) by titrating a known weight/volume of the sample against 0.1 N NaOH solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator for all the samples. The acidity was calculated and expressed as per cent citric acid.

### 3.3.3 Reducing sugar

The reducing sugar was determined by adopting the method given by Lane and Eynon (Ranganna, 1997). The rambutan aril was crushed in a grinder and filtered through No. 4 Whatman paper. An aliquot of 25 ml filtered juice was then transferred to a 250 ml volumetric flask, mixed with distilled water and neutralized with NaOH . Solution was clarified with neutral lead acetate. The excess lead acetate was removed by adding potassium oxalate and volume was made up to 250 ml . The solution was filtered and an aliquot of the filtrate was titrated against a mixture of Fehling's solution A and B ( 5 ml each) using methylene blue as indicator and the reducing sugar was expressed as percentage using the following formula.
$\qquad$
Titre value x weight of the sample

### 3.3.4 Total sugar

The total sugar was estimated as per the procedure described by Ranganna (1997). 50 ml of the clarified solution (filtrate of reducing sugars) was boiled gently after adding citric acid and water. It was neutralized using NaOH and the volume made up to 250 ml . This made up solution was titrated against a mixture of Fehling's solution A and B. The total sugar was calculated as given below.

Total sugars $(\%)=\frac{\text { Titre value } \times 0.1 \times \text { Volume made up } \times 0.064 \times 100}{\text { Volume of the sample } \times \text { Weight of the sample }}$

### 3.3.5 Non-reducing sugar

Percentage non reducing sugar was estimated by deducting reducing sugars from total sugars (\% total sugars - \% reducing sugars).

### 3.3.6 Total carotenoids

For estimating the total carotenoids $(\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g})$ acetone and petroleum ether were used as extracting solvents and absorbance was read at 452 nm (Ranganna, 1997). For this a known weight of fruit juice sample was taken in a separating funnel. Then $10-15 \mathrm{ml}$ of petroleum ether and water containing 5 per cent anhydrous sodium sulphate were added. Extraction of acetone phase was repeated with small volume of petroleum ether until no more colour was extracted. A small amount of anhydrous sodium sulphate was added to absorb the excess water and volume was made up with elutent ( $3 \%$ acetone in petroleum ether). The colour was measured at 452 nm with the elutent as blank using a spectrophotometer. Total carotenoids content was calculated as given below and expressed in $\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ of material.
3.857 x optical density x volume made up

Total carotenoids $(\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g})=$ $\qquad$
Weight of the sample

### 3.3.7 Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)

The estimation of ascorbic acid was carried out by the standard procedure described by AOAC (1998). A known weight of fruit sample was titrated with 2, 6-dichlorophenol indophenol dye, using metaphosphoric acid as stabilizing agent.

A known weight of juice of each collection was taken in 100 ml volumetric flask, followed by adding 3 per cent metaphosphoric acid to make up the volume. From this, 10 ml of aliquot was titrated against 2, 6-dichlorophenol indophenol dye. The dye factor was calculated by titrating standard ascorbic acid solution against the dye and ascorbic acid content of sample was calculated using the following formula.

Titre value x dye factor x volume made up x 100
Ascorbic acid $(\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g})=$
Weight of sample $x$ aliquot of sample

### 3.4 Sensory evaluation

Score card including the quality attributes like appearance, colour, flavour, taste, texture, juiciness, adherence of aril to seed and overall acceptability was prepared for sensory evaluation of the fruits. Each quality attribute was scored by using a 9 point hedonic scale which ranged from dislike extremely (1) to like extremely (9) as suggested by Amerine et al. (1965). The score card used is attached as Appendix II.

A series of sensory evaluation was carried out using the 9 point hedonic scale rating for each attribute at laboratory level by a panel of ten judges between the age group of 18-40 years as suggested by Jellinek (1985). A score of 5.5 and above was considered as acceptable. Total score was also calculated separately using the average of each attribute. Hedonic ratings were then converted to rank scores and rank analysis was done by Kendall's coefficient of concordance.

### 3.5 Major pests and diseases incidence

Observations on pests, diseases and physiological disorders of all the collections were taken from December, 2014 to October, 2015. Pests were reared in the laboratory for identification of their taxonomic position. The percentage pest/disease incidence were found using the formula given by Berger (1980) as under:

Number of disease/pest infected tress x 100
Per cent disease/pest incidence
Total no. of trees observed

### 3.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using the tools such as correlation studies, path coefficient analysis, cluster analysis and principal component analysis.

### 3.6.1 Correlation studies

The association among qualitative characters was studied by using Spearman coefficient (non-parametric) whereas that of quantitative variables was explained by using Pearson coefficient (parametric) which provided the information on the nature and relationship among the various traits.

### 3.6.2 Path coefficient analysis

In path coefficient analysis the correlation between a particular cause and effect is partitioned into direct and indirect effects of the various causal factors on the effect factor. The principle and techniques suggested by Wright (1921) and Li (1955) were used for the analysis using the formula given by Dewey and Lu (1959).

| Scale | Effect |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.00-0.09$ | Negligible |
| $0.10-0.1$ | Low |
| $0.20-0.29$ | Moderate |
| $0.30-1.00$ | High |
| More than 1.00 | Very high |

### 3.6.3 Cluster analysis using dendrogram

The qualitative variables related to both the tree and fruit were compared with Jaccard's similarity coefficients and was clustered by the Unweighted Pair Group Average Method (UPGAM) devised by Sneath and Sokal (1973) using NTsys pe 2.02 software. Similarity matrix was computed and the dendrogram was constructed accordingly using the above.

### 3.6.4 Clustering using principal components

All the 100 collections of rambutan had diverse characters with relatively lesser quantum of similarity. Had all the 100 collections been taken for comparative evaluation, the dimensionality of the problem would have drastically narrowed down the major findings. Hence it was decided to reduce the dimensionality for better analysis and interpretation of the results by grouping the collections in to three major categories namely a) elite selections b) selections for export types and c) selections of promising types for processing/industrial use based on a criteria developed through the measurable and most desirable characteristics as described below.

Clustering was carried out for each category of collections using principal components based on score plot by using the software Minitab 17 .

### 3.6.4.1 Selection for elite types

All the collections were scored based on the most desirable characteristics namely fruit weight, aril taste, aril texture, aril juiciness, attachment of aril to seed, TSS, aril to fruit ratio and seed to fruit ratio. The maximum of point tally that a collection could achieve as regard to this criteria was thus 21 . In relation to this, the scores of individual collections were judged and those collection which ranked within the highest $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ group were carried forward for further study. The rest of the $2 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ collections possessing scores in the range 4-13were filtered in a step by step manner according to the frequency of the collections such that a representative
sample of two collections each were selected from a particular score using the most relevant characters namely TSS and adherence of aril to seed. Thus the two collections each possessing highest score in case of TSS and aril attachment were also taken for further study. In the event of tie having occurred in the above said traits, the next important criteria (aril to fruit ratio) was taken as the rationale.

The threshold values fixed for each attribute and the scores given are presented as Appendix III.

### 3.6.4.2 Selection for export trade

With respect to export trade selections, all the collections were scored based on the the quality specifications of export rambutan viz., weight above 30 g , poor adherence of aril to seed, and a minimum total soluble solid content of 16 to $18^{\circ}$ Brix (Landrigan et al., 1996; Kader, 2001). Those collections which satisfied all the export specifications were further carried forward in the study. Seven collections which satisfied all the criteria except free seed aril which fell in the category of medium were also taken for further analysis. An exception to the thump rule was made in Col. 052 which was distinctly superior with respect to fruit weight and poor adherence but only had a TSS of $15.5^{\circ}$ Brix against the base value of $16^{\circ}$ Brix.

The threshold values fixed for each attribute and the scores given for each collection are presented in Appendix III.

### 3.6.4.3 Selection for industrial use

Rambutan collections holding promise for industrial use were filtered by assigning scores based on the processing attributes namely aril weight, juiciness, adherence to seed, TSS, titrable acidity and total sugar content. The maximum of point tally a collection could thus achieve as regard to this criteria was 16 . In relation to this, the scores of individual collections were judged and those collections which had a score 10 or above were carried forward for further study. The rest of the collections possessing scores below 10 were filtered in a step by step
manner according to the frequency of the collections such that a representative sample of two collections were selected from a particular score using the most relevant characters namely aril weight and TSS as juicy types had already found its place in the first category. Thus two collections each possessing highest score in aril weight and TSS were also carried further in the study.

The threshold values fixed for each attribute and the scores given for each collection are presented in Appendix III.

Results

## 4. RESULTS

The results pertaining to "Variability studies in rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) " are presented in this chapter based on hundred variable types of rambutan collected from the major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala and presented under the broad subheadings of morphological characterization, biochemical analysis, sensory evaluation and pests and diseases incidence separately as follows.

### 4.1 Morphological characterization

The various observations on morphological characters viz., tree characters, inflorescence characters and fruit characters recorded as per the standard crop descriptor suggested by IPGRI (2003) were analysed and the results are presented in Tables 1 to 4. The distribution of all the qualitative characters were expressed as percentage frequency and presented in Tables

### 4.1.1 Tree characters

The data showing tree characters are given in Tables 1 and 2.

### 4.1.1.1 Tree age

The study included 100 rambutan trees which had reached steady bearing belonging to various age groups. Among these 71 trees came under the age group of 3-10 years, 22 trees in the age group of 11-20 years, 4 trees in the age group of 21-30 and 3 trees fell in the age group of above 30 years.

### 4.1.1.2 Planting material

The rambutan collections involved 86 trees of seedling origin and 14 budded plants.

### 4.1.1.3 Trunk surface

All the collections studied were having rough trunk surface.
Table 1a. Qualitative tree and inflorescence characters of rambutan collections (001 to 017) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Planting material | Trunk surface | Crown shape | Branching pattern | Young shoot pubescence | Flowering season (month) | Flower composition | Position of inflorescence | Inflorescence shape | Inflorescence colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 001 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 002 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 003 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | TM | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 004 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFM\&HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 005 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 006 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 007 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFFC | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 008 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 009 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 010 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 011 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | TM | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 012 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 013 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 014 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 015 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 016 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 017 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |

Table 1b. Qualitative tree and inflorescence characters of rambutan collections ( 018 to 034) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Planting material | Trunk surface | Crown shape | Branching pattern | Young shoot pubescence | Flowering season (month) | Flower composition | Position of inflorescence | Inflorescence shape | Inflorescence colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 018 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Jan-mid of March | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 019 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 020 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 021 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 022 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 023 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 024 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 025 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 026 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 027 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 028 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 029 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 030 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 031 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 032 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 033 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 034 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |


| Collections | Planting material | Trunk surface | Crown shape | Branching pattern | Young shoot pubescence | Flowering season (month) | Flower composition | Position of inflorescence | Inflorescence shape | Inflorescence colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 035 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 036 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 037 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 038 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 039 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 040 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 041 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 042 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 043 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Рyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 044 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 045 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 046 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 047 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 048 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 049 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 050 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 051 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |


| Collections | Planting material | Trunk surface | Crown shape | Branching pattern | Young shoot pubescence | Flowering season (month) | Flower composition | Position of inflorescence | Inflorescence shape | Inflorescence colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 052 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 053 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 054 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 055 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 056 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 057 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 058 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 059 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 060 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Febend of March | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 061 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 062 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 063 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 064 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 065 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 066 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 067 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 068 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |

m

| Collections | Planting material | Trunk surface | Crown shape | Branching pattern | Young shoot pubescence | Flowering season (month) | Flower composition | Position of inflorescence | Inflorescence shape | Inflorescence colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 069 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 070 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 071 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 072 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 073 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 074 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 075 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 076 | Buddling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 077 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 078 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 079 | Seedling | Rough | Spherical | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 080 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 081 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 082 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 083 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 084 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 085 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |

Table 1f. Qualitative tree and inflorescence characters of rambutan collections (086 to 100 ) as

## per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Planting material | Trunk surface | Crown shape | Branching pattern | Young shoot pubescence | Flowering season (month) | Flower composition | Position of inflorescence | Inflorescence shape | Inflorescence colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 086 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 087 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 088 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 089 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 090 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 091 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Obtriangular | Light green |
| Col. 092 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 093 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 094 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 095 | Seedling | Rough | Semicircle | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 096 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 097 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 098 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |
| Col. 099 | Seedling | Rough | Pyramidal | Irregular | Absent | mid of Marchend of April | HFF | Terminal | Conical | Light green |
| Col. 100 | Seedling | Rough | Oblong | Irregular | Absent | end of Aprilend of May | HFF | Terminal | Pyramidal | Light green |

### 4.1.1.4 Crown shape

Crown shapes like pyramidal, oblong, semi-circle and spherical were observed among the collections. Among the collections $36 \%$ fell under the category oblong, $28 \%$ in pyramidal, $25 \%$ in spherical and $11 \%$ of the collections had the crown shape semi-circle. All the budded plants assumed spherical shape.

### 4.1.1.5 Branching pattern

All the collections studied had an irregular branching pattern.

### 4.1.1.6 Young shoot pubescence

All the collections were devoid of young shoot pubescence.

### 4.1.1.7 Leaf colour

Leaf colour of the collections varied from green to dark green. Almost all the collections were having green coloured leaves ( $90 \%$ ) followed by a minor group with dark green leaves ( $10 \%$ ).

### 4.1.1.8 Number of leaflets per leaf

Average number of leaflets per leaf ranged from 4.63 to 6.96. The maximum number of leaflets was observed in Col. 032 (6.96) and minimum in Col. 013 (4.63).

### 4.1.1.9 Rachis length

Rachis length of the collections ranged from 11.06 cm to 24.75 cm . The highest rachis length of 24.75 cm was recorded in Col. 033 and the lowest value of 11.06 cm in Col. 027 .

### 4.1.1.10 Length of petiole

Petiole length of the collections ranged from 5.06 mm to 7.8 mm . The lowest petiole length of 5.06 mm was noted in Col. 016 and highest value of 7.8 mm in Col. 026 .




Plate 2. Crown shapes in rambutan:c) Semi-circular b) Oblong


Plate 3. Irregular branching pattern in rambutan

### 4.1.1.11 Leaflet length

Leaflet length of collections ranged from 9.09 cm to 16.34 cm . Leaflet length was maximum in Col. $004(16.34 \mathrm{~cm})$ and minimum in Col. 048 ( 9.09 cm ).

### 4.1.1.12 Leaflet width

Leaflet width of the collections ranged from 5.06 cm to 8.93 cm . The highest leaflet width was recorded in Col. $069(8.93 \mathrm{~cm})$ and the lowest value of 5.06 cm in Col. 074 .

### 4.1.1.13 Leaflet shape

All the collections had an elliptic leaflet shape.

### 4.1.1.14 Leaflet surface

Leaflet surface was found to be smooth in all the collections.

### 4.1.2 Inflorescence characters

Inflorescence characters of all the collections are furnished in Table la to 1 f.

### 4.1.2.1 Flowering season

Flowering continued for about 35-45 days. Only one flowering season was observed in Kerala. Flowering was earliest in Thrissur (end of January to mid of March) followed by Kottayam and Idukki (end of February to end of March) and Pathanamthitta (mid of March to end of April). Within Pathanamthitta two distinct flowering periods were observed one typical of the plain (end of March to end of April) and of the hilly reach (end of April to end of May) (Table).

### 4.1.2.2 Flower composition

Inflorescence of most of the collections (97\%) consisted of only hermaphrodite flowers functioning as female (HFF). Col. 004 produced inflorescence with both HFF and HFM (Hermaphrodite flower functioning as male) and Col. 003 and Col. 011 were male trees with only true male flowers (TM).


Plate 4. Variability in leaf characters of rambutan




Plate 7. Inflorescence bearing only true male flowers (TM)

Table 2a. Quantitative tree characters of rambutan collections (001 to 043) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Age | No.of leaflets per leaf | Rachis <br> length (cm) | Length of petiole (mm) | Leaflet length(cm) | Leaflet width (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 001 | 10 | 4.92 | 16.42 | 7.06 | 10.07 | 8.59 |
| Col. 002 | 10 | 6.04 | 11.86 | 7.24 | 14.35 | 7.05 |
| Col. 003 | 10 | 6.4 | 24.57 | 5.94 | 15.05 | 8.64 |
| Col. 004 | 10 | 6.08 | 19.75 | 6.24 | 16.34 | 7.57 |
| Col. 005 | 10 | 6.28 | 12.65 | 7.65 | 15.45 | 7.44 |
| Col. 006 | 10 | 5.48 | 13.95 | 7.23 | 10.76 | 5.96 |
| Col. 007 | 10 | 6.28 | 13.36 | 6.54 | 15.09 | 6.42 |
| Col. 008 | 10 | 5.92 | 11.24 | 6.92 | 11.13 | 8.25 |
| Col. 009 | 10 | 6.65 | 11.49 | 6.85 | 16.08 | 6.67 |
| Col. 010 | 10 | 5.72 | 11.86 | 5.51 | 13.65 | 6.53 |
| Col. 011 | 10 | 6.17 | 12.35 | 5.96 | 14.09 | 5.12 |
| Col. 012 | 10 | 5.43 | 15.09 | 5.74 | 12.21 | 6.8 |
| Col. 013 | 25 | 4.63 | 11.49 | 5.43 | 16.05 | 7.9 |
| Col. 014 | 7 | 6.85 | 18.27 | 5.47 | 14.5 | 7.67 |
| Col. 015 | 40 | 6.4 | 16.86 | 5.89 | 16.34 | 5.95 |
| Col. 016 | 8 | 5.48 | 23.56 | 5.06 | 15.45 | 7.25 |
| Col. 017 | 4 | 5.43 | 19.35 | 5.9 | 10.76 | 5.94 |
| Col. 018 | 8 | 4.93 | 22.05 | 5.92 | 15.09 | 6.74 |
| Col. 019 | 11 | 5.92 | 17.23 | 5.08 | 11.13 | 5.44 |
| Col. 020 | 8 | 5.65 | 23.06 | 5.58 | 10.87 | 5.64 |
| Col. 021 | 4 | 5.04 | 11.24 | 5.92 | 9.87 | 7.06 |
| Col. 022 | 4 | 4.63 | 23.69 | 7.12 | 14.65 | 6.25 |
| Col. 023 | 4 | 6.28 | 11.38 | 7.24 | 10.16 | 5.35 |
| Col. 024 | 4 | 4.75 | 16.72 | 6.43 | 12.35 | 7.64 |
| Col. 025 | 5 | 6.17 | 20.32 | 5.84 | 13.65 | 5.34 |
| Col. 026 | 15 | 5.92 | 21.47 | 7.8 | 14.22 | 6.28 |
| Col. 027 | 5 | 4.76 | 11.06 | 7.19 | 11.07 | 8.24 |
| Col. 028 | 3 | 5.38 | 19.85 | 5.13 | 14.05 | 7.63 |
| Col. 029 | 45 | 6.28 | 16.09 | 6.91 | 16.13 | 5.12 |
| Col. 030 | 10 | 6.08 | 22.49 | 6.19 | 10.84 | 5.08 |
| Col. 031 | 27 | 5.48 | 11.92 | 6.63 | 10.75 | 6.09 |
| Col. 032 | 7 | 6.96 | 17.56 | 7.72 | 10.06 | 6.39 |
| Col. 033 | 8 | 5.34 | 24.75 | 6.69 | 9.89 | 7.89 |
| Col. 034 | 5 | 5.86 | 18.07 | 5.43 | 12.13 | 7.25 |
| Col. 035 | 40 | 5.09 | 14.35 | 5.17 | 13.88 | 8.04 |
| Col. 036 | 5 | 6.08 | 15.97 | 7.08 | 13.78 | 5.57 |
| Col. 037 | 15 | 6.4 | 16.78 | 5.87 | 10.76 | 6.49 |
| Col. 038 | 10 | 4.75 | 18.35 | 5.94 | 10.16 | 5.86 |
| Col. 039 | 6 | 4.67 | 12.69 | 5.19 | 13.85 | 6.72 |
| Col. 040 | 10 | 6.17 | 17.69 | 7.02 | 13.65 | 7.22 |
| Col. 041 | 12 | 5.08 | 19.23 | 5.64 | 16.09 | 5.27 |
| Col. 042 | 30 | 6.28 | 20.36 | 7.74 | 10.26 | 6.78 |
| Col. 043 | 8 | 4.63 | 21.47 | 6.04 | 13.95 | 6.46 |




Obtriangular


Pyramidal

Table 2b. Quantitative tree characters of rambutan collections (044 to 086) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Age | No.of leaflets per leaf | Rachis <br> length (cm) | Length of petiole (mm) | Leaflet length(cm) | Leaflet width (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 044 | 15 | 5.75 | 22.89 | 5.74 | 10.05 | 5.72 |
| Col. 045 | 14 | 5.27 | 22.43 | 5.96 | 10.34 | 5.34 |
| Col. 046 | 6 | 5.86 | 15.79 | 5.99 | 14.76 | 7.21 |
| Col. 047 | 6 | 6.17 | 11.49 | 7.31 | 10.06 | 5.92 |
| Col. 048 | 15 | 4.92 | 16.42 | 7.26 | 9.09 | 7.08 |
| Col. 049 | 5 | 5.66 | 19.87 | 5.24 | 12.34 | 5.09 |
| Col. 050 | 10 | 6.36 | 22.56 | 6.2 | 13.58 | 5.63 |
| Col. 051 | 8 | 6.27 | 16.55 | 6.38 | 10.68 | 8.14 |
| Col. 052 | 5 | 5.08 | 18.35 | 5.99 | 11.67 | 5.95 |
| Col. 053 | 5 | 6.58 | 17.75 | 6.33 | 15.76 | 8.34 |
| Col. 054 | 6 | 4.86 | 13.76 | 7.1 | 10.52 | 5.97 |
| Col. 055 | 8 | 6.74 | 19.24 | 6.87 | 13.65 | 7.96 |
| Col. 056 | 10 | 5.86 | 11.49 | 5.58 | 16.09 | 6.96 |
| Col. 057 | 8 | 5.29 | 11.97 | 6.86 | 10.07 | 5.72 |
| Col. 058 | 7 | 5.58 | 18.32 | 5.64 | 14.95 | 8.35 |
| Col. 059 | 10 | 6.46 | 20.91 | 5.93 | 15.25 | 7.67 |
| Col. 060 | 10 | 6.76 | 19.04 | 5.86 | 10.09 | 5.98 |
| Col. 061 | 10 | 5.68 | 11.06 | 5.72 | 14.23 | 7.49 |
| Col. 062 | 8 | 6.37 | 14.93 | 5.41 | 10.96 | 6.12 |
| Col. 063 | 7 | 5.08 | 17.59 | 5.98 | 15.29 | 6.94 |
| Col. 064 | 6 | 6.73 | 21.09 | 5.21 | 12.16 | 5.93 |
| Col. 065 | 6 | 4.73 | 22.82 | 5.67 | 16.08 | 6.72 |
| Col. 066 | 6 | 5.48 | 13.86 | 5.88 | 15.98 | 5.83 |
| Col. 067 | 12 | 4.96 | 16.57 | 7.67 | 10.06 | 7.29 |
| Col. 068 | 15 | 6.35 | 16.46 | 6.11 | 15.76 | 6.97 |
| Col. 069 | 15 | 5.86 | 19.6 | 5.64 | 14.5 | 8.93 |
| Col. 070 | 15 | 6.09 | 13.65 | 5.88 | 13.05 | 6.05 |
| Col. 071 | 15 | 6.38 | 16.76 | 5.43 | 14.79 | 5.27 |
| Col. 072 | 15 | 6.4 | 13.24 | 6.29 | 10.35 | 8.67 |
| Col. 073 | 15 | 5.75 | 13.59 | 7.65 | 13.79 | 6.44 |
| Col. 074 | 15 | 5.47 | 20.07 | 5.59 | 10.75 | 5.06 |
| Col. 075 | 15 | 5.27 | 20.02 | 6.28 | 16.04 | 6.32 |
| Col. 076 | 5 | 6.18 | 17.63 | 6.71 | 15.95 | 7.76 |
| Col. 077 | 10 | 4.92 | 19.45 | 7.16 | 11.56 | 5.27 |
| Col. 078 | 8 | 5.86 | 17.86 | 6.29 | 16.09 | 7.68 |
| Col. 079 | 15 | 6.78 | 12.05 | 6.75 | 10.13 | 6.45 |
| Col. 080 | 15 | 6.48 | 15.79 | 6.69 | 15.68 | 6.72 |
| Col. 081 | 20 | 6.08 | 21.09 | 6.73 | 12.38 | 5.94 |
| Col. 082 | 7 | 6.48 | 15.73 | 7.15 | 13.16 | 6.73 |
| Col. 083 | 6 | 4.96 | 13.89 | 7.33 | 14.36 | 5.82 |
| Col. 084 | 8 | 6.46 | 24.05 | 5.42 | 10.75 | 6.08 |
| Col. 085 | 8 | 5.86 | 23.55 | 7.05 | 14.35 | 7.49 |
| Col. 086 | 10 | 4.92 | 13.79 | 5.86 | 12.09 | 5.97 |

## per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Age | No.of <br> leaflets <br> per leaf | Rachis <br> length (cm) | Length of <br> petiole (mm) | Leaflet <br> length(cm) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Col.087 | 7 | 6.28 | 16.05 | 5.57 | 10.77 | Leaflet width <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ |
| Col.088 | 6 | 5.08 | 19.06 | 5.95 | 13.25 | 6.89 |
| Col.089 | 7 | 5.28 | 19.46 | 5.45 | 15.56 | 7.55 |
| Col.090 | 7 | 5.38 | 21.49 | 6.27 | 10.38 | 5.64 |
| Col.091 | 7 | 6.08 | 20.07 | 5.78 | 10.75 | 6.34 |
| Col.092 | 7 | 5.92 | 12.92 | 5.95 | 12.36 | 8.64 |
| Col.093 | 10 | 5.65 | 19.39 | 5.85 | 16.09 | 6.92 |
| Col.094 | 10 | 6.34 | 21.43 | 7.18 | 12.43 | 5.92 |
| Col.095 | 25 | 6.65 | 15.36 | 5.83 | 12.57 | 5.21 |
| Col.096 | 15 | 6.18 | 22.35 | 6.75 | 11.45 | 5.68 |
| Col.097 | 15 | 4.92 | 21.09 | 6.75 | 15.68 | 7.09 |
| Col.098 | 8 | 6.08 | 15.79 | 5.42 | 13.25 | 6.89 |
| Col.099 | 15 | 4.96 | 22.89 | 7.33 | 12.38 | 5.94 |
| Col.100 | 8 | 5.08 | 22.36 | 5.95 | 10.13 | 5.82 |

### 4.1.2.3 Position of inflorescence

Inflorescences were born at the shoot tips (terminal) in all the collections.

### 4.1.2.4 Shape of inflorescence

Three types of inflorescence were found in rambutan during the study namely pyramidal, conical and obtriangular. Majority of the collections (73\%) were with pyramidal type inflorescence followed by conical (14\%) and obtriangular type (13\%).

### 4.1.2.5 Inflorescence colour

All the collections produced light green coloured inflorescences.

### 4.1.3 Fruit characters

The data pertaining to fruit characters of 98 rambutan collections are listed in Table 3 and 4. Distribution of qualitative traits related to fruit among the collections were also found out and presented in Table as percentage frequency.

### 4.1.3.1 Fruiting season

Fruiting and harvesting were a reflection of the flowering period. Flowering to fruit maturity took about 90-120 days. Plants came to harvest in mid of May to end of June in Trichur, in June to mid of July in Kottayam and Idukki, in end of July- mid of August in plain of Pathanamthitta and in August - September in hills of Pathanamthitta.

### 4.1.3.2 Percentage fruit set

Percentage fruit set ranged from $12 \%$ to $35 \%$ with the highest fruit set being recorded in Col. 099 (35\%) and the lowest of $12 \%$ in Col. 033 , Col. 071 and Col. 074 respectively.

### 4.1.3.3 Length of fruit bunch

Length of fruit bunch varied from 20 cm to 48 cm with the maximum fruit bunch length in Col. 059 ( 48 cm ) and minimum length in Col. 066 ( 20 cm ).


Plate 10. Rambutan trees in harvesting stage - field view

### 4.1.3.4 Number of fruits per bunch

The number of fruits per bunch ranged from 12 to 38 . The highest number of fruits per bunch was recorded in Col. 004 and lowest in Col. 091 .

### 4.1.3.5 Fruit shape

The collections were grouped in to three categories namely oblong, globose and ovoid on the basis of fruit shape. Among the 98 collections, $57.14 \%$ of the collections came under the shape oblong, $29.59 \%$ with the shape globose and 13.27 \% with the shape ovoid.

### 4.1.3.6 Fruit length

Fruit length varied between 3.5 cm and 7.5 cm with the highest value in Col. 052 and the lowest value in Col. 012 .

### 4.1.3.7 Fruit diameter

Fruit diameter ranged from 2 cm to 4.2 cm . The highest fruit diameter was observed in Col. 047 and the lowest in Col. 041

### 4.1.3.8 Fruit weight

Among the collections, the highest fruit weight of 61.5 g was recorded in Col. 052 and the lowest fruit weight of 12 g in Col. 012 and Col. 013 respectively.

### 4.1.3.9 Rind colour

Rind colour of the collections fell under 8 groups namely light greenish yellow, pale yellow, strong yellowish pink, strong reddish orange, strong red, vivid red, dark red and deep purplish red. Among the collections, $31.63 \%$ of the collections exhibited strong reddish orange colour followed by strong red ( $17.35 \%$ ), strong yellowish pink ( $14.29 \%$ ), vivid red ( $12.24 \%$ ), pale yellow (11.22\%), light greenish yellow (5.1\%), deep purplish red (5.1\%) and dark red (3.06\%).
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Plate 12. Fruit shapes in rambutan


Plate 13. Rind colour of rambutan collections

Table 3a. Qualitative fruit characters of rambutan collections (001 to 020) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Fruit shape | Rind colour | Spine texture | Spine colour | Aril colour | Aril taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | Seed shape | Seed coat colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 001 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 002 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 004 | Ovoid | SRO(40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 005 | Oblong | SRO(40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 006 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 007 | Oblong | SR(45D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 008 | Ovoid | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 009 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 010 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Irregular | Creamish |
| Col. 012 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 013 | Oblong | LGY (3D) | Stiff | Light green | White | Acid | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 014 | Ovoid | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid sweet | Firm | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 015 | Globose | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 016 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Firm | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 017 | Globose | PY (12D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 018 | Oblong | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPR } \\ & (61 \mathrm{~A}) \end{aligned}$ | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Good | obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 019 | Oblong | SRO(40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 020 | Oblong | SRO(40C) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Acid sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |

Table 3b. Qualitative fruit characters of rambutan collections (021 to 039) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Fruit shape | Rind colour | Spine texture | Spine colour | Aril colour | Aril taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | Seed shape | Seed coat colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 021 | Ovoid | SRO(40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 022 | Ovoid | SRO(40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 023 | Globose | PY (12D) | Soft | Light green with pink base | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 024 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 025 | Globose | PY (12D) | Soft | Yellow | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 026 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 027 | Ovoid | PY (12D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 028 | Globose | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Very good | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 029 | Oblong | PY (12D) | Soft | Light green | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 030 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 031 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 032 | Ovoid | SR (45D) | Soft | Red | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 033 | Globose | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| CoL. 034 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 035 | Oblong | PY (12D) | Soft | Light green | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 036 | Globose | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 037 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Medium | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 038 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid | Firm | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 039 | Ovoid | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |

Table 3c. Qualitative fruit characters of rambutan collections (040 to 057) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Fruit shape | Rind colour | Spine texture | Spine colour | Aril colour | Aril taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | Seed shape | Seed coat colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 040 | Globose | PY (12D) | Soft | Light green with pink base | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 041 | Globose | VR (46B) | Soft | Red | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 042 | Globose | PY (12D) | Soft | Light green with pink base | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 043 | Ovoid | PY (12D) | Soft | Light green | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 044 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 045 | Oblong | LGY (3D) | Soft | Yellow | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 046 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Medium | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 047 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 048 | Oblong | LGY (3D) | Soft | Pink with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Medium | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 049 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 050 | Ovoid | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 051 | Globose | LGY (3D) | Stiff | Light green with pink base | White | Sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 052 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 053 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 054 | Oblong | DR (59A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 055 | Globose | DR (59A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 056 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 057 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |

Table 3d. Qualitative fruit characters of rambutan collections ( 058 to 075 ) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Fruit shape | Rind colour | Spine texture | Spine colour | Aril colour | Aril taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | Seed shape | Seed coat colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 058 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 059 | Oblong | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPR } \\ & (6 \mid A) \end{aligned}$ | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 060 | Globose | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPR } \\ & (61 A) \end{aligned}$ | Soft | Red | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 061 | Oblong | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPR } \\ & (61 A) \end{aligned}$ | Soft | Red | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 062 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 063 | Ovoid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPR } \\ & (61 A) \end{aligned}$ | Soft | Red | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 064 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Firm | Very juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 065 | Globose | VR (46B) | Soft | Red | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Medium | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 066 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 067 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 068 | Globose | PY (12D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 069 | Globose | SR (45D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 070 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Insipid | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 071 | Globose | SR (45D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 072 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 073 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Poor | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 074 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 075 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Poor | Obovoid | Creamish |

50
Table 3e. Qualitative fruit characters of rambutan collections (076 to 093) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | Fruit shape | Rind colour | Spine texture | Spine colour | Aril colour | Aril taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | Seed shape | Seed coat colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 076 | Ovoid | PY (12D) | Stiff | Yellow | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 077 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 078 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 079 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 080 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Creamy white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 081 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 082 | Ovoid | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid | Soft | Not juicy | Medium | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |
| Col. 083 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 084 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Soft | Very juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 085 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 086 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 087 | Globose | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Good | Irregular | Creamish |
| Col. 088 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 089 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid sweet | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 090 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |
| Col. 091 | Oblong | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 092 | Globose | DR (59A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Acid sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 093 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid elongated | Creamish |

Table 3f. Oualitative fruit characters of rambutan collections (094 to 100) as ner IPGRI crov descrintor

| Collections | Fruit shape | Rind colour | Spine texture | Spine colour | Aril colour | Aril taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | Seed shape | Seed coat colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 094 | Globose | LGY (3D) | Soft | Yellow | Dull white | Insipid | Crispy | Juicy | Medium | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 095 | Globose | SYP (37A) | Soft | Red with light green tip | White | Acid | Soft | Not juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 096 | Oblong | SR (45D) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Medium | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 097 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Stiff | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Firm | Not juicy | Very good | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 098 | Oblong | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Obovoid | Creamish |
| Col. 099 | Globose | SRO (40C) | Soft | Red | White | Sweet | Soft | Juicy | Very good | Roundish | Creamish |
| Col. 100 | Oblong | VR (46B) | Soft | Red with light green tip | Dull white | Sweet | Crispy | Not juicy | Very good | Oblong | Creamish |

### 4.1.3.10 Rind thickness

Rind thickness varied between 2 mm and 7 mm with the highest value in Col. 037 and lowest value in Col.046.

### 4.1.3.11 Rind weight

Rind weight ranged from 4.87 g to 33.75 g . Col. 052 showed maximum rind weight while Col. 012 showed the minimum value.

### 4.1.3.12 Spine length

Spine length varied between 6 mm and 22 mm . The maximum spine length was noticed in Col. 034 and minimum in Col. 006 .

### 4.1.3.13 Spine texture

Most of the collections ( $82.65 \%$ ) possessed soft textured spines whereas $17.35 \%$ of the collections exhibited stiff spines.

### 4.1.3.14 Spine density

The density of spine in $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ area varied between 12 and 45 with the highest density in Col. 030 and the lowest in Col. 052 .

### 4.1.3.15 Spine colour

Spine colour varied widely among the collections. The predominant spine colour observed was red with light green tip (79.59\%) followed by red (7.14\%), yellow ( $4.08 \%$ ), light green (4.08), light green with pink base (4.08\%) and pink with light green tip (1.02\%).

### 4.1.3.16 Aril weight

Aril weight varied from 2.2 g to 21 g . Among the collections, highest aril weight was found in Col. 052 while Col. 008 recorded the lowest aril weight.
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### 4.1.3.17 Aril colour

Three types of aril colour were found among the collections namely white, creamy white and dull white. Dull white aril was observed in $57.14 \%$ of the collections followed by white ( $28.57 \%$ ) and creamy white ( $14.29 \%$ ).

### 4.1.3.18 Aril thickness

Aril thickness varied from 3 mm to 10 mm . Col. 061 , Col. 072 and Col. 096 had the maximum aril thickness while Col. 008 showed the lowest aril thickness.

### 4.1.3.19 Aril texture

Regarding the aril texture, $55.1 \%$ of the collections had soft aril, $39.8 \%$ of the collections had crispy aril and $5.1 \%$ of the collections were with firm texture.

### 4.1.3.20 Aril taste

The collections varied in aril taste from insipid to sweet. Among the collections, $59.18 \%$ produced fruits which were sweet types, $32.65 \%$ acid sweet types, $6.12 \%$ were of acidic aril and $2.04 \%$ with insipid taste.

### 4.1.3.21 Aril juiciness

With regard to aril juiciness, $8.16 \%$ of the collections produced fruits which could be characterized as very juicy types, $47.99 \%$ were juicy type and $43.88 \%$ were non-juicy type.

### 4.1.3.22 Attachment of aril to seed

Free seed aril was observed only in $19.39 \%$ of the collections (poor attachment), while the attachment was medium in $16.33 \%$ of the collections. Adherence of aril to seed was very good (very strong) in $61.22 \%$ of the collections and good in $3.06 \%$ of the collections.

### 4.1.3.23 Seed length

Seed length ranged from 2 cm to 4 cm . The maximum seed length recorded was in Col. 027 and the lowest in Col. 015 .
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### 4.1.3.24 Seed width

Seed width varied from 1 cm to 2.2 cm with the highest value of 2.2 cm being observed in five collections (Col.008, Col.023, Col.081, Col. 094 and Col.098) and the lowest value in Col.016.

### 4.1.3.25 Seed weight

The maximum seed weight of 4.3 g was recorded in Col. 023 whereas the minimum seed weight of 1.45 g was observed in Col.072.

### 4.1.3.26 Seed shape

Different seed shapes were observed among the collections viz., roundish, obovoid, obovoid elongated, oblong and irregular. Of these obovoid seed shape accounted for $35.71 \%$ of the collections, oblong shaped seeds in $27.55 \%$ of the collections, $24.49 \%$ of the collections with obovoid elongated seeds, $10.20 \%$ of the collections were roundish and $2.04 \%$ of the collections were irregular shaped.

### 4.1.3.27 Seed coat colour

With regard to seed coat colour, all the collections were invariably creamish.

### 4.1.3.28 Aril to fruit ratio

The largest edible portion (aril to fruit ratio) was recorded in Col. 072 (0.52), whereas the lowest aril to fruit ratio (0.15) was in Col. 008 .

### 4.1.3.29 Seed to fruit ratio

Regarding the seed to fruit ratio, Col.020, Col. 021 and Col. 072 recorded the lowest value of 0.05 and the highest value of 0.26 was recorded in Col.008.

### 4.1.3.30 Seed to aril ratio

Seed to aril ratio ranged from 0.09 to 1.76 . The maximum ratio was found in Col. 008 and the minimum ratio in Col. 072 .
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| 产 | ก2 | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\dot{\lambda}$ | $\underset{m}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\underset{m}{\mathrm{n}}$ | m | － | c | $\underset{i}{7}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\mathrm{m}$ | $\bar{m}$ | m | m | m | $\stackrel{\lambda}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { r }}$ | $\cdots$ |
| 要会 | － | ＋ | n | n | $\because$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | n | 子 |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | － | ＇ | $\bigcirc$ | ＂ | $\sim$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | \％ | ＋ | $\stackrel{n}{7}$ | － | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | n | in |
| 苑台咅 | $\cdots$ | ㄲ | $\pm$ | ＝ | N | $\cdots$ | 긱 | \％ | $\sim$ | m | ス | N |  | N | $\sim$ | ¢ | シ | $\propto$ | $\checkmark$ | O |  | $\check{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ～ | ๙ | え | ल | 入 |
|  | in | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | ก | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | in | 天 | べ |  | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | त | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | ス | 7 | 4 |  | え | 앙 | ส | ス | d | $\infty$ | ¢ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ |
| －$\bigcirc 口_{\text {可 }}$ | $\propto$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | え | $\geq$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | ते | ते | 2 | $\propto$ |  | N | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | O | ત̇ | 「 |  | $\simeq$ | － | $\cdots$ | n | \％ | สี | त－ | or | $\sim$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { व్ } \\ & \text {-i } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o. } \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{3} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 . \\ 0 . \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{6} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | نi | － | － | J |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { r } \\ \substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0} \end{gathered}$ | － | S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \stackrel{i}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { ng }} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 管 |














Collections

Table 4d．Quantitative fruit characters of rambutan collections（081－100）as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| $\frac{0}{5}$ | ＋ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | m | － | ＋ | m | m | m | － | ＋ | ＋ | － | $\checkmark$ | m | － | － | $\checkmark$ | ＋ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 或 9 듞 | U | $\bar{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{0}$ | $\sqrt{3}$ | テ | $\stackrel{\cong}{2}$ | O. | $\bar{n}$ |  | 守 | $\stackrel{i}{n}$ | d | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\aleph}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $\approx$ | N゙ | $\overbrace{n}^{2}$ | $\underset{o}{\text { f }}$ |  | $\overline{0}$ |
|  | $\bar{\sigma}$ | $\frac{\circ}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ |  | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{\infty}{0}$ |  | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\stackrel{-}{0}$ | O. | กิ | $\frac{\pi}{0}$ | $\frac{n}{6}$ | $\frac{m}{0}$ | － | $\div$ | $\frac{\square}{0}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{o}}$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \text { J } \end{aligned}$ | － |  | Ron | $\begin{array}{\|c} \overline{\mathrm{N}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{o}{0}$ | or | గִ |  | $\mathfrak{6}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N్ | $\begin{array}{\|c}  \\ \end{array}$ | $\underset{o c}{\aleph}$ | oे | $\underset{0}{\underset{O}{\circ}}$ | F | N |  | ¢ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{~}$ | $\underset{\sim}{f}$ | $\mathfrak{m}$ | $\bar{m}$ | $\cdots$ | 7 | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{array}$ | I | $m$ | $\infty$ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | ते | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\bar{\sim}$ |
| 范产亚 | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\geq$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | § | त̇ | $\sim$ | 「 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ |
|  | m | m | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { c }}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { N゙ }}{\sim}$ | ベ | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | ～ | i | ה | $\xrightarrow{\circ}$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{3}$ | m | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{Q}}$ | $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\overline{\text {－}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |
|  | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | n | n | in | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | in | ， | in | $\bigcirc$ | in | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\sigma$ | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| 天要总 | $\because$ | 응 | ＝ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | in | $\begin{aligned} & \because \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | in | N | 「 | － | $\cdots$ | $\bar{\infty}$ | $\checkmark$ | 앙 | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{n}{=}$ | $=$ | n |  | $\cdots$ |
| 噑 | N | กิ | \％ | m | 2 | in | a | N | ה | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\sim$ | － | ת | － | $\cdots$ | ते | 入 | ๙ | $\cdots$ | N | ¢ |  | ก |
| 总言会喜 | ๓ | $\bigcirc$ | $\simeq$ | $=$ | $=$ | $\pm$ | $\propto$ | m | $\sigma$ | － | － | 응 | ๓ | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| ت | $\xrightarrow[\text { İ }]{\substack{\text { an }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ |  | a | $\cdots$ | ন | in | $\checkmark$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { a }}$ | r | n | $\simeq$ | $=$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | 9 | $\stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{\infty}$ | $\simeq$ | $\stackrel{\bar{n}}{n}$ |  | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ | m | m | m | n | in | in | $\checkmark$ | － | n |  | m | $\checkmark$ | in | m | m | － | in | ＋ | $\checkmark$ | m |  | － |
| 咅 | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{q}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\infty}{\grave{A}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { N } \\ \text { 亏ु } \end{array}$ | ๆ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\imath}{2}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{\text { I }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \text { ה̃ } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\underline{\sim}}$ | － |  | $\pm$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | Ni |
|  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | m | m | $\underset{m}{e}$ | $\underset{r}{r}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{n}}$ | m | $\underset{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{r}}$ | m | $\underset{\text { ri }}{+}$ |  | $\bar{m}$ | $\mathfrak{m}$ | － | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}} \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{+}$ | a | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}$ | m | o |  | $\stackrel{\text { N゙ }}{ }$ |
| 首票会 | in | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | $\underset{\sim}{6}$ | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { J }}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}$ | in | $\underset{n}{n}$ |  | in | m | in | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | F | ＋ | n | $\cdots$ | \％ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | N | $\cdots$ | へ | n | $\sim$ | さ | $\sim$ | ® | $\overline{\text { ָ }}$ | $\propto$ |  | $\propto$ | $\simeq$ | ¢ | $\because$ | $\sim$ | m | ल | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | C |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | in | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 0 | N | N | ส | \％ | え |  | in | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | テ | N | ๙ | ส | m | m | ¢ |  | $\cdots$ |
| O゚ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | \％ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\propto$ | N | へ | $\because$ | ® |  | ते | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | え | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | 득 | \％ | m |  | in |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \approx \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20 } \\ & \text {-i } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K0 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r } \\ & \text { S. } \\ & \vdots 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 . \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 80 |

### 4.1.3.31 Shelf life

Shelf life of all the collections varied from 3 to 4 days under ambient conditions. Majority of the collections (79.59\%) had a shelf life of 4 days.

### 4.2 Biochemical analysis

Results pertaining to biochemical analysis are furnished in Tables 5a to 5c.

### 4.2.1 Total soluble solids

Wide variability were observed among the collections with regard to the total soluble solids (TSS), which ranged from 10 to $27.5^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}$. The highest value of 27.5 ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix was recorded in Col. 061 and the lowest value of $10^{\circ}$ Brix was recorded in Col. 010 .

### 4.2.3 Titratable acidity

Titratable acidity ranged from 0.12 to $1.4 \%$. Col. 008, Col. 020, Col. 044 and Col. 082 recorded the highest value of $1.4 \%$, whereas Col. 094 had the lowest value of $0.12 \%$.

### 4.2.4 Total sugar

Total sugar content ranged from 10.06 to $18.41 \%$ among the collections. Col. 061 recorded the highest value of $18.41 \%$, while Col. 010 and Col. 070 recorded the lowest value of $10.06 \%$.

### 4.2.5 Reducing sugar

Reducing sugar content varied from 2.08 to $5.98 \%$. The highest value of $5.98 \%$ was observed in Col. 015 and the lowest value of $2.08 \%$ was in Col.070.

### 4.2.6 Non-reducing sugar

Non-reducing sugar varied from 7.26 to $12.45 \%$ with the highest value being recorded in Col. 061 and the lowest value in Col.013.


Plate 19. Shelf life of rambutan fruits under ambient conditions

Table 5a. Biochemical characters of rambutan collections (001 to 045 ) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TSS } \\ \text { ( }{ }^{\circ} \\ \text { Brix) } \end{gathered}$ | Titrable acidity(\%) | Total sugar <br> (\%) | Reducing sugar $(\%)$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { reducing } \\ \text { sugar(\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Total carotenoids $(\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ascorbic } \\ \text { acid } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 001 | 19 | 1.08 | 13.44 | 4.86 | 8.58 | 0.019 | 18.18 |
| Col. 002 | 24 | 0.7 | 17.22 | 5.65 | 11.57 | 0.021 | 27.27 |
| Col. 004 | 21.5 | 0.83 | 14.76 | 5.12 | 9.64 | 0.026 | 27.27 |
| Col. 005 | 20 | 0.7 | 15.55 | 5.43 | 10.12 | 0.015 | 27.77 |
| Col. 006 | 21 | 0.51 | 14.74 | 5.12 | 9.62 | 0.034 | 22.22 |
| Col. 007 | 22 | 0.89 | 16.02 | 5.12 | 10.9 | 0.019 | 27.43 |
| Col. 008 | 15 | 1.4 | 11.36 | 3.79 | 7.57 | 0.016 | 18.18 |
| Col. 009 | 19 | 0.32 | 13.52 | 4.8 | 8.72 | 0.013 | 30.16 |
| Col. 010 | 11 | 0.32 | 10.06 | 2.76 | 7.3 | 0.016 | 27.43 |
| Col. 012 | 19 | 0.25 | 13.56 | 4.56 | 9 | 0.031 | 30.16 |
| Col. 013 | 13 | 1.08 | 11.02 | 3.76 | 7.26 | 0.019 | 27.28 |
| Col. 014 | 20 | 0.83 | 14.88 | 5.31 | 9.57 | 0.019 | 27.77 |
| Col. 015 | 26 | 0.7 | 17.74 | 5.98 | 11.76 | 0.025 | 45.45 |
| Col. 016 | 22 | 0.7 | 16.04 | 5.43 | 10.61 | 0.032 | 27.22 |
| Col. 017 | 16 | 1.02 | 11.65 | 4.23 | 7.42 | 0.017 | 22.22 |
| Col. 018 | 20 | 0.38 | 14.76 | 5.14 | 9.62 | 0.019 | 30.16 |
| Col. 019 | 22 | 0.25 | 16.09 | 5.52 | 10.57 | 0.022 | 27.43 |
| Col. 020 | 19.5 | 1.4 | 15.12 | 4.61 | 10.51 | 0.036 | 30.16 |
| Col. 021 | 21 | 0.83 | 15.32 | 5.36 | 9.96 | 0.025 | 27.43 |
| Col. 022 | 21 | 0.32 | 14.89 | 5.24 | 9.65 | 0.014 | 27.28 |
| Col. 023 | 22 | 0.7 | 15.45 | 5.43 | 10.02 | 0.029 | 27.28 |
| Col. 024 | 16 | 0.51 | 12.02 | 4.14 | 7.88 | 0.021 | 18.18 |
| Col. 025 | 19 | 0.57 | 13.06 | 4.63 | 8.43 | 0.033 | 30.16 |
| Col. 026 | 17 | 0.51 | 12.94 | 3.79 | 9.15 | 0.026 | 32.26 |
| Col. 027 | 23 | 0.38 | 17.04 | 5.6 | 11.44 | 0.021 | 27.43 |
| Col. 028 | 22 | 0.32 | 17.32 | 5.41 | 11.91 | 0.031 | 18.18 |
| Col. 029 | 18 | 0.57 | 13.25 | 4.56 | 8.69 | 0.019 | 22.22 |
| Col. 030 | 15.5 | 1.08 | 11.45 | 3.13 | 8.32 | 0.025 | 35.23 |
| Col. 031 | 21 | 0.89 | 14.87 | 5.32 | 9.55 | 0.022 | 27.28 |
| Col. 032 | 21 | 0.25 | 14.06 | 5.21 | 8.85 | 0.027 | 27.43 |
| Col. 033 | 22 | 0.32 | 15.04 | 5.43 | 9.61 | 0.017 | 30.16 |
| Col. 034 | 15.5 | 0.38 | 12.09 | 2.91 | 9.18 | 0.035 | 32.26 |
| Col. 035 | 19 | 0.51 | 13.23 | 4.61 | 8.62 | 0.036 | 22.22 |
| Col. 036 | 17 | 0.83 | 13.15 | 3.94 | 9.21 | 0.015 | 27.43 |
| Col. 037 | 16.5 | 0.7 | 11.36 | 3.42 | 7.94 | 0.024 | 32.26 |
| Col. 038 | 12 | 1.08 | 10.95 | 2.56 | 8.39 | 0.019 | 18.18 |
| Col. 039 | 16 | 0.7 | 12.09 | 3.28 | 8.81 | 0.029 | 35.23 |
| Col. 040 | 21 | 0.25 | 14.74 | 5.32 | 9.42 | 0.013 | 35.23 |
| Col.041 | 20 | 1.08 | 14.88 | 5.44 | 9.44 | 0.013 | 18.18 |
| $\text { Col. } 042$ | 24 | 0.64 | 17.22 | 5.68 | 11.54 | 0.012 | 26.27 |
| Col. 043 | 16 | 0.89 | 11.45 | 3.67 | 7.78 | 0.031 | 32.26 |
| Col. 044 | 15.5 | 1.4 | 11.65 | 3.58 | 8.07 | 0.019 | 27.28 |
| Col. 045 | 18.8 | 0.83 | 13.33 | 4.68 | 8.65 | 0.019 | 27.43 |

Table 5b. Biochemical characters of rambutan collections (046 to 088 ) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TSS } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right. \\ \text { Brix) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Titrable acidity(\%) | Total sugar (\%) | Reducing sugar (\%) | Non- reducing sugar(\%) | Total carotenoids $(\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ascorbic } \\ \text { acid } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 046 | 19.6 | 0.38 | 13.63 | 4.92 | 8.71 | 0.021 | 30.16 |
| Col. 047 | 17.5 | 0.7 | 12.81 | 4.03 | 8.78 | 0.026 | 32.26 |
| Col. 048 | 19 | 0.51 | 13.2 | 4.65 | 8.55 | 0.017 | 35.23 |
| Col. 049 | 22 | 0.89 | 16.5 | 5.43 | 11.07 | 0.024 | 32.26 |
| Col. 050 | 23 | 0.7 | 17.03 | 5.65 | 11.38 | 0.023 | 27.43 |
| Col. 051 | 22 | 0.51 | 17.6 | 5.64 | 11.96 | 0.027 | 22.22 |
| Col. 052 | 15.5 | 0.51 | 13.57 | 3.12 | 10.45 | 0.016 | 28.32 |
| Col. 053 | 15.5 | 0.51 | 13.06 | 3.09 | 9.97 | 0.025 | 32.26 |
| Col. 054 | 22 | 1.02 | 16.5 | 5.45 | 11.05 | 0.016 | 27.28 |
| Col. 055 | 22.2 | 0.7 | 17.6 | 5.51 | 12.09 | 0.017 | 32.26 |
| Col. 056 | 20 | 0.83 | 14.88 | 4.95 | 9.93 | 0.023 | 34.59 |
| Col. 057 | 21 | 0.7 | 14.74 | 4.84 | 9.9 | 0.029 | 22.22 |
| Col. 058 | 21 | 0.83 | 14.5 | 4.76 | 9.74 | 0.029 | 32.26 |
| Col. 059 | 22 | 0.7 | 16.04 | 5.43 | 10.61 | 0.013 | 34.59 |
| Col. 060 | 21 | 0.64 | 14.89 | 5.39 | 9.5 | 0.012 | 27.43 |
| Col. 061 | 27.5 | 0.64 | 18.41 | 5.96 | 12.45 | 0.024 | 34.59 |
| Col. 062 | 22 | 1.08 | 16.45 | 5.49 | 10.96 | 0.031 | 26.27 |
| Col. 063 | 18 | 0.25 | 13.23 | 4.72 | 8.51 | 0.023 | 27.43 |
| Col. 064 | 18.5 | 0.38 | 13.67 | 4.75 | 8.92 | 0.030 | 22.22 |
| Col. 065 | 18 | 0.89 | 12.95 | 4.82 | 8.13 | 0.021 | 35.23 |
| Col. 066 | 17.5 | 1.02 | 13.86 | 3.97 | 9.89 | 0.014 | 26.27 |
| Col. 067 | 20 | 0.57 | 15.55 | 5.03 | 10.52 | 0.035 | 30.16 |
| Col. 068 | 17 | 1.08 | 13.22 | 3.97 | 9.25 | 0.012 | 28.32 |
| Col. 069 | 17 | 0.51 | 13.09 | 4.06 | 9.03 | 0.017 | 32.26 |
| Col. 070 | 10 | 0.19 | 10.06 | 2.08 | 7.98 | 0.027 | 22.22 |
| Col. 071 | 17 | 0.7 | 12.65 | 3.65 | 9 | 0.015 | 27.27 |
| Col. 072 | 22.5 | 0.7 | 16.04 | 5.56 | 10.48 | 0.026 | 18.18 |
| Col. 073 | 20 | 0.7 | 15.23 | 5.48 | 9.75 | 0.015 | 27.77 |
| Col. 074 | 18 | 1.08 | 13.23 | 4.56 | 8.67 | 0.024 | 34.59 |
| Col. 075 | 20 | 0.38 | 14.65 | 4.61 | 10.04 | 0.019 | 28.32 |
| Col. 076 | 21 | 0.51 | 14.65 | 5.39 | 9.26 | 0.019 | 35.23 |
| Col. 077 | 15 | 0.38 | 11.65 | 3.94 | 7.71 | 0.021 | 32.26 |
| Col. 078 | 18 | 0.38 | 12.36 | 4.76 | 7.6 | 0.033 | 32.26 |
| Col. 079 | 18 | 1.02 | 13.25 | 4.83 | 8.42 | 0.032 | 22.22 |
| Col. 080 | 16 | 0.7 | 12.68 | 3.98 | 8.7 | 0.011 | 28.32 |
| Col. 081 | 19 | 0.83 | 13.64 | 4.56 | 9.08 | 0.023 | 27.43 |
| Col. 082 | 13 | 1.4 | 10.95 | 2.76 | 8.19 | 0.018 | 26.27 |
| Col. 083 | 20 | 0.7 | 14.88 | 4.56 | 10.32 | 0.027 | 30.16 |
| Col. 084 | 21 | 0.7 | 14.75 | 5.12 | 9.63 | 0.020 | 32.26 |
| Col. 085 | 19 | 0.64 | 13.65 | 4.53 | 9.12 | 0.016 | 28.32 |
| Col. 086 | 21 | 0.51 | 15.32 | 5.52 | 9.8 | 0.020 | 28.32 |
| Col. 087 | 18 | 0.57 | 13.95 | 4.71 | 9.24 | 0.025 | 34.59 |
| Col. 088 | 20 | 0.76 | 14.32 | 5.16 | 9.16 | 0.021 | 35.23 |

Table 5c. Biochemical characters of rambutan collections (089to 0100 ) as per IPGRI crop descriptor

| Collections | TSS <br> ( <br> Brix) | Titrable <br> acidity(\%) | Total <br> sugar <br> $(\%)$ | Reducing <br> sugar <br> $(\%)$ | Non- <br> reducing <br> sugar(\%) | Total <br> carotenoids <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{1 0 0 g})$ | Ascorbic <br> acid <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{1 0 0 g})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Col.089 | 17 | 0.89 | 12.65 | 4.32 | 8.33 | 0.036 | 34.59 |
| Col.090 | 21 | 0.7 | 14.65 | 5.16 | 9.49 | 0.035 | 41.44 |
| Col.091 | 22 | 0.51 | 16.24 | 5.43 | 10.81 | 0.024 | 32.26 |
| Col.092 | 19.5 | 0.51 | 14.25 | 5.09 | 9.16 | 0.027 | 30.16 |
| Col.093 | 17 | 0.76 | 12.56 | 4.15 | 8.41 | 0.013 | 28.32 |
| Col.094 | 14 | 0.12 | 11.25 | 2.46 | 8.79 | 0.027 | 27.77 |
| Col.095 | 12 | 0.7 | 10.54 | 2.34 | 8.2 | 0.024 | 22.22 |
| Col.096 | 24 | 0.7 | 17.32 | 5.78 | 11.54 | 0.017 | 28.17 |
| Col.097 | 20.5 | 0.57 | 15.34 | 5.32 | 10.02 | 0.032 | 35.23 |
| Col.098 | 23.5 | 0.7 | 17.24 | 5.67 | 11.57 | 0.034 | 41.06 |
| Col.099 | 24 | 1.08 | 17.65 | 5.93 | 11.72 | 0.011 | 27.43 |
| Col.100 | 18 | 0.44 | 13.62 | 4.63 | 8.99 | 0.022 | 22.22 |

### 4.2.7 Total carotenoids

All the collections recorded very low or a meagre content of total carotenoids which ranged from 0.011 to $0.036 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$. Col. 020 , Col. 035 and Col. 089 recorded the highest value of $0.036 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ whereas Col. 080 and Col. 099 recorded the lowest value of $0.011 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$.

### 4.2.8 Ascorbic acid

Ascorbic acid content varied widely among the collections and ranged from 18.18 to $45.45 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$. The highest content of $45.45 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ was recorded in Col.015, whereas Col.001, Col.008, Col. 038 and Col. 041 recorded the lowest content of $18.18 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$.

### 4.3 Sensory evaluation

All the collections were scored for different attributes like appearance, colour, flavour, taste, texture, aril juiciness, adherence of aril to seed and overall acceptability on a 9 point hedonic scale which ranged from dislike extremely (1) to like extremely (9). Hedonic ratings were then converted to rank scores and rank analysis was done by Kendall's coefficient of concordance and total score was tabulated from the mean value of each attribute (Table 6 a to 6 d )

Among the 98 collections studied, Col. 018 (92.63) recorded highest rank for appearance followed by eight collections (Col.015, Col.016, Col.023, Col.033, Col.057, Col.061, Col. 066 and Col.096) with a mean rank of 87.13. Col.016, Col. 021 and Col. 096 recorded highest rank of 86 with regard to colour followed by Col. 086 (82.9) and Col. 015 (77.7). The highest rank in case of flavour was recorded in Col. 021 (87.5) followed by Col. 023 (85.5) and Col. 005 (83.2). With regard to taste, the highest rank obtained was 91.2 in Col. 015 , Col. 42 and Col. 061 which was followed by Col. 016 and Col. 021 with a mean rank of 85.3 and Col. 018 and Col. 055 with a mean rank of 85.2 . Col. 084 (84.9) recorded the highest rank with respect to texture followed by Col. 021 and Col. 061 with a mean rank of 81.3 and Col. 045 with a mean rank of 79.1 . With regard to aril juiciness Col. 084 (89.6) was most accepted by the panellists followed by Col. 026 (89.4) and Col. 018 (89.2)

| Appearance |  | Colour |  | Flavour |  | Aril Taste |  | Aril Texture |  | Aril Juiciness |  | Adherence of aril to seed |  | Overall acceptability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean |
| Col. 018 | 92.63 | Col. 016 | 86 | Col. 021 | 87.5 | Col. 015 | 91.2 | Col. 084 | 84.9 | Col. 084 | 89.6 | Col. 087 | 90.6 | Col. 021 | 87.7 |
| Col. 015 | 87.13 | Col. 021 | 86 | Col. 023 | 85.5 | Col. 042 | 91.2 | Col. 021 | 81.3 | Col. 026 | 89.4 | Col. 055 | 90.4 | Col. 023 | 87.7 |
| Col. 016 | 87.13 | Col. 096 | 86 | Col. 005 | 83.2 | Col. 061 | 91.2 | $\text { Col. } 061$ | 81.3 | Col. 018 | 89.2 | Col. 021 | 88.8 | Col. 042 | 87.7 |
| Col. 023 | 87.13 | Col. 086 | 82.9 | Col. 090 | 83.1 | Col. 016 | 85.3 | Col. 045 | 79.1 | Col. 015 | 88.7 | Col. 023 | 88.8 | Col. 019 | 80.7 |
| Col. 033 | 87.13 | Col. 015 | 77.7 | Col. 063 | 80.1 | Col. 021 | 85.3 | Col. 002 | 76 | Col. 020 | 88.7 | Col. 042 | 88.8 | Col. 033 | 79.1 |
| Col. 057 | 87.13 | Col. 057 | 73.8 | Col. 061 | 77.4 | Col. 018 | 85.2 | Col. 095 | 75.7 | Col. 061 | 88.7 | Col. 071 | 88.8 | Col. 059 | 75.6 |
| Col. 061 | 87.13 | Col. 063 | 73.1 | Col. 046 | 76.8 | Col. 055 | 85.2 | Col. 046 | 74 | Col. 009 | 85.1 | Col. 073 | 88.8 | Col. 022 | 73.7 |
| Col. 066 | 87.13 | Col. 052 | 72.1 | Col. 042 | 74.9 | Col. 098 | 85.1 | Col. 066 | 72 | Col. 064 | 82.7 | Col. 069 | 88.7 | Col. 032 | 73.7 |
| $\text { Col. } 096$ | 87.13 | Col. 061 | 72.1 | $\text { Col. } 091$ | 74.8 | Col. 032 | 80.9 | Col. 099 | 70.9 | Col. 063 | 81.2 | Col. 068 | 88.2 | Col. 058 | 73.7 |
| Col. 059 | 80.75 | Col. 018 | 70 | Col. 004 | 74.7 | Col. 099 | 80.9 | Col. 020 | 70.8 | Col. 006 | 79.9 | Col. 034 | 87.7 | Col. 064 | 73.7 |
| Col. 042 | 76.38 | Col. 054 | 68.2 | Col. 047 | 74.7 | Col. 027 | 80.7 | Col. 075 | 70.4 | Col. 085 | 79 | Col. 075 | 86.3 | Col. 073 | 73.7 |
| Col. 071 | 76.38 | Col. 005 | 67.9 | Col. 074 | 74.7 | Col. 023 | 78.9 | Col. 047 | 68.4 | Col. 098 | 78.5 | Col. 033 | 86.1 | Col. 018 | 73.4 |
| Col. 060 | 76.25 | Col. 071 | 67.9 | Col. 010 | 74.6 | Col. 096 | 78.9 | Col. 062 | 68.4 | Col. 004 | 77.6 | Col. 070 | 85.7 | Col. 052 | 73.2 |
| Col. 025 | 74.13 | Col. 023 | 67.4 | Col. 064 | 74 | Col. 033 | 74.2 | Col. 036 | 68 | Col. 047 | 77.1 | Col. 074 | 84.6 | Col. 053 | 73.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 056$ | 74.13 | Col. 053 | 67.4 | Col. 086 | 74 | Col. 090 | 72.2 | Col. 093 | 67.7 | Col. 056 | 76.7 | Col. 072 | 84.1 | Col. 061 | 73.2 |
| Col. 063 | 73 | Col. 060 | 66.3 | Col. 009 | 71.3 | Col. 091 | 70.2 | Col. 083 | 67.6 | Col. 072 | 76.7 | Col. 022 | 81.8 | Col. 039 | 72.7 |
| Col. 019 | 71.5 | Col. 041 | 64.4 | Col. 077 | 71.3 | Col. 020 | 70.1 | Col. 067 | 66.2 | Col. 059 | 75.9 | Col. 052 | 81 | Col. 040 | 70.7 |
| Col. 034 | 70.63 | Col. 043 | 62.5 | Col. 006 | 70 | Col. 050 | 69.8 | Col. 077 | 63.1 | Col. 017 | 74 | Col. 053 | 81 | Col. 065 | 70.7 |
| Col. 051 | 70.63 | Col. 065 | 62.2 | Col. 017 | 69.3 | Col. 002 | 69.7 | Col. 074 | 63 | Col. 090 | 72.3 | Col. 061 | 81 | Col. 027 | 69.7 |
| Col. 040 | 70.5 | Col. 032 | 62.1 | Col. 072 | 69.3 | Col. 051 | 68.1 | Col. 059 | 62.6 | Col. 046 | 72.2 | Col. 039 | 79.2 | Col. 035 | 69.3 |
| Col. 043 | 69.5 | Col. 055 | 61.9 | Col. 089 | 68.3 | Col. 073 | 67.2 | Col. 022 | 61.4 | Col. 094 | 72.2 | Col. 082 | 78.6 | Col. 056 | 69 |
| Col. 017 | 68.38 | Col. 064 | 61.8 | Col. 015 | 68.2 | Col. 028 | 67.1 | Col. 073 | 61 | Col. 067 | 71.6 | Col. 040 | 76.6 | Col. 072 | 69 |
| Col. 031 | 65.13 | Col. 031 | 60.6 | Col. 031 | 68.1 | Col. 058 | 65.9 | Col. 031 | 60.4 | Col. 016 | 70.3 | Col. 016 | 72.3 | Col. 083 | 69 |
| Col. 045 | 65.13 | Col. 051 | 59.7 | Col. 035 | 68.1 | Col. 014 | 65.7 | Col. 032 | 60.4 | Col. 021 | 70.3 | Col. 020 | 72.3 | Col. 028 | 65.6 |
| Col. 049 | 65.13 | Col. 056 | 59.7 | Col. 016 | 67.8 | Col. 022 | 65.5 | Col. 039 | 60.4 | Col. 019 | 69.5 | Col. 096 | 72.3 | Col. 075 | 65.3 |
| Col. 097 | 65.13 | Col. 036 | 59 | Col. 020 | 67.8 | Col. 035 | 65.5 | Col. 058 | 60.4 | Col. 093 | 69.5 | Col. 076 | 72 | Col. 031 | 64.9 |


| Appearance |  | Colour |  | Flavour |  | Aril Taste |  | Aril Texture |  | Aril Juiciness |  | Adherence of aril to seed |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ \text { acceptability } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Rank } \\ \text { mean } \end{array}$ | Collections | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Rank } \\ \text { mean } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Collections | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Rank } \\ \text { mean } \end{array}$ | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Rank } \\ \text { mean } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Col. 099 | 65.13 | Col. 097 | 57.3 | Col. 041 | 67.7 | Col. 040 | 65.5 | Col. 065 | 60.4 | Col. 055 | 67 | Col. 083 | 72 | Col. 057 | 64.9 |
| Col. 028 | 64.75 | Col. 098 | 57.3 | Col. 084 | 67.3 | Col. 064 | 61.3 | Col. 071 | 60.4 | Col. 058 | 67 | Col. 063 | 71.5 | Col. 050 | 63.7 |
| Col. 014 | 63.88 | Col. 040 | 56.7 | Col. 085 | 63.6 | Col. 060 | 61.1 | Col. 085 | 60.4 | Col. 066 | 67 | Col. 094 | 70.2 | Col. 055 | 63.7 |
| Col. 079 | 63.88 | Col. 027 | 56.4 | Col. 088 | 63.6 | Col. 041 | 60.9 | Col. 090 | 60.4 | Col. 086 | 67 | Col. 024 | 69.8 | Col. 071 | 62.7 |
| Col. 081 | 63.88 | Col. 039 | 56.4 | Col. 014 | 63.5 | Col. 019 | 60.8 | Col. 078 | 57.4 | Col. 031 | 66.6 | Col. 046 | 69.7 | Col. 086 | 61.2 |
| Col. 089 | 63.88 | Col. 079 | 56.4 | Col. 007 | 62.9 | Col. 029 | 60.8 | Col. 086 | 57 | Col. 044 | 64.1 | Col. 077 | 68.9 | Col. 060 | 59.7 |
| Col. 092 | 63.13 | Col. 045 | 55.7 | Col. 030 | 62.9 | Col. 045 | 60.5 | Col. 076 | 55.7 | Col. 035 | 64 | Col. 080 | 68.3 | Col. 074 | 59.7 |
| Col. 009 | 59.63 | Col. 084 | 55.7 | Col. 098 | 62.9 | Col. 004 | 59.4 | Col. 051 | 55.3 | Col. 099 | 63.9 | Col. 027 | 68.2 | Col. 034 | 57.6 |
| Col. 074 | 59.63 | Col. 069 | 55.1 | Col. 026 | 62.7 | Col. 075 | 59.2 | Col. 064 | 55.3 | Col. 071 | 59.4 | Col. 065 | 68.2 | Col. 007 | 57.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 098$ | 58.38 | Col. 020 | 54.7 | Col. 048 | 62.7 | Col. 065 | 59 | Col. 098 | 55.3 | Col. 032 | 58.9 | Col. 078 | 68.2 | Col. 015 | 57.1 |
| Col. 036 | 57.38 | Col. 028 | 54.7 | Col. 045 | 62.6 | Col. 054 | 56.4 | Col. 041 | 55.1 | Col. 033 | 58.8 | Col. 048 | 67.8 | Col. 024 | 56.9 |
| Col. 058 | 57.38 | Col. 033 | 54.7 | Col. 099 | 62.6 | Col. 049 | 56.2 | Col. 092 | 55.1 | Col. 065 | 58.8 | Col. 019 | 65.1 | Col. 010 | 56.2 |
| Col. 065 | 57.38 | Col. 029 | 54.5 | Col. 002 | 62.3 | Col. 083 | 56.2 | Col. 029 | 55 | Col. 073 | 58 | Col. 018 | 51.6 | Col. 046 | 55.3 |
| Col. 005 | 56.38 | Col. 059 | 54 | Col. 071 | 61.9 | Col. 006 | 56 | Col. 004 | 54.6 | Col. 014 | 57.6 | Col. 037 | 41.8 | Col. 099 | 54.3 |
| Col. 069 | 53 | Col. 019 | 53.5 | Col. 040 | 61.6 | Col. 072 | 56 | Col. 035 | 54.6 | Col. 029 | 57.6 | Col. 044 | 41 | Col. 051 | 53.9 |
| Col. 094 | 53 | Col. 044 | 53.5 | Col. 018 | 58.1 | Col. 067 | 54.8 | Col. 037 | 54.6 | Col. 023 | 54.7 | Col. 035 | 40.5 | Col. 062 | 53.5 |
| Col. 022 | 52 | Col. 004 | 53.1 | Col. 032 | 58.1 | Col. 046 | 54.7 | Col. 040 | 54.6 | Col. 042 | 54.7 | Col. 041 | 40.5 | Col. 067 | 53.5 |
| Col. 004 | 51.63 | Col. 007 | 52.9 | Col. 034 | 58.1 | Col. 086 | 54.6 | Col. 072 | 54.6 | Col. 010 | 54.3 | Col. 045 | 40.5 | Col. 005 | 52.8 |
| Col. 021 | 51 | Col. 062 | 50.2 | Col. 029 | 57.2 | Col. 005 | 53.8 | Col. 018 | 51.3 | Col. 012 | 54.3 | Col. 007 | 40.4 | Col. 066 | 52.8 |
| Col. 048 | 51 | Col. 072 | 50.2 | Col. 096 | 56.9 | Col. 007 | 53.8 | Col. 060 | 51.3 | Col. 025 | 54.3 | Col. 091 | 40.1 | Col. 091 | 52.8 |
| Col. 073 | 50.63 | Col. 093 | 50.2 | Col. 033 | 56.5 | Col. 025 | 52.9 | Col. 069 | 50.3 | Col. 091 | 54.3 | Col. 098 | 40.1 | Col. 016 | 52.6 |
| Col. 091 | 50 | Col. 038 | 49.6 | Col. 050 | 56.5 | Col. 031 | 49.9 | Col. 088 | 50.3 | Col. 007 | 54 | Col. 031 | 37.8 | Col. 020 | 52.6 |
| Col. 035 | 49.75 | Col. 049 | 49.6 | Col. 095 | 56.5 | Col. 048 | 49.9 | Col. 048 | 49.8 | Col. 027 | 54 | Col. 062 | 37.8 | Col. 096 | 52.6 |
| Col. 012 | 49.63 | Col. 066 | 49.6 | Col. 022 | 56.3 | Col. 071 | 49.5 | Col. 082 | 49.8 | Col. 062 | 53.7 | Col. 099 | 37.8 | Col. 048 | 52.5 |
| Col. 083 | 49.63 | Col. 085 | 49.6 | Col. 001 | 55.9 | Col. 093 | 49.5 | Col. 070 | 49.7 | Col. 002 | 53.6 | Col. 025 | 37.4 | Col. 078 | 52.5 |
| Col. 075 | 49.38 | Col. 081 | 49.3 | Col. 056 | 55.9 | Col. 092 | 48.3 | Col. 050 | 49.6 | Col. 022 | 53.4 | Col. 056 | 37.2 | Col. 054 | 48.3 |

Table 6c. Mean rank scores of sensory evaluation of rambutan collections

| Appearance |  | Colour |  | Flavour |  | Aril Taste |  | Aril Texture |  | Aril Juiciness |  | Adherence of aril to seed |  | Overall acceptability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean |
| Col. 054 | 45.25 | Col. 091 | 49.3 | Col. 059 | 55.9 | Col. 062 | 48.1 | Col. 007 | 49.4 | Col. 088 | 53.4 | Col. 086 | 37.2 | Col. 014 | 47.6 |
| Col. 032 | 44.25 | Col. 035 | 48.6 | Col. 066 | 55.8 | Col. 087 | 48.1 | Col. 038 | 48.5 | Col. 005 | 52.5 | Col. 009 | 36.7 | Col. 029 | 47.6 |
| Col. 050 | 44.25 | Col. 078 | 48 | Col. 055 | 50.1 | Col. 078 | 45.2 | Col. 087 | 48 | Col. 028 | 49 | Col. 054 | 36.7 | Col. 043 | 47.5 |
| Col. 077 | 43.88 | Col. 030 | 47.7 | Col. 075 | 50.1 | Col. 034 | 45 | Col. 009 | 47.9 | Col. 037 | 43.7 | Col. 097 | 36.7 | Col. 063 | 47.5 |
| Col. 041 | 43.25 | Col. 048 | 47.7 | Col. 076 | 50.1 | Col. 063 | 45 | Col. 033 | 47.3 | Col. 079 | 43.7 | Col. 010 | 36.6 | Col. 026 | 47.4 |
| Col. 084 | 43.25 | Col. 017 | 46.7 | Col. 019 | 45 | Col. 089 | 45 | Col. 034 | 47 | Col. 001 | 4.1 | Col. 092 | 36.4 | Col. 017 | 47.3 |
| Col. 085 | 43.25 | Col. 022 | 46.7 | Col. 067 | 43.5 | Col. 077 | 43.4 | Col. 006 | 44.7 | Col. 008 | 39.2 | Col. 008 | 35.9 | Col. 098 | 47.2 |
| Col. 007 | 42.88 | Col. 025 | 45.9 | Col. 025 | 33.9 | Col. 001 | 43.2 | Col. 017 | 44.7 | Col. 092 | 39.2 | Col. 081 | 35.3 | Col. 006 | 46.2 |
| Col. 027 | 42.88 | Col. 075 | 45.2 | Col. 028 | 33.7 | Col. 059 | 43.2 | Col. 094 | 44.7 | Col. 045 | 38.6 | Col. 060 | 35.1 | Col. 077 | 46.2 |
| Col. 038 | 42.88 | Col. 001 | 42.7 | Col. 038 | 32.8 | Col. 085 | 43.2 | Col. 001 | 44 | Col. 057 | 38.6 | Col. 049 | 35 | Col. 001 | 43.8 |
| Col. 044 | 42.25 | Col. 046 | 42.5 | Col. 081 | 32 | Col. 009 | 41.8 | Col. 026 | 44 | Col. 081 | 38.6 | Col. 059 | 35 | Col. 025 | 42.7 |
| Col. 053 | 42.25 | Col. 083 | 41.6 | Col. 080 | 31.3 | Col. 010 | 41.8 | Col. 049 | 44 | Col. 030 | 36.4 | Col. 047 | 33.6 | Col. 036 | 42.7 |
| Col. 078 | 42.25 | Col. 100 | 40.9 | Col. 024 | 30.9 | Col. 024 | 41.8 | Col. 091 | 44 | Col. 080 | 35.4 | Col. 093 | 32.4 | Col. 049 | 42.7 |
| Col. 064 | 37.5 | Col. 067 | 38.1 | Col. 070 | 30.6 | Col. 036 | 41.8 | Col. 081 | 43.8 | Col. 097 | 35.4 | Col. 012 | 32.2 | Col. 087 | 42.7 |
| Col. 055 | 37.13 | Col. 073 | 38.1 | Col. 044 | 29.7 | Col. 056 | 41.8 | Col. 019 | 43.1 | Col. 040 | 35.2 | Col. 028 | 31.9 | Col. 076 | 42.4 |
| Col. 067 | 37.13 | Col. 092 | 37.9 | Col. 058 | 29.7 | Col. 066 | 41.8 | Col. 043 | 43 | Col. 083 | 35 | Col. 029 | 31.9 | Col. 068 | 41.8 |
| Col. 072 | 37.13 | Col. 006 | 37.3 | Col. 060 | 29.7 | Col. 052 | 39.1 | Col. 097 | 42.2 | Col. 089 | 35 | Col. 030 | 31.5 | Col. 079 | 41.8 |
| Col. 024 | 35.5 | Col. 014 | 37.3 | Col. 012 | 27.8 | Col. 053 | 39.1 | Col. 025 | 42.1 | Col. 043 | 31.3 | Col. 001 | 31 | Col. 002 | 41.5 |
| Col. 039 | 35.5 | Col. 090 | 37.3 | Col. 062 | 27.2 | Col. 039 | 38.9 | Col. 044 | 41.4 | Col. 036 | 30.9 | Col. 017 | 31 | Col. 041 | 41.5 |
| Col. 047 | 35.5 | Col. 070 | 36.7 | Col. 065 | 27.2 | Col. 026 | 38.7 | Col. 063 | 40.8 | Col. 054 | 30.5 | Col. 043 | 30.4 | Col. 045 | 41.5 |
| Col. 086 | 35.5 | Col. 050 | 36.6 | Col. 068 | 27.2 | Col. 047 | 38.7 | Col. 023 | 38 | Col. 076 | 30.5 | Col. 085 | 30.4 | Col. 047 | 41.5 |
| Col. 090 | 34.13 | Col. 089 | 35.9 | Col. 073 | 27.2 | Col. 074 | 38.7 | Col. 005 | 37.4 | Col. 095 | 30.5 | Col. 013 | 29.9 | Col. 085 | 41.5 |
| Col. 029 | 30.75 | Col. 042 | 35.7 | Col. 094 | 27.2 | Col. 076 | 38.7 | Col. 010 | 37.4 | Col. 082 | 30.3 | Col. 050 | 28.4 | Col. 097 | 41.5 |
| Col. 062 | 30.75 | Col. 076 | 35 | Col. 043 | 26.7 | Col. 097 | 38.7 | Col. 089 | 37.4 | Col. 087 | 30.3 | Col. 002 | 28 | Col. 004 | 41 |
| Col. 076 | 29.75 | Col. 047 | 34.5 | Col. 079 | 26.7 | Col. 079 | 37.3 | Col. 012 | 36.7 | Col. 060 | 29.9 | Col. 084 | 27.8 | Col. 092 | 40.3 |
| Col. 088 | 29.75 | Col. 099 | 33.5 | Col. 093 | 26.7 | Col. 088 | 34.2 | Col. 028 | 36.5 | Col. 038 | 28.1 | Col. 067 | 27.7 | Col. 081 | 37.3 |

Table 6d. Mean rank scores of sensory evaluation of rambutan collections

| Appearance |  | Colour |  | Flavour |  | Aril Taste |  | Aril Texture |  | Aril Juiciness |  | Adherence of aril to seed |  | Overall acceptability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean | Collections | Rank mean |
| Col. 030 | 28.75 | Col. 034 | 32.9 | Col. 036 | 26.6 | Col. 100 | 32.3 | Col. 015 | 32.7 | Col. 039 | 27.3 | Col. 036 | 27.2 | Col. 089 | 37.2 |
| Col. 052 | 28.75 | Col. 082 | 32.9 | Col. 057 | 26.6 | Col. 068 | 32.2 | Col. 016 | 32.7 | Col. 024 | 27.1 | Col. 051 | 26.6 | Col. 084 | 36.1 |
| Col. 093 | 28.75 | Col. 088 | 32.9 | Col. 027 | 25.6 | Col. 057 | 32 | Col. 052 | 32.7 | Col. 096 | 22.6 | Col. 005 | 26.2 | Col. 093 | 31.4 |
| Col. 080 | 27.75 | Col. 095 | 32.9 | Col. 087 | 25.6 | Col. 070 | 28 | Col. 024 | 32.3 | Col. 034 | 20.4 | Col. 032 | 26.2 | Col. 012 | 30.9 |
| Col. 001 | 25 | Col. 008 | 31 | Col. 092 | 25.6 | Col. 043 | 26.6 | Col. 030 | 32.3 | Col. 041 | 19.4 | Col. 079 | 24.2 | Col. 090 | 30.7 |
| Col. 020 | 24.5 | Col. 010 | 30.3 | Col. 082 | 25.5 | Col. 069 | 22.1 | Col. 068 | 31.3 | Col. 069 | 17.2 | Col. 004 | 23.7 | Col. 100 | 28.2 |
| Col. 046 | 23 | Col. 087 | 30.2 | Col. 037 | 23.2 | Col. 081 | 21.3 | Col. 080 | 30.7 | Col. 052 | 15.1 | Col. 026 | 23.4 | Col. 009 | 18.1 |
| Col. 026 | 20 | Col. 002 | 29.5 | Col. 008 | 23 | Col. 044 | 21.1 | Col. 100 | 30.1 | Col. 074 | 15 | Col. 090 | 23.1 | Col. 037 | 17.4 |
| Col. 006 | 19.38 | Col. 013 | 29.5 | Col. 039 | 23 | Col. 080 | 15.8 | Col. 014 | 27.4 | Col. 100 | 15 | Col. 095 | 22.9 | Col. 088 | 17.4 |
| Col. 070 | 19.38 | Col. 037 | 29.5 | Col. 054 | 23 | Col. 094 | 10.3 | Col. 056 | 26 | Col. 070 | 14.5 | Col. 014 | 22.8 | Col. 044 | 11.6 |
| Col. 100 | 18.88 | Col. 009 | 29.4 | Col. 078 | 23 | Col. 017 | 10 | Col. 013 | 25.3 | Col. 078 | 14.1 | Col. 100 | 22.5 | Col. 030 | 11.2 |
| Col. 037 | 18.25 | Col. 026 | 29.4 | Col. 097 | 23 | Col. 095 | 8.9 | Col. 054 | 25 | Col. 048 | 14 | Col. 038 | 21.6 | Col. 094 | 10.8 |
| Col. 008 | 16.88 | Col. 058 | 29.4 | Col. 069 | 22.5 | Col. 030 | 8.6 | Col. 055 | 25 | Col. 049 | 14 | Col. 057 | 21.2 | Col. 080 | 9.8 |
| Col. 095 | 16.75 | Col. 068 | 28.8 | Col. 051 | 20.8 | Col. 037 | 7.9 | Col. 057 | 25 | Col. 068 | 12.7 | Col. 089 | 21.1 | Col. 013 | 9.5 |
| Col. 010 | 13.75 | Col. 012 | 28.6 | Col. 100 | 20.8 | Col. 013 | 7.4 | Col. 079 | 25 | Col. 075 | 12.7 | Col. 058 | 20.8 | Col. 038 | 8.8 |
| Col. 068 | 12 | Col. 024 | 28.6 | Col. 053 | 17.1 | Col. 082 | 7.4 | Col. 027 | 21.1 | Col. 053 | 11.7 | Col. 066 | 19.7 | Col. 069 | 8.8 |
| Col. 013 | 10.13 | Col. 080 | 28.6 | Col. 052 | 17 | Col. 008 | 7.2 | Col. 042 | 20.2 | Col. 077 | 11.2 | Col. 015 | 18 | Col. 070 | 8.7 |
| Col. 087 | 7.75 | Col. 094 | 28 | Col. 049 | 16.8 | Col. 012 | 6.6 | Col. 008 | 17.7 | Col. 051 | 11 | Col. 064 | 17 | Col. 082 | 5.7 |
| Col. 082 | 7.63 | Col. 077 | 27.9 | Col. 083 | 16.1 | Col. 084 | 5.7 | Col. 053 | 13.6 | Col. 050 | 9.8 | Col. 006 | 16.9 | Col. 008 | 4.8 |
| Col. 002 | 7.5 | Col. 074 | 23.7 | Col. 013 | 11.2 | Col. 038 | 5.1 | Col.096 13.6 <br> 0.031  |  | Col. 013 | 6.4 | Col. 088 | 14.3 | Col.095 4.7 |  |
| Kendall's Coefficient | 0.135 | 0.026 |  | 0.007 |  | 0.012 |  | $0.031$ |  | 0.004 |  | 0.062 |  | $0.005$ |  |

respectively. Regarding the adherence of aril to seed, Col. 087 recorded the highest rank of 90.6 followed by Col. 055 (90.4) and five collections (Col.021, Col.023, Col.042, Col. 71 and Col. 073 ) with a mean rank of 88.8 . In overall acceptability the highest rank of 87.7 was recorded in Col. 021 , Col. 023 and Col. 042 followed by Col. 019 (80.7) and Col. 033 (79.1).

The highest total score (sum of mean value of each attribute) was recorded in Col. 061 (60.2) followed by Col. 021 (59.4), Col. 023 (58) and Col. 042 (56) and the lowest total score was recorded in Col. 013 (35.2). They were most preferred/accepted by panellists because of their better fruit weight, taste, juiciness and easy detachment of aril from seed. The mean value of each attribute and total score of each collection are given in Appendix IV.

### 4.4 Major pests and diseases incidence

Observations on major pests and diseases incidence including the causal agent, nature of damage, symptoms etc were taken and recorded the percentage incidence of all these problems (Table 7 and Fig. 9)

### 4.4.1 Pests incidence

## a. Leaf folder (Thalassodes quadraria: Geometridae)

Larvae are brownish loopers which fold the new vegetative flush and feed from within. Adults are small greenish moths.

## b. Mealy Bug (Planococcus citri : Pseudococcidae)

This pest was observed in all the rambutan growing tracts of Kerala. It found both on inflorescence as well as immature and ripe fruits. Both nymphs and adults suck sap from the flowers and fruits. Honey dew secretion of this causes sooty mould. Infested parts became black covered with sooty mould. The affected flowers and fruits fell off. Premature fruit fall seriously hindered the yield.

## c. Fruit borer (Conogethes (Dichocrocis) punctiferalis: Pyraustidae)

It was observed in rambutan during fruiting season (May-August). Larvae bore into both immature and mature fruits, feeding on the seeds, the aril and rendering them empty and unmarketable. Oozing out of excreta and frass at the mouth of the bore hole is very conspicuous which affects the marketability of even uninfested fruits of the same flower. Adult is a pale, yellowish moth with black spots on both the wings.

## d. Fruit weber (Eublemma anguilifera: Noctuidae)

Larvae spin webs, sheltered under them and feed on fruits. Pupation takes place in cocoons near at or near the base of the fruit or in nearby branches. The infested fruits may become weak and dry up. It is observed in all the fruits infested with fruit borer (Conogethes punctiferalis).

Besides these, other pests of minor importance like fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis), armored scale of unknown species, lobster caterpillar (Neostauropus alternus) etc have also been observed during the study.

Among the problems observed, fruit borer (Conogethes punctiferalis) and fruit webber (Eublemma anguilifera) caused considerable yield loss in major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala.

### 4.4.2 Disease incidence

## a. Fruit rot or Anthracnose (Colletotrichum gloeosporioides)

Anthracnose was observed in both harvested and ripened fruit in the field. Anthracnose is an important postharvest disease of rambutan worldwide particularly in high rainfall regions. Symptoms appear as circular, dark brown to black lesions on the fruit rind and fruit becomes unmarketable (O'Hare, 1995).


Plate 20. Fruit borer (Conogethes punctiferalis) attack in rambutan
a,b) Larva bores in to immature fruit c) Attack on mature fruit d) Larva feeds on seed and aril e)Empty fru f) Adult


Plate 21. Fruit webber (Eublemma anguilifera) attack in rambutan
a) Webbing b,c\&d) Pupation in the base of fruit e)Cocoon in nearby branches f)Adult


Plate 22. Rambutan pests of minor importance
a)Leaf folder(Thalassodes quadraria) b) Adult of Thalassodes quadraria
c) Mealy bug (Planococcus citri) attack on inflorescence
d)Mealy bug (Planococcus citri) attack on fruit
e) Lobster caterpillar (Neostauropus alternus) f) Armored scale


Table 7. Per cent incidence of pests and diseases observed in rambutan collections

| Problems | Percentage incidence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leaf folder (Thalassodes quadraria) | 86.73 |
| Mealy bug (Planococcus citri) | 76.53 |
| Fruit borer (Conogethes punctiferalis) | 66.32 |
| Fruit weber (Eublemma anguilifera) | 66.32 |
| Fruit rot (Colletotrichum gloeosporiodes) | 92.85 |
| Fruit splitting | 15.30 |



Fig. 9. Per cent incidence of pests and diseases observed in rambutan collections

### 4.4.3 Physiological disorders

## a. Fruit splitting or Fruit cracking

Fruit splitting was observed in few collections ( $15.30 \%$ incidence) during our study. There are many factors that influence fruit cracking such as genetic, morphological environmental and physiological factors (Khadivi-Khub, 2015).

### 4.5 Phenological growth stages of rambutan based on BBCH scale

Phenological growth stages of rambutan were characterized according to the BBCH (Biologische Bundesansalt Bundessortenamt ind Chemische industries) scale. The BBCH scale described for rambutan in this work used 7 of the 10 principal growth stages (PGS) starting with vegetative bud development (PGS-0) followed by leaf development (PGS-1), shoot development (PGS-3), inflorescence emergence (PGS-5), flowering (PGS-6), fruit development (PGS-7) and ending with fruit maturity (PGS-8). Secondary growth stages were also described (Table 8).

Phenological growth stages of rambutan were recorded from Wayanad district of Kerala in addition to the above mentioned major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala. The timeline showing the phenology (flushing, flowering and harvesting) of rambutan in different locations is presented in Fig. 10.

### 4.6 Correlation studies

The association of various characters was studied by using correlation analysis which provides information on the nature and relationship among various traits so that it serves an effective tool for the exploitation of a particular trait towards crop improvement.



Plate 24. Main phenological growth stages of rambutan according to BBCH scale


Plate 25. Main phenological growth stages of rambutan according to BBCH scale (PGS- 7 and 8)


Plate 26. Rambutan fruit development (CS)

Table 8. Description of the phenological growth stages of rambutan according to BBCH scale.

## BBCH code <br> Description

PGS- 0 : Vegetative bud development
011
017
019
PGS-1: Leaf development

PGS- 3 : Shoot development

PGS- 5 : Inflorescence emergence

510
511
512
513
515
519
PGS- 6 : Flowering
610
615
617
619
PGS-7 : Fruit development
710
711

712

PGS- 7 : Fruit maturity
810
819

Beginning of bud swell
Beginning of bud break
End of bud break

First leaves separated
First leaves unfolded
All leaves unfolded: all leaflets fully expanded

Beginning of shoot extension
$10 \%$ of final shoot length
$30 \%$ of final shoot length
$70 \%$ of final shoot length
$90 \%$ or more of final shoot length

Reproductive buds dormant
Beginning of reproductive bud swell
Panicle axes begin to elongate
Beginning of panicle development
$50 \%$ of final inflorescence length
End of inflorescence extension

First flowers open
$50 \%$ flowers open
$70 \%$ flowers open
$90 \%$ flowers open

No ovary growth still visible
Initial ovary growth. First physiological fruit abscission
$20 \%$ of final fruit size. Beginning of ovary growth
$30 \%$ of final fruit size
$50 \%$ of final fruit size. Seed is covered by aril $70 \%$ of final fruit size. Aril becomes fleshy $90 \%$ or more of final fruit size

Skin colour changes from green to yellow Physiological and harvest maturity.

### 4.6.1 Correlation among qualitative characters

The association among 14 qualitative characters viz., leaf colour, crown shape, flower composition, inflorescence shape, fruit shape, rind colour, spine texture, spine colour, aril colour, aril taste, aril texture, aril juiciness, adherence of aril to seed and seed shape was measured both at 0.05 and 0.01 level by Spearman correlation coefficient (non-parametric) (Table 9)

Correlation matrix formed with qualitative traits revealed high significant positive genotypic correlation between aril taste and aril texture ( 0.411 ) and aril taste and aril colour ( 0.314 ) which was significant at 1 per cent level. In addition, significant negative genotypic correlations were observed between aril texture and adherence of aril to seed $(-0.713)$, rind colour and spine colour $(-0.401)$ and aril taste and adherence of aril to seed $(-0.318)$ at 0.01 level and between aril colour and adherence of aril $(-0.227)$ to seed at 0.05 level.

### 4.6.2 Correlation among quantitative characters

Pearson correlation coefficient (parametric) was used to study the association among the 31 quantitative characters viz., age of the tree, number of leaflets per leaf, rachis length, petiole length, leaflet length, leaflet width, percentage fruit set, length of fruit bunch, number of fruits per bunch, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight, rind thickness, rind weight, spine length, spine density, aril weight, aril thickness, seed length, seed width, seed weight, aril to fruit ratio, seed to fruit ratio, seed to aril ratio, total soluble solids, titratable acidity, total sugar, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, total carotenoids and ascorbic acid (Table 10a to 10 c ).

Significant positive correlations were observed between rachis length and spine length ( 0.200 ), petiole length and seed width ( 0.261 ), leaflet width and fruit length $(0.202)$ and percentage fruit set and number of fruits per bunch $(0.331)$.
Table 9. Correlations among qualitative traits of rambutan

|  | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | R6 | R7 | R8 | R9 | R10 | R11 | R12 | R13 | R14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R2 | -0.052 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R3 | -0.034 | 0.137 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R4 | 0.006 | -0.055 | . $202{ }^{*}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R5 | 0.127 | -0.062 | -0.054 | 0.098 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R6 | -0.029 | -0.075 | 0.123 | 0.011 | 0.191 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R7 | -0.065 | 0.14 | -0.047 | 0.104 | -0.045 | -0.056 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R8 | 0.098 | 0.133 | -0.015 | 0.033 | -0.01 | -.401 ${ }^{*}$ | 0.118 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R9 | -0.019 | 0.131 | 0.17 | -0.03 | -0.09 | 0.027 | 0.015 | -0.152 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R10 | 0.186 | -0.094 | -0.103 | -0.006 | -0.036 | 0.002 | -0.019 | -0.135 | 0.108 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| R11 | .231* | 0.109 | -0.09 | -0.031 | -0.14 | -0.17 | 0.017 | 0.029 | . $314{ }^{*}$ | .411** | 1 |  |  |  |
| R12 | -0.186 | -0.069 | 0.07 | 0.058 | 0.114 | 0.111 | -0.085 | -0.129 | 0.079 | 0.074 | $-.207^{*}$ | 1 |  |  |
| R13 | -0.031 | -.228 ${ }^{*}$ | 0.078 | 0.006 | 0.12 | . $220{ }^{*}$ | -0.056 | -0.03 | $-.227^{*}$ | $-.318^{* *}$ | -.713** | 0.17 | 1 |  |
| R14 | 0.098 | -0.109 | 0.126 | -0.017 | . $234{ }^{*}$ | 0.046 | 0 | 0.027 | 0.02 | -0.027 | -0.035 | 0.084 | 0.115 | 1 |

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level
R1-Leaf colour R2-Crown shape R3-Flower composition R4-Inflorescence shape R5-Fruit shape R6-Rind colour R7-Spine texture R8-Spine colour
R9-Aril colour R10-Aril taste R11-Aril texture R12-Aril juiciness R13-Adherence of aril to seed R14-Seed shape
Table 10a. Correlations among quantitative traits of rambutan

|  | X1 | X 2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X 7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X11 | X 12 | X13 | X14 | X15 | X16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X2 | 0.118 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X3 | -0.083 | -0.064 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X4 | 0.056 | 0.049 | -0.141 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X5 | 0.117 | 0.035 | 0.01 | -0.164 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X6 | -0.092 | -0.012 | -0.133 | 0.026 | 0.131 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X7 | -0.045 | 0.144 | -0.006 | 0.143 | 0.155 | 0.131 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X8 | -0.009 | 0.062 | 0.118 | 0.034 | -0.027 | -0.102 | 0.011 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X9 | 0.019 | 0.031 | -0.155 | 0.146 | 0.175 | 0.096 | .331(**) | -0.038 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X10 | -317 (**) | -0.146 | 0.015 | -0.092 | -0.01 | .202(*) | -0.104 | 0.115 | 0.193 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X11 | -.376(**) | -0.077 | 0.162 | -0.055 | -0.085 | 0.077 | 0.022 | 0.014 | 0.096 | .420(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{12}$ | -359 (**) | -0. 149 | -0.008 | -0.04 | -0.014 | 0.065 | -0.018 | 0.113 | .213(*) | .694(**) | . $636\left({ }^{* *}\right.$ ) | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| X13 | -0.19 | -0.046 | -0.103 | -0.093 | -0.109 | 0.081 | -0.082 | -0.029 | .254(*) | . $4360{ }^{* *}$ ) | .303(**) | .435(**) | 1 |  |  |  |
| X14 | -.330(**) | -0. 102 | -0.008 | -0083 | -0.135 | 0.039 | 0.048 | 0.075 | .250(*) | .651(**) | . 523 (**) | .837(**) | . $5411^{* *}$ ) | 1 |  |  |
| X15 | -0. 166 | -0.011 | .200(*) | -.255(*) | 0.104 | -0. 111 | -0.024 | 0.104 | -0.036 | .222(*) | .387(**) | . $366{ }^{* * *}$ | -0.007 | .222(*) | 1 |  |
| X16 | 0.039 | -0.026 | 0.05 | 0.021 | -0.004 | -210(*) | -0.116 | -0.098 | -0.106 | -.305(**) | -0.079 | -0.179 | -0.061 | -0.198 | .293(**) |  |

[^0]X22-Aril to fruit ratio X23-Seed to fruit ratio X24-Seed to aril ratio X25-Shelf life X26-TSS X27-Acidity X28-Total Sugar X29-Reducing Sugar X30-Non reducing sugar X31-Total carotenoids X32-Vit. C
Table 10b. Correlations among quantitative traits of rambutan

|  | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X11 | X12 | X 13 | X14 | X15 | X16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X17 | $-.297(* *)$ | -0.082 | 0.053 | -0.091 | -0.066 | 0.083 | 0.014 | 0.043 | 0.172 | .504(**) | . 690 (**) | .864(**) | .264(**) | .717(**) | .341(**) | -0.15 |
| X 18 | -.214(*) | -0.037 | -0.029 | -0.065 | -0.006 | 0.14 | -0.025 | 0.07 | .207(*) | . 333 (**) | .487(**) | .627(**) | .253(*) | .457(**) | .303(**) | -0.061 |
| X19 | -.405(**) | -0.185 | -0.11 | 0.098 | -0.06 | 0.106 | -0.013 | 0.099 | 0.168 | .639(**) | .377(**) | . 699 (**) | .300(**) | .611(**) | .281(**) | -0.174 |
| X20 | -0.151 | 0.069 | -0.029 | .261(**) | -0.052 | -0.022 | 0.063 | 0.195 | -0.032 | -0.024 | .312(**) | . $215{ }^{*}$ ) | 0.097 | 0.075 | 0.162 | 0.137 |
| X21 | -.355(**) | -0.123 | -0.008 | 0.117 | 0.049 | -0.079 | 0.113 | .211(*) | 0.041 | . 232 (*) | .468(**) | .449(**) | 0.15 | .327(**) | . 255 (*) | -0.111 |
| X22 | -0.049 | 0052 | 0.081 | -0.066 | -0.068 | 0.061 | -0.028 | -0.076 | 0.041 | -0.024 | .382(**) | . 237 (*) | -0.098 | 0.101 | 0.17 | -0.065 |
| X23 | 0.12 | 0.03 | -0.068 | 0.106 | 0 | -0.057 | 0.076 | 0 | -0.192 | -.460(**) | -.301(**) | -.681(**) | -.277(**) | -.567(**) | -.245 (*) | 0076 |
| X24 | 0.099 | 0.015 | -0. 153 | 0.115 | -0.002 | 0.027 | 0.088 | 0.045 | -0.171 | $-.259{ }^{(*)}$ | -.348(**) | -.521(**) | -0.177 | -.415(**) | -.253(*) | 0.039 |
| X25 | 0.005 | -0.027 | -0.034 | 0.111 | -0.146 | -0.128 | 0.037 | -0.052 | -0.074 | 0.039 | -0.042 | 0.01 | -0. 155 | 0.078 | 0.092 | 0.016 |
| X26 | 0.003 | 0.071 | 0.049 | 0.119 | -0.035 | 0.16 | 0.082 | 0.128 | 0.036 | 0.048 | 0.178 | 0.092 | -0.04 | 0.026 | 0.061 | -0.008 |
| $\times 27$ | 0.063 | -0.039 | -0.018 | -0.071 | -0.093 | -0.152 | 0059 | 0.038 | 0.028 | -0.017 | -0.119 | -0.076 | 0.071 | -0.053 | -0. 195 | -0.057 |
| X28 | -0.028 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.105 | -0.02 | 0.171 | 0.099 | 0.115 | 0.057 | 0.096 | .214(*) | 0.17 | 0.019 | 0.112 | 0.081 | 0.026 |
| X29 | -0.008 | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.115 | -0.023 | 0.192 | 0.083 | 0.103 | 0.026 | 0.005 | 0.143 | 0.012 | -0.09 | -0.04 | 0.055 | 0.009 |
| X30 | -0.038 | 0.121 | 0.008 | 0.083 | -0.015 | 0.129 | 0.096 | 0.106 | 0.072 | 0.15 | . 235 (*) | .262(**) | 0.097 | .208(*) | 0.088 | 0035 |
| X31 | -0.077 | -0.064 | -0.034 | -.246(*) | -0.158 | -0.019 | -0.112 | -0091 | -0.075 | 0.123 | -0.017 | -0.033 | 0.114 | -0.031 | 0.071 | -0.067 |
| $\times 32$ | -0.05 | 0.042 | 0.171 | -0.094 | .214(*) | -0.032 | -0.13 | 0083 | -0.11 | 0.005 | 0.015 | -0.028 | -0082 | -0.007 | 0.081 | 0.037 |


Table 10c. Correlations among quantitative traits of rambutan

|  | X17 | X18 | X19 | X20 | X21 | X22 | X23 | X24 | X25 | X26 | X27 | X28 | X29 | X30 | X31 | X32 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X18 | .728(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X19 | .530(*) | .323(*) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X20 | . 250 (*) | .237(*) | .267(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X21 | .378(*) | . 240 (*) | .513(**) | .667(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X22 | .638(*) | .592(**) | -0.004 | 0.127 | 0.025 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X23 | -.628(*) | -.527(*) | -.327(*) | . $200{ }^{*}$ ) | .257(*) | -.332(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X24 | -.630(*) | -.570(*) | -0.166 | 0.152 | 0.193 | -.622(**) | .851(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X25 | 0.039 | 0.141 | 0.048 | -0.064 | -0.085 | 0.049 | -0.047 | -0.019 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X26 | 0.194 | .346(*) | -0.011 | -0.019 | -0.029 | .271(**) | -0.151 | -.225(*) | 0.16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X27 | -0.174 | -0.121 | -0.117 | -0.027 | -0.044 | -.266(**) | 0.066 | .233(*) | -0.132 | -0.084 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X28 | . 247 (*) | .390(*) | 0.02 | 0.022 | 0.001 | .254(*) | -0.187 | -.243(*) | 0.114 | .946(*) | -0.067 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| X29 | 0.129 | . 239 (*) | -0.041 | -0.08 | -0.044 | .244(*) | -0.064 | -0.145 | 0.076 | .937(*) | -0.066 | .885(**) | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| X30 | .298(**) | .444(**) | 0.062 | 0.095 | 0.033 | .224(*) | -.250(*) | -.279(*) | 0.125 | .812(*) | -0.057 | .937(**) | .667(*) | 1 |  |  |  |
| X31 | -0.009 | 0.057 | 0.055 | -0.145 | -0.054 | 0.074 | 0.012 | -0.082 | 0.182 | 0.044 | -0.121 | 0.005 | -0.015 | 0.02 | 1 |  |  |
| X32 | 0.05 | 0.088 | -0.016 | -0.003 | 0.121 | 0.196 | 0.11 | -0.1 | 0.006 | . 219 (*) | -0.104 | 0.15 | 0.135 | 0.139 | 0.147 |  | 1 |

Fruit weight expressed high significant positive correlations with aril weight (0.864), rind weight ( 0.837 ), seed length ( 0.699 ), fruit length ( 0.694 ), fruit diameter ( 0.636 ), aril thickness $(0.627)$, seed weight $(0.449)$ and rind thickness $(0.435)$. The characters having significant negative correlation with fruit weight were seed to fruit ratio $(-0.681)$ and seed to aril ratio $(-0.521)$ and age of the tree $(-0.359)$.

Aril weight exhibited significant positive correlations with fruit weight (0.864), aril thickness ( 0.728 ), aril to fruit ratio ( 0.638 ) and seed length ( 0.530 ). On the other hand, it was negatively correlated with seed to aril ratio $(-0.630)$, seed to fruit ratio $(-0.628)$ and age of the tree $(-0.297)$.

Spine length showed significant positive correlation with aril weight $(0.341)$, aril thickness $(0.303)$, seed length $(0.281)$, seed weight $(0.252)$ and rind weight ( 0.222 ) whereas it had significant negative correlation with petiole length (0.255

Very high significant positive correlations were observed between total soluble solids and total sugar (0.946), reducing sugar (0.937) and non-reducing sugar (0.812).

### 4.7 Path coefficient analysis

Path coefficient analysis was used to study the direct and indirect effect of component characters and fruit weight by partitioning the correlation between fruit weight and component characters into direct and indirect effects. The results of path coefficient analysis is furnished in Table 11 and the path diagram showing direct and indirect effects are presented in Fig. 11.

### 4.7.1 Direct effects

The highest positive direct genotypic effect on fruit weight was exhibited by aril weight ( 0.546 ) and its correlation with fruit weight was also positive (0.864).

Seed weight exhibited high and positive direct effect on fruit weight $(0.339)$ and its correlation with fruit weight was also positive (0.449). The negative direct


| Character | Percentage fruit set | Number of fruits per bunch | Fruit length | Fruit diameter | Rind thickness | Rind weight | Spine length | Aril weight | Aril thickness | Seed length | Seed width | Seed weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage fruit set | -0.033 | -0.010 | 0.003 | -0.000 | 0.002 | -0.002 | 0.000 | -0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.002 | -0.004 |
| Number of fruits per bunch | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | -0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | -0.000 | 0.000 |
| Fruit length | -0.006 | 0.011 | 0.061 | 0.025 | 0.027 | 0.039 | 0.014 | 0.031 | 0.020 | 0.039 | -0.001 | 0.014 |
| Fruit diameter | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.010 |
| Rind thickness | -0.003 | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.037 | 0.019 | -0.000 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.005 |
| Rind weight | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.017 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.027 | 0.006 | 0.019 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 0.002 | 0.009 |
| Spine length | -0.000 | -0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | -0.000 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Aril weight | 0.008 | 0.094 | 0.275 | 0.377 | 0.144 | 0.392 | 0.186 | 0.546 | 0.397 | 0.289 | 0.136 | 0.206 |
| Aril thickness | -0.001 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.024 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 0.05 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.012 |
| Seed length | -0.000 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.007 |
| Seed width | -0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | -0.018 | -0.006 | -0.004 | -0.009 | -0.015 | -0.014 | -0.016 | -0.059 | -0.034 |
| Seed weight | 0.038 | 0.014 | 0.079 | 0.159 | 0.051 | 0.111 | 0.086 | 0.128 | 0.081 | 0.174 | 0.226 | 0.339 |

Residual effect, $\mathrm{h}=0.02953$
effects of percentage fruit set $(-0.033)$ and seed width $(-0.059)$ on fruit weight were negligible.

In addition, number of fruits per bunch (0.007), fruit length (0.061), fruit diameter $(0.02)$, rind thickness $(0.037)$, rind weight $(0.027)$, spine length $(0.005)$, aril thickness $(0.05)$ and seed length (0.014) showed negligible positive and direct effects on fruit weight.

### 4.7.2 Indirect effects

Aril weight showed moderate positive and indirect effect on fruit weight $(0.275)$ through the negligible and positive direct effect of fruit length ( 0.061 ) and expressed high positive and indirect effect on fruit weight (0.377) through the negligible positive and direct effect of fruit diameter (0.020).

Aril weight ( 0.144 ) exhibited low positive and indirect effect on fruit weight through the negligible positive and direct effect of rind thickness $(0.037)$.

Aril weight expressed high positive and indirect effect on fruit weight (0.392) through the negligible positive and direct effect of rind weight $(0.027)$.

The indirect effect of seed weight (0.111) through the negligible positive and direct effect of rind weight ( 0.027 ) was low and positive.

Also aril weight ( 0.186 ) exhibited low positive and indirect effect on fruit weight through the negligible positive and direct effect of spine length (0.005).

### 4.8 Principal component analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis is essentially a data reduction technique wherein the original variables are subjected to a linear transformation, resulting in principal components and the number of PCs to be retained are based on Kaiser's criterion (Eigenvalue $>1$ ) (Kaiser, 1958)

The principal component analysis was performed based on the six tree morphological characters and twenty six fruit characters of rambutan separately.

The cumulative variance, factor scores and contribution of variation of each of the character is presented in Table 12 and 13.

### 4.8.1 PCA of tree characters

The results of principal component analysis based on six tree characters of rambutan are presented in Table 12.

The scree plot in response to principal components (Fig. 12) revealed that the first three principal components were having Eigen value $>1$ which accounted for $58.8 \%$ of the total variation. The first factor (PCI), which explained $21.4 \%$ of the total variation, was correlated with length of petiole, leaflet length and rachis length. The second factor (PC2) accounted for $20.1 \%$ of the total variance, and was associated with tree age, number of leaflets per leaf and leaflet length. The third factor (PC3) which explained $17.3 \%$ of the total variance and featured leaflet width.

### 4.8.1.1 Loading plot of tree characters based on first two principal components

The loading plot showing the relation among various tree characters based on first two principal components are presented in Fig. 13. The correlation between any two variables is approximated by the cosine of the angle between their vectors.

The loading plot revealed positive correlation between rachis length and leaflet width; leaflet width and leaflet length; tree age and number of leaflets per leaf as indicated by the small acute angles between their vectors $(\mathrm{r}=\cos 0=+1)$.

It was observed a near zero correlation between leaflet width and number of leaflets per leaf as indicated by mutually near perpendicular vectors ( $\mathrm{r}=\cos 90=0$ ) and a negative correlation between leaflet width and length of petiole as indicated by the approximate angle of $180^{\circ}$ between their vectors $(r=\cos 180=-1)$. Some discrepancies of the plot predictions and original data were expected since the first principal components explained $41.5 \%$ of the total divergence.


Fig. 12. Scree plot showing the eigen values based on tree characters


Fig. 13. Loading plot of tree characters based on first two principal components

Table 12. Eigen values, factor loadings and contribution of variations by tree characters in rambutan

| Variable | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Tree Age | -0.128 | -0.646 | 0.297 |
| Number of leaflets per leaf | 0.016 | -0.549 | -0.087 |
| Rachis length | 0.443 | 0.299 | 0.199 |
| Petiole length | -0.575 | -0.086 | -0.466 |
| Leaflet length | 0.567 | -0.429 | -0.038 |
| Leaflet width | 0.367 | -0.035 | -0.804 |
| Eigen value | 1.29 | 1.21 | 1.04 |
| Variance (\%) | 21.4 | 20.1 | 17.3 |
| Cumulative variance (\%) | 21.4 | 41.5 | 58.8 |

### 4.8.2 PCA of fruit characters

The results of principal component analysis based on twenty six fruit characters of rambutan are presented in Table 13 and the scree plot showing the significant principal components (Eigen value $>1$ ) are furnished in Fig. 14.

The first eight principal components of data accounted for $75.3 \%$ of the total divergence among the collections Eigen value $>1$. The first principal component (PC1) explained $25.6 \%$ of the total divergence and was determined by aril weight, fruit weight and aril thickness. The second component (PC2) contributed $14 \%$ of the total variance and was correlated with titratable acidity, total soluble solids, reducing sugar and total sugar. The third component (PC3) explained $9 \%$ of the total divergence and was composed of characters such as seed weight, seed width, seed to fruit ratio and seed to aril ratio. The fourth component (PC4) which accounted for $7.4 \%$ of the total variance, was contributed by characters such as aril to fruit ratio, spine length and titratable acidity. The fifth component (PC5) contributed $5.7 \%$ of the total divergence and was correlated with characters such as total carotenoids, percentage fruit set, number of fruits per bunch and fruit length. The sixth component (PC6) accounted for $4.8 \%$ of the total variation and was associated with spine density, length of fruit bunch and total carotenoids. The seventh component (PC7) contributed $4.6 \%$ of the total variation, which was determined by characters such as shelf life, spine length, percentage fruit set and spine density. The eighth component (PC8) described $4.1 \%$ of the total divergence, which was mainly contributed by length of fruit bunch and rind thickness.

### 4.8.2.1 Loading plot of fruit characters based on first two principal components

The loading plot showing the relation among various fruit characters based on first two principal components are presented in Fig. 15.

Strong positive associations were observed between reducing sugar, total soluble solids, total sugar and non-reducing sugar ; seed to fruit ratio and seed to aril ratio ; aril thickness and aril to fruit ratio; fruit weight, fruit diameter, rind


Fig. 14. Scree plot showing the eigen values based on fruit characters


Fig. 15. Loading plot of fruit characters based on first two principal components

V1-percentage fruit set, V2-length of fruit bunch, V3-number of fruits per bunch, V4-fruit length, V5-fruit diameter, V6-fruit weight, V7-rind thickness, V8-rind weight, V9-spine length, V10-spine density, V11-aril weight, V12-aril thickness, V13-seed length V14-seed width, V15-seed weight, V16-aril to fruit ratio, V17-seed to fruit ratio, V18-seed to aril ratio, V19-shelf life, V20-TSS, V21-titrable acidity, V22-total sugar, V23-reducing sugar, V24-non-reducing sugar, V25-total carotenoids, V26-ascorbic acid

Table 13. Eigen values, factor loadings and contribution of variations by fruit characters in rambutan

| Variable | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 | PC8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage fruit <br> set | 0.005 | 0.038 | 0.136 | 0.214 | -0.417 | -0.345 | 0.353 | 0.052 |
| Length of fruit <br> bunch | 0.045 | 0.016 | 0.237 | 0.064 | 0.105 | 0.097 | -0.209 | 0.592 |
| Number of fruits <br> per bunch | 0.098 | -0.055 | -0.054 | 0.298 | -0.347 | -0.169 | 0.183 | -0.218 |
| Fruit length | 0.246 | -0.180 | -0.029 | 0.232 | 0.313 | -0.004 | 0.006 | 0.067 |
| Fruit diameter | 0.275 | -0.073 | 0.137 | -0.119 | -0.088 | 0.009 | -0.040 | -0.141 |
| Fruit weight | 0.346 | -0.178 | 0.010 | 0.053 | 0.022 | 0.068 | 0.011 | 0.083 |
| Rind thickness | 0.158 | -0.175 | -0.027 | 0.264 | 0.102 | 0.202 | -0.082 | -0.484 |
| Rind weight | 0.297 | -0.194 | -0.028 | 0.171 | 0.070 | 0.027 | 0.061 | 0.015 |
| Spine length | 0.158 | -0.038 | 0.074 | -0.320 | 0.084 | 0.257 | 0.354 | 0.129 |
| Spine density | -0.060 | 0.077 | 0.034 | -0.289 | -0.060 | 0.574 | 0.347 | -0.227 |
| Aril weight | 0.350 | -0.073 | -0.028 | -0.131 | -0.127 | -0.080 | -0.075 | 0.042 |
| Aril thickness | 0.305 | 0.061 | -0.031 | -0.129 | -0.117 | -0.046 | -0.042 | -0.048 |
| Seed length | 0.235 | -0.222 | 0.151 | 0.081 | 0.204 | -0.056 | 0.137 | 0.075 |
| Seed width | 0.083 | -0.092 | 0.465 | -0.212 | -0.177 | 0.051 | -0.026 | -0.107 |
| Seed weight | 0.140 | -0.172 | 0.511 | -0.099 | 0.007 | -0.140 | -0.040 | -0.072 |
| Aril to fruit ratio | 0.193 | 0.144 | -0.140 | -0.375 | -0.261 | -0.257 | -0.226 | -0.048 |
| Seed to fruit ratio | -0.264 | 0.037 | 0.393 | -0.051 | 0.068 | -0.184 | -0.023 | -0.191 |
| Seed to aril ratio | -0.258 | -0.058 | 0.379 | 0.146 | 0.133 | -0.039 | 0.102 | -0.059 |
| Shelf life | 0.031 | 0.091 | -0.067 | -0.083 | 0.248 | -0.274 | 0.540 | 0.207 |
| TSS | 0.158 | 0.446 | 0.102 | 0.125 | 0.081 | 0.008 | -0.000 | -0.015 |
| Titratable acidity | -0.072 | -0.047 | 0.056 | 0.320 | -0.096 | 0.229 | -0.200 | 0.013 |
| Total sugar | 0.181 | 0.429 | 0.115 | 0.156 | 0.045 | 0.079 | 0.023 | -0.046 |
| Reducing sugar | 0.120 | 0.434 | 0.108 | 0.133 | 0.042 | 0.030 | -0.005 | 0.000 |
| Non-reducing <br> sugar | 0.200 | 0.362 | 0.103 | 0.149 | 0.041 | 0.104 | 0.040 | -0.074 |
| Total carotenoids | 0.017 | 0.021 | -0.127 | -0.096 | 0.459 | -0.312 | 0.087 | -0.368 |
| Ascorbic acid | 0.034 | 0.123 | 0.070 | -0.219 | 0.276 | -0.151 | -0.329 | -0.102 |
| Eigen value | 6.67 | 3.64 | 2.34 | 1.93 | 1.49 | 1.26 | 1.20 | 1.08 |
| Variance (\%) | 25.6 | 14 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| Cumulative <br> variance (\%) | 25.6 | 39.7 | 48.6 | 56.0 | 61.8 | 66.6 | 71.2 | 75.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

weight, spine length, aril weight and aril thickness; seed weight, seed length and seed width as indicated by the acute angles between their vectors. As revealed by the approximate perpendicular vectors, a near zero relation was there between spine density and reducing sugar and between seed weight and reducing sugar. An angle of approximate by $180^{\circ}$ between the vectors revealed a negative correlation between acidity and TSS, aril thickness and seed to aril ratio and between aril to fruit ratio and seed to aril ratio. However, the first two principal components contributed only $39.7 \%$ of the total variation, hence some deviations could be expected but had to be relied on as only PC1 and PC2 can be accommodated in the two dimensional loading plot.

### 4.9 Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis was performed on the basis of qualitative characters using dendrogram for the elite selections of rambutan and clustering by score plot based on first two principal components which was carried out for thirty seven elite selections, twenty selections of export quality and twenty eight selections of industrial use as mentioned in 3.6.4.

### 4.9.1 Cluster analysis on the basis of qualitative traits using dendrogram

Cluster analysis of all the thirty seven elite selections of rambutan was carried out by using dendrogram based on fourteen qualitative characteristics namely leaf colour, crown shape, flower composition, inflorescence shape, flower composition, fruit shape, rind colour, spine texture, spine colour, aril colour, aril taste, aril texture, aril juiciness, attachment of aril to seed and seed shape.

The collections were grouped at the similarity coefficient status of $60 \%$ which resulted in 10 non-overlaping clusters (Fig. 16). Cluster wise listing of collections according to qualitative characters are presented in Table 14 and the cluster wise summary is given in Table 15. Cluster II had the maximum number of collections (14) whereas clusters VII, IX and X included the minimum number of collections of one each.


Fig. 16. Dendrogram on the basis of qualitative traits for elite selections of rambutan

Table 14. Cluster wise listing of rambutan collections according to qualitative characters

| Cluster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | Cluster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \mathrm{V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { VI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { VII } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { VIII } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { IX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { X } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 052 | Col. 021 | Col. 027 | Col. 040 | Col. 006 | Col. 041 | Col. 031 | Col. 15 | Col. 018 | Col. 061 |
| Col. 053 | Col. 022 | Col. 076 | Col. 087 | Col. 020 | Col. 060 | Col. 067 |  |  |  |
| Col. 096 | Col. 073 |  |  |  | Col. 058 | Col. 059 |  |  |  |
| Col. 075 | Col. 072 |  |  |  | Col. 092 | Col. 049 |  |  |  |
| Col. 074 | Col. 086 |  |  |  | Col. 097 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Col. 033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Cluster wise summary of qualitative characters

| Characters | Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX | Cluster X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leaf colour | Green, Dark green | Green | Green | Dark green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green |
| Crown shape | Spherical, pyramidal | Spherical, pyramidal, oblong, semi-circle | Spherical, semi-circle | Spherical, pyramidal | Spherical, semi-circle | Oblong, pyramidal | Pyramidal | Semi-circle | Oblong | Pyramidal |
| Flower composition | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF | HFF |
| Inflorescence shape | Pyramidal, conical | Pyramidal, conical, obtriangular | Pyramidal, obtriangular | Pyramidal | Pyramidal, obtriangular | Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Pyramidal | Conical | Pyramidal |
| Fruit shape | Oblong | Globose, oblong, ovoid | Oblong, ovoid | Globose | Oblong | Globose | Globose, oblong | Globose | Oblong | Oblong |
| Rind colour | SRO, SR | SRO, SR, PY, DR, DPR, VR, SYP | SYP, PY | PY, VR | SRO | VR. SRO, DPR, DR | SR, SYP, SRO, DPR | SR | DPR | DPR |
| Spine texture | Soft, stiff | Soft, stiff | Soft | Soft | Stiff | Soft, stiff | Soft | Soft | Soft | Soft |
| Spine colour | Red with light green tip | Red, Red with light green tip, light green with pink base | Red with light green tip | Red with light green tip, light green with pink base | Red with light green tip | Red, Red with light green tip | Red with light green tip | Red with light green tip | Red with light green tip | Red |
| Aril colour | Creamy white, dull white | White, dull white | White, dull white | Dull white | Creamy white | Dull white | White, dull white | Creamy white | Creamy white | Dull white |
| Aril taste | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet, acid sweet | Sweet | Acid sweet | Sweet, acid sweet | Acid sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet |
| Aril texture | Crispy | Crispy | Soft, crispy | Crispy | Soft | Soft, firm, crispy | Soft | Soft | Soft | Soft |
| Aril juiciness | Not juicy | Juicy, not juicy | Juicy, very juicy | Not juicy | Juicy, very juicy | Juicy, not juicy | Juicy, not juicy | Very juicy | Very juicy | Very juicy |
| Attachment of aril to seed | Poor, medium | Poor, medium, very good | Medium, very good | Medium, good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Good | Medium |
| Seed shape | Obovoid, obovoid elongated | Obovoid, obovoid elongated, roundish | Obovoid, obovoid elongated | Oblong, irregular | Obovoid, obovoid elongated | Oblong, obovoid, roundish | Oblong, obovoid, roundish | Obovoid | Obovoid | Obovoid elongated |

### 4.9.1.1 Leaf colour

Cluster I included collections having green or dark green coloured leaves whereas clusters II, III, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX and X were having collections with green leaves. Cluster IV contained collections with dark green leaves.

### 4.9.1.2 Crown shape

The collections in the cluster I and IV were characterized by either spherical or pyramidal type shape of the crown whereas cluster III and V were characterized by either spherical or semi-circular shaped crown. Cluster II consisted of 14 collections, in which the crown shapes fell in the category of oblong, pyramidal spherical and semi-circular. Cluster VI had either oblong or pyramidal type crown. Clusters VII and X only had the pyramidal type crown and clusters VIII and IX had only semi-circular and oblong shape respectively.

### 4.9.1.3 Flower composition

All the 10 clusters were invariably having inflorescence with only HFF flowers.

### 4.9.1.4 Inflorescence shape

Cluster I was consisted of collections with pyramidal or conical shaped inflorescence, cluster III and VI showed collections with pyramidal or obtriangular inflorescence and cluster II was characterized by three types of inflorescence namely pyramidal, conical and obtriangular. All the collections in clusters IV, VI, VII, VIII and X were characterized by pyramidal shaped inflorescence whereas cluster IX was having only conical shaped inflorescence.

### 4.9.1.5 Fruit shape

Among the ten clusters, four clusters (I, V, IX and X) came under the fruit shape oblong and three clusters (IV, VI and VIII) with the shape globose. Cluster II contained collections having oblong or globose or ovoid shaped fruits. On the
other hand, cluster III included collections with either oblong or ovoid fruit shape and cluster VII with the fruit shape oblong or globose.

### 4.9.1.6 Rind colour

The cluster II had seven colour of rind namely pale yellow, strong yellowish pink, strong reddish orange, strong red, vivid red, dark red and deep purplish red. Cluster VI exhibited four different colours namely strong reddish orange, vivid red, dark red and deep purplish red.

Cluster VII also came under 4 categories viz, strong yellowish pink, strong reddish orange, strong red, dark red and deep purplish red. Cluster IX and X exhibited dark purplish red colour while cluster V exhibited strong reddish orange colour and cluster VIII with strong red rind colour. Cluster III contained two collections one with strong yellowish pink and one with pale yellow coloured rind whereas cluster IV consisted of two collections, in which one collection was having pale yellow rind and one with the rind colour vivid red

### 4.9.1.7 Spine texture

All the collections of six clusters (III, IV, VII, VIII, IX and X) possessed soft textured spines whereas cluster V was having only stiff spines. Three clusters namely I, II and VI were characterized by both the categories of spine texture viz, soft and stiff.

### 4.9.1.8 Spine colour

The predominant spine colour red with light green tip was observed in all the collections of clusters I, III, V, VII, VIII and IX. The spine colour of cluster II fell in three groups namely fully red, red spines with light green tip and light green spines with pink base. Cluster X were with spine colour only red. Cluster IV contained collections with spine colour light green with pink base and red with light green tip. Cluster VI had the spine colour either only red or red with light green tip.

### 4.9.1.9 Aril colour

The clusters V, VIII and IX were having creamy white aril and clusters IV, VI and X were with dull white aril. White and dull white aril types were observed in clusters II and III and cluster I had either creamy white or dull white aril colour.

### 4.9.1.10 Aril taste

With regard to aril taste, the clusters I, II, IV, VIII, IX and X contained sweet type collections whereas cluster V and VII consisted of acidic sweet collections. Both sweet and acid sweet types were observed in clusters III and VI.

### 4.9.1.11 Aril texture

All the collections of clusters V, VII, VIII, IX and X had soft aril. Clusters I, II and IV had crispy aril whereas both soft and crispy types were observed in collections of cluster III. Three types of aril namely soft, crispy and firm were observed in collections of cluster VI.

### 4.9.1.12 Aril juiciness

The clusters VIII, IX and X fell under the category very juicy whereas clusters III and V contained both very juicy and juicy types. Clusters II, VI and VII were characterized by both juicy and non juicy types. The clusters I and IV included only non juicy types.

### 4.9.1.13 Attachment of aril to seed

Regarding the attachment of aril to seed the collections of cluster II contained collections with three categories namely poor, medium and very good, cluster I and IV with two types, the former containing poor and medium and the latter with medium and good attachment. Cluster III fell in two categories of either medium or very good. Cluster IX and X consisted of only one collection each that exhibited good attachment in case of former and medium attachment in case of the latter.

### 4.9.1.14 Seed shape

Collections of the cluster II were characterized by three types of seed shape namely roundish, obovoid and obovoid elongated. Clusters VI and VII fell in three types namely roundish, oblong and obovoid. Obovoid and obovoid elongated types of seeds were observed in clusters I, III and V. Cluster IV came under two groups namely oblong and irregular. Clusters VIII and IX were with the seed shape obovoid and cluster X with the seed shape obovoid elongated.

### 4.9.2 Clustering of rambutan collections using principal components (Score plot)

Clustering was carried out for each category of rambutan collections namely elite group, export types and of industrial uses using score plot of first two principal components (Fig. 17 to 19).

### 4.9.2.1 Clustering of elite selections of rambutan

The elite selections of rambutan ( 37 collections) could be categorised as ten major distinct clusters (Fig. 17). Cluster wise listing of collections are presented in Table 16 and the cluster wise summary is presented in Table 17.

Cluster I, II and III laid down at + ve side of PCI and PC2 (I ${ }^{\text {st }}$ quadrant) while cluster IV, V and VI were positioned at the - ve side of PC1 and + ve side of PC2 (II ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ quadrant). Cluster VII and VII were located mostly at the - ve side of PCI as well as PC2 (IIIrd quadrant) whereas, cluster IX and X were laid down at the + ve side of PC1 and - ve side of PC2 (IV ${ }^{\text {th }}$ quadrant).

Cluster III included maximum number of collections (12) and cluster I, IV and V contained minimum number of collections of one each.

The score plot of elite selections of rambutan (Fig. 17) revealed that cluster I consisting of Col. 061 was superior to all other clusters with preferred attributes such as TSS of $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix, fruit weight of 32.23 g , very juicy aril, medium attachment of aril to seed, $37 \%$ of aril and $8 \%$ of seed. It was having the best TSS ( $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix) among all the collections because of its prominent upper position in
Table 16. Cluster wise listing of elite selections of rambutan based on score plot

| Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX | Cluster X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 061 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. } 020 \\ & \text { Col. } 018 \end{aligned}$ | Col. 042 Col. 072 Col. 033 Col. 028 Col. 073 Col. 055 Col. 027 Col. 023 Col. 086 Col. 022 Col. 021 Col. 019 | Col. 059 | Col. 015 | Col. 058 Col. 031 Col. 067 Col. 006 Col. 049 Col. 041 | Col. 060 <br> Col. 087 <br> Col. 092 | Col. 040 Col. 075 Col. 076 Col. 074 Col. 083 Col. 097 | Col. 071 Col. 063 Col. 096 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Col. } 053 \\ & \text { Col. } 052 \end{aligned}$ |



| Characters | Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX | Cluster X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of collections | 1 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Fruit weight (g) | 32.23 | 28-40.2 | 22.5-52 | 31.8 | 14.5 | 19.25-27.4 | 15.12-24.6 | 24.4-42.3 | 27.7-42.18 | 45-61.5 |
| Aril taste | Sweet | Sweet, acid sweet | Sweet | Acid sweet | Sweet | Acid sweet | Sweet, acid sweet | Sweet | Sweet | Sweet |
| Aril texture | Soft | Soft | Crispy | Soft | Soft | Soft | Soft, crispy | Crispy, firm | Crispy | Crispy |
| Aril juiciness | Very juicy | Very juicy | Juicy | Juicy | Very juicy | Juicy, not juicy | Not juicy | Not juicy | Juicy, not juicy | Not juicy |
| Attachment of aril to seed | Medium | Good, very good | Poor, medium, very good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Good, very good | Poor, medium, very good | Poor, medium | Poor |
| TSS ( ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix) | 27.5 | 19.5-20 | 20-24 | 22 | 26 | 20-22 | 18-21 | 18-21 | 17-24 | 15.5 |
| Aril to fruit ratio | 0.37 | 0.27-0.36 | 0.35-0.51 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.30-0.43 | 0.26-0.35 | 0.26-0.46 | 0.34-0.42 | 0.34-0.36 |
| Seed to fruit ratio | 0.08 | 0.05-0.09 | 0.05-0.13 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.09-0.14 | 0.14-0.230 | 0.07-0.13 | 0.07-0.09 | 0.07-0.08 |

the first quadrant. Cluster II consisted of two collections (Col. 018 and Col.020) which stand as elite particularly with respect to good characters like very juicy and soft aril and a TSS of $19.5-20^{\circ}$ Brix.

Cluster III consisted of maximum of 12 collections possessing superior attributes such as sweetness and juiciness, good TSS between 20-24 ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix and aril to fruit ratio between 0.30-0.43. Six collections also (Col.019, Col.021, Col.022, Col. 023 and Col.027) weighed above 40 g . The highest fruit weight of 52 g within this group was recorded in Col. 021 and the lowest in Col. 042 ( 22.5 g ). Seven collections (Col.022, Col.023, Col.033, Col.042, Col.055, Col. 072 and Col.073) had aril percentage above $40 \%$ and the largest edible portion among all the clusters was exhibited by Col.072. Ten collections (Col.019, Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.027, Col.028, Col.033, Col.055, Col. 072 and Col.086) recorded a seed content of less than $10 \%$ where Col. 021 and Col. 072 stood as superior with regard to per cent seed content (5\%). In addition, eight collections (Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.033, Col.042, Col.055, Col. 072 and Col.073) possessed free stone aril, two collections (Col. 019 and Col.027) with medium attachment and two collections (Col. 028 and Col.86) had very strong adherence of aril to seed.

Cluster IV (Col.059) was having preferred qualities like fruit weight of above 30 g , juicy and soft aril, TSS of $22^{\circ}$ Brix and an aril content of $47 \%$ whereas, it exhibited inferior qualities like acid sweet aril and very good (very strong) attachment of aril to seed because of its position in the - ve side of PC1.

Cluster V (Col.015) was marked by inferior traits such as less fruit weight $(14.5 \mathrm{~g})$ and very strong adherence of aril to seed, but it had the best TSS ( $26^{\circ}$ Brix) as compared to other collections of $I^{\text {nd }}$ quadrant and next to cluster I because of its slight upper position in the quadrant.

All the collections of cluster VI positioned at the $I^{\text {nd }}$ quadrant exhibited superior qualities like soft aril and TSS ranging from 20 to $22^{\circ}$ Brix. But the fruit weight ranged only from 19.25 to 27.4 g and exhibited very good (very strong) attachment of aril to seed because of its slight lower position in the quadrant. The


Fig. 17. Score plot based on first two principal components of elite selections of rambutan


Fig. 18. Score plot based on first two principal components of rambutan selections of export quality
largest edible portion of $43 \%$ was recorded in Col. 058 because of its slight upper position in the cluster while Col. 041 was having the lowest percentage of aril ( $30 \%$ ) in the cluster because of the comparatively lower position in the concerned quadrant. All the collections were also grouped under acid sweet type.

Cluster VII possessed inferior qualities like lesser fruit weight (15.12 to 24.6 g), non- juicy aril, strong or very strong attachment of aril to seed, higher seed percentage ( 14 to $23 \%$ ) and lesser edible part ( 26 to $35 \%$ ) because of its $-\mathrm{ve}-\mathrm{ve}$ position in the score plot. Col. 060 exhibited highest fruit weight $(24.6 \mathrm{~g})$, aril percentage ( $35 \%$ ) within the group and lowest seed percentage ( $14 \%$ ) as compared to other two members of the cluster because of its upper position in the quadrant. Among all the clusters, Col. 092 recorded its inferiority with the highest seed percentage of $23 \%$.

In cluster VIII, the fruit weight varied between 24.4 and 42.3 g , TSS varied between 18 and $21^{\circ}$ Brix and aril percentage between 26 and $46 \%$. Col. 074 , Col. 083 and Col. 097 exhibited the largest edible portion (above $40 \%$ ) because of their proximity to $\mathrm{IV}^{\text {th }}$ quadrant.

In cluster IX, Col. 071 and Col. 063 recorded preferred attributes such as juicy and free stone aril because of their slight upper position in the $I V^{\text {th }}$ quadrant and proximity towards the $I^{\text {st }}$ quadrant while Col. 096 was having non juicy aril with medium attachment to seed as indicated by the slight lower position in the quadrant.

Cluster X consisted of two collections (Col,052 and Col.053) that recorded their superiority due to characters like good fruit weight above 45 g and free seed aril whereas they were inferior to all other clusters with respect to $\operatorname{TSS}\left(15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}\right)$ and juiciness (non-juicy) as indicated by the lower position in the $\mathrm{IV}^{\text {th }}$ quadrant. Among all the collections, Col. 052 recorded the highest fruit weight of 61.5 g .

### 4.9.2.2 Clustering of rambutan collections of export quality

The selections of export quality ( 20 collections) were grouped in to nine major distinct clusters and the score plot showing the clusters based on the first two principal components are presented in Fig. 18. Cluster wise listing of collections are given in Table 18 and the cluster wise summary is presented in Table 19.

The distribution pattern revealed that the maximum number of collections (4) were in cluster I and V whereas clusters IV, VI, VIII and IX included the minimum number of collections of one each.

Cluster I with four collections (Col.034, Col.068, Col. 069 and Col.074) positioned in the $I^{\text {st }}$ quadrant of the score plot (+ ve side of PCI and PC2) was characterized by fruit weight of $30.7-34.8 \mathrm{~g}$, TSS of 15.5 to $18^{\circ}$ Brix and free seed aril. The lowest TSS reading of $15.5^{\circ}$ Brix was recorded in Col. 034 .

Cluster II containing two collections (Col. 053 and Col.63) was located at the $I^{\text {st }}$ quadrant of the score plot ( + ve side of PCl and PC 2 ) and its fruit weight varied between 42.18 and 45 g and TSS ranged from 15.5 to $18^{\circ}$ Brix. Both collections were also characterized with free stone aril.

Cluster III consisting of three collections (Col.033, Col. 65 and Col.072) was laid down at the $\mathrm{II}^{\text {nd }}$ quadrant of the score plot (- ve side of PCI and + ve side of PC 2 ). The fruit weight ranged from 30.35 to 32.2 g and TSS from 18 to $22.5^{\circ}$ Brix. They also exhibited free seed aril.

Cluster 1 V consisting of Col. 061 was positioned at $\mathrm{II}^{\text {nd }}$ quadrant of the score plot (- ve side of PCI and + ve side of PC2) and was superior over all other clusters with respect to $\mathrm{TSS}\left(27.5^{\circ}\right.$ Brix). But it recorded the less preferred quality of medium attachment of aril to seed as revealed by its extreme lower position compared to other clusters in the quadrant.

The fruit weight ranged from 37 to 42.3 g and TSS from $20-24^{\circ}$ Brix in cluster V (Col.019, Col.076, Col. 083 and Col.096) which was located mostly at III ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ quadrant of the score plot (- ve side of PCI and - ve side of PC2). Col. 019 and
Table 18. Cluster wise listing of rambutan collections of export quality based on score plot

| Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Col.074 | Col. 063 | Col.072 | Col.061 | Col. 076 | Col.027 | Col.055 | Col. 021 | Col. 052 |
| Col.068 | Col. 053 | Col. 033 |  | Col. 096 |  | Col. 022 |  |  |
| Col.034 |  | Col. 065 |  | Col.019 |  | Col. 023 |  |  |
| Col.069 |  |  |  | Col.083 |  |  |  |  |

Table 19. Cluster wise summary of rambutan collections of export quality based on score plot

| Characters | Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of <br> collections | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Fruit weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | $30.7-34.8$ | $42.18-45$ | $30.35-32.2$ | 32.23 | $37-42.3$ | 47.5 | $44-45.5$ | 52 |  |
| TSS $\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ Brix) | $15.5-18$ | $15.5-18$ | $18-22.5$ | 27.5 | $20-24$ | 23 | $21-22.2$ | 21 | 15.5 |
| Attachment of <br> aril to seed | Poor | Poor | Poor | Medium | Medium | Medium | Poor | Poor | Poor |

Col. 083 weighed above 40 g and Col. 096 recorded the highest TSS of $24^{\circ}$ Brix. All these collections possessed aril with medium adherence to seed.

Regarding cluster VI containing only Col. 027 , the fruit weight was 47.5 g , the TSS- $23^{\circ}$ Brix and had a medium attached aril. It was laid down at III ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ quadrant of the score plot (- ve side of PCI and - ve side of PC2).

Cluster VII included three collections (Col.022, Col. 023 and Col.055) with fruit weight ranging from 44 to 45.5 g , and TSS from 21 to $22.2^{\circ}$ Brix and was characterized by free stone aril. This cluster was positioned at the $I V^{\mathrm{th}}$ quadrant of the score plot ( + ve side of PCI and - ve side of PC 2 ).

Cluster VIII (Col.021) positioned at IV ${ }^{\text {th }}$ quadrant of the score plot ( + eve side of PCI and - ve side of PC2) was superior over cluster VII with respect to fruit weight ( 52 g ) whereas cluster IX (Col.052) laid down in the same quadrant was the best among all the clusters with regard to the fruit weight $(61.5 \mathrm{~g})$. Both clusters showed poor aril attachment but Col. 052 recorded inferiority with regard to TSS ( $15.5^{\circ}$ Brix).

### 4.9.2.3 Clustering of rambuatn collections having promise for industrial use/processing attributes

Rambutan selections holding promise for industrial use ( 28 collections) were grouped in to ten major distinct clusters (Fig. 19). Cluster wise listing of collections are given in Table 20 and the cluster wise summary is presented in Table 21.

Cluster X contained maximum number of collections (9) whereas cluster I, II, III, V, VIII and IX included minimum number of collections of one each.

Cluster I consisted of only Col. 061 fell under the first quadrant of the score plot (+ ve side of PCI and + ve side of PC 2) and recorded the best values with regard to TSS $\left(27.5^{\circ}\right.$ Brix) and total sugar ( $18.41 \%$ ) compared to all the other clusters as indicated by the extreme upper position in the first quadrant.


Fig. 19. Score plot based on first two principal components of rambutan collections having promise for processing attributes
Table 20. Cluster wise listing of rambutan collections of industrial use based on score plot

| Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX | Cluster X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Col.061 | Col.098 | Col.042 | Col.018 | Col.001 | Col.079 | Col.097 | Col.052 | Col.063 | Col.072 |
|  |  |  | Col.096 |  | Col.045 | Col.057 |  |  | Col.027 |
|  |  |  | Col.084 |  | Col.043 | Col.056 |  |  | Col.073 |
|  |  |  | Col.086 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Col.081 |  |  |  | Col.019 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Col.039 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Col.033 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Col.055 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Col.023 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Col.021 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 21. Cluster wise summary of rambutan collections of industrial use based on score plot

| Characters | Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | Cluster VI | Cluster VII | Cluster VIII | Cluster IX | Cluster X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of collections | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Aril weight (g) | 12 | 11 | 9.6 | 10-16.7 | 7 | 10-12 | 11.5-16 | 21 | 14.4 | 13.5-19.2 |
| Aril juiciness | Very juicy | Juicy | Juicy | Very juicy, juicy, not juicy | Not juicy | Not juicy | Juicy, not juicy | Not juicy | Juicy | Juicy |
| Attachment of aril to seed | Medium | Very good | Poor | Medium, good, very good | Very good | Very good | Good, very good | Poor | Poor | Poor, medium |
| TSS ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}$ ) | 27.5 | 23.5 | 24 | 20-24 | 19 | 16-18.8 | 16-21 | 15.5 | 18 | 20-23 |
| Total sugar (\%) | 18.41 | 17.24 | 17.22 | 14.75-17.32 | 13.44 | 11.45-13.33 | 12.09-15.34 | 13.57 | 13.23 | 14.89-17.6 |
| Titratable acidity (\%) | 0.64 | 0.7 | 0.64 | 0.32-0.7 | 1.08 | 0.83-1.02 | 0.57-0.83 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.25-0.83 |

Cluster II (Col.098) and III were also in the first quadrant of the score plot ( + ve side of PCI and + we side of PC2) and were characterized by a preferred TSS of $23.5^{\circ}$ Brix and $24^{\circ}$ Brix respectively. Both clusters had juicy aril and total sugar content of above $17 \%$. With regard to attachment of aril to seed, cluster III exhibited superiority with poor attachment while cluster II showcased very strong adherence to seed.

Cluster IV positioned at first quadrant of the score plot (+ we side of PCI and + ve side of PC2) was characterized with an aril weight of 10 to 16.7 g , TSS of 20 to $24^{\circ}$ Brix, total sugar content of 14.75 to $17.32 \%$ and acidity of 0.32 to $0.7 \%$. Col. 028 exhibited superior qualities such as better aril weight $(16.7 \mathrm{~g})$ and better total sugar content ( $17.32 \%$ ) with less acidity ( $0.32 \%$ ) over other collections of the cluster whereas Col. 096 stood as the best among the collections with respect to TSS ( $24^{\circ}$ Brix). Among the five collections, Col. 096 recorded free stone aril while the adherence of aril to seed was not preferable in Col.028, Col. 086 and Col.084. With regard to aril juiciness, very juicy aril was observed in Col. 018 and Col. 084 while Col. 096 exhibited non juicy aril.

Cluster V comprised of Col. 001 was located at second quadrant of the score plot ( - ve side of PCI and + we side of PC 2 ) and was having less preferable attributes of less aril weight $(7 \mathrm{~g})$, non-juicy aril with very strong adherence to seed and high acidity of $1.08 \%$.

All the collections of cluster VI were having non-juicy aril with very strong attachment to seed and the aril weight ranged from 10 to 12 g . The TSS varied between 16.6 and $18.8^{\circ}$ Brix. Col. 043 exhibited the lowest TSS value of $16^{\circ}$ Brix and total sugar $(11.45 \%)$ as indicated by the proximity to the third quadrant.

In cluster VII, the fruit weight ranged from 11.5 to 16 g , TSS from 16 to $21^{\circ}$ Brix, total sugar from 12.09 to $15.34 \%$ and acidity varied between 0.57 and $0.83 \%$ which was positioned at second quadrant of the score plot except for Col.039. All the five collections exhibited inferiority in aril juiciness and adherence of aril to seed. Col. 097 recorded the lowest acidity of $0.57 \%$ and the highest total sugar
content of $15.34 \%$ within the group as revealed by the slight upper position in the quadrant.

Cluster VIII included only one collection (Col. 52) positioned in the third quadrant of the score plot, which was having the best value of aril weight ( 21 g ) among all the clusters with free stone aril and less acidity ( $0.51 \%$ ). But it was inferior with respect to $\operatorname{TSS}\left(15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}\right)$ and aril juiciness (not juicy).

Cluster IX and X were located at fourth quadrant of the score plot. Cluster IX (Col.063) and Col. 019 of cluster X recorded the highest acidity of $0.25 \%$ ) compared to all other clusters. Among the nine collections of cluster X , seven collections (Col.019, Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.027, Col. 055 and Col.072) were having an aril weight of above 15 g with the highest aril weight of 19.2 g in Col.055. Cluster IX containing only Col. 063 and seven collections (Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.033, Col.055, Col. 072 and Col.073) of cluster X possessed free seed aril. The TSS of cluster X varied between 20 and $23^{\circ}$ Brix (highly preferable) and the maximum TSS was registered in Col.027. The total sugar ranged from 14.89 to $17.6 \%$ and the maximum total sugar content was recorded in Col. 055 .

Discussion

## 5. DISCUSSION

Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) is an exotic fruit crop with tremendous potential for cultivation in the whole state of Kerala. There exists great variability in rambutan because of the natural cross pollination and seed propagation that was in practice. Wide morphological variations are also observed among plants as a consequence of which little uniformity is observed in the orchards and the fruits realised from them (Smith et al., 1992).

Variability is the basis for crop improvement programme and wider the variability greater is the scope for selection. Success of plant breeding depends on the identification of superior genotypes. There is great scope for selection from the large collection of natural variability of rambutan existing in the homesteads of entire Kerala state.

It is in this context that the hundred variable types of rambutan collected from the important rambutan growing tracts of Kerala were evaluated for various morpho-physiochemical traits in addition to sensorial perception with the prime objectives of recording the existing variability and genetic wealth available in order to select and conserve the elite/promising types and thereby to serve as a basis for promotion of cultivation of this exotic fruit in Kerala.

The results pertaining to 'Variability studies in rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) are duly discussed in this chapter under the following headings.

### 5.1 Morpho-physiochemical traits of rambutan collections

The study revealed that rambutan fruits varied widely in their morphophysiochemical traits. This was undoubtedly due to the natural cross pollination that exists in this crop and the resultant progenies were found to vary. This is also in accordance with the report of Tindall (1994). He confirmed that there is considerable genetic diversity in rambutan with respect to the morpho-physical and biochemical traits such as growth and tree size, colour and leaf size, flower composition and panicle shape, fruit colour, aril texture, brix, acidity, adherence of
aril to seed, seed size and seed shape, susceptibility to pests and diseases and tolerance to cold and drought.

### 5.1.1 Tree and inflorescence characters

The study consisted of 100 rambutan trees belonging to various age groups. Among these, 71 trees came under the age group of 3-10 years, 22 trees in the age group of 11-20 years, 4 trees in the age group of 21-30 and 3 trees fell in the age group of above 30 years and all these were invariably of rough trunk surface. Four types of crown shape were observed among the collections viz., pyramidal, oblong, semi-circle and spherical with irregular branching pattern. The rambutan accessions used for morphological characterization by Andrade et al. (2009) exhibited only spherical/globular shape with irregular branching pattern. Crown shape and branching pattern are mainly decided by genetic make-up but still environmental parameters also play a role (Muthulakshmi, 2003).

Leaf colour varied from green to dark green, in which 90per cent of the collections were of green leaves. All the collections had an elliptic leaflet shape with no pubescence, but Andrade et al. (2009) observed lanceolate leaves with no pubescence while studying the morphological diversity in rambutan. In addition, quantitative leaf traits like number of leaflets per leaf, rachis length, petiolar length, leaflet length and leaflet width were also recorded which coincided with the findings of Tindall (1994), Andrade et al. (2009) and Barreto et al. (2015).

To know the extent of contribution of each variable towards the total variation, principal component analysis was performed. The first three principal components were having Eigen value $>1$ which accounted for 58.8 per cent of the total variation. The first factor ( PCI ), which explained 21.4 per cent of the total variation, was correlated with length of petiole, leaflet length and rachis length. The second factor (PC2) accounted for 20.1 per cent of the total variance, and was associated with tree age, number of leaflets per leaf and leaflet length. The third factor (PC2) which explained 17.3per cent of the total variance featured the leaflet width. The results are in agreement with the studies of Andrade et al. (2009) and

Barreto et al. (2015) who have reported the major contribution of leaflet length, leaflet width and petiole length towards the genetic divergence in rambutan and also in litchi by Wu et al. (2016).

Their research on morphological characterization of rambutan plants to verify whether it is possible to differentiate rambutan trees in the early stages of development even when they have not produced flowers and/or fruits or in the nursery stage as has been reported in several fruit trees like purple passion fruit (Meletti et al., 2005) and carambola (Andrade and Martins, 2007). They inferred that use of morphological characters of tree including foliar aspects is efficient in cataloguing the genetic variability between rambutan plants, but visual distinction is not possible, since no outstanding characteristic exists that helps to differentiate plants. Our results also support these findings.

Flower buds developed completely at the end of reproductive bud development stage. Flowering occurs following a dry period, but the amount and duration of flowering is dependent on the drought stress, the maturity of the terminals, flushing activity and tree health. In Hawaii, it is reported that rambutan flowers twice a year during the months of March-May and July-August in response to two short periods of dry weather followed by occasional showers (Kawabata et al., 2005). Two flowering periods can also occur in Malaysia from March-May and August-October depending on the climatic condition. The flowering and fruiting are observed to be a function of weather conditions and the status of the stored food reserves within the trees (Shari et al., 1983). However, only one flowering season was observed in Kerala. Flowering continued for about 35-45 days. Flowering was earliest in Thrissur (end of January to mid of March) followed by Kottayam and Idukki (end of February to end of March) and Pathanamthitta (mid of March to end of April). Within Pathanamthitta two distinct flowering periods were observed one typical of the plain (end of March to end of April) and of the hilly reach (May). This clearly throws light on the requirement to satisfy a defined hours of sunlight and growing degree days. Though the relationship has not been worked out it is amply clear that places which had received higher temperature came to flowering earlier
and the gradation in reduction of mean temperature was correspondingly matched with more time taken for onset of flowering and consequent maturity and ripening.

With regard to flower composition of panicle, rambutan trees were categorized into three types namely trees with only true male flowers (TM) (Col. 003 and Col.011), trees having only hermaphroditic female flowers (HF) and trees with both HF flowers and hermaphroditic functionally male flowers (HM) (Col.004) which proved that rambutan is an androdioecious with separate male and hermaphrodite trees. This observations corroborate the classification suggested by Valmayor et al. (1970). All the flowers were born on terminal cymose inflorescences. Most of the collections (97per cent) were born with only HF flowers which in turn set fruits. Cultivars have been selected for their high percentage of HF flowers and low percentage of HM flowers. The percentage of HM flowers produced is as low as $0.05-0.90$ per cent of the total flowers (Almeyda et al., 1979; Chin and Phoon, 1982; Tindall, 1994; Nakasone and Paull, 1998). On panicles having both HF and HM flowers, HM flowers usually open first and are found in highest frequency during the first three weeks of anthesis, but as anthesis progresses nearly all of the remaining flowers are HF flowers (Kawabata et al., 2005).

Anthers of male flowers release pollen that dispersed by both insects and wind and pollinate trees bearing HF flowers. The HF flowers have an ovary and stigma, but their anthers do not dehisce to release the well developed pollen grains whereas HM anthers shed pollen during anthesis. Thus the HM and true male flowers are the real pollen sources during pollination for fruit set (Ravishankar and Sakthivel, 2014). Tindall (1994) recommended to plant one male tree for every ten trees for getting adequate fruit set in cultivars which lack staminate flowers. But the orchards monocropped with HF collections reported viable fruit set and yield without the presence of any adjacent male trees which pave the way to overlook the recommendation of Tindall 1994 that for adequate fruit set there should be a pollenizer (male tree) for every ten trees.

Three types of inflorescences were observed namely pyramidal (73per cent), conical (14per cent) and obtriangular (13per cent). The male trees, Col. 003 and Col. 011 exhibited conical and obtriangular type inflorescence respectively. These male trees will be useful in further studies on the effect of pollenizers on yield and quality of fruits in rambutan.

### 5.1.2 Fruit and quality attributes

Fruit development begins shortly after anthesis. In rambutan although 2 ovaries are present on a female flower, one ovary aborts leaving the other to develop into a normal fruit. Occasionally, both ovaries develop and two fruits mature on the same peduncle. Rambutan fruit are green when immature and eventually turn red or yellow which is cultivar dependent (Kawabata et al., 2005). Our observations are in harmony with this. Fruiting and harvesting were more a reflection of the flowering period. Flowering to fruit maturity took about 90-120 days. Plants came to harvest in May in Thrissur, in June in Kottayam, in June-early July in plains of Pathanamthitta and in August - September in hills of Pathanamthitta. The study opens out a new area on the availability of fresh fruits of rambutan. The fruits are available from May-July (if cultivated in the humid tropical plains as in Thrissur, Kottayam and Pathanamtitta), and from August-September (if cultivated in hilly tracts of Pathanamthitta) thus making the availability of fresh fruits for nearly half period of a calendar year. Further study is required to confirm the influence of prevailing climatic factors on different phenological growth stages (crop weather relations of rambutan) and also to verify whether fruiting period can be extended to still later periods if it is grown in much more higher altitudes of Idukki, Wayanad and Pathanamthitta districts.

Percentage fruit set ranged from 12 per cent to 35 per cent with the highest fruit set in Col. 099 and lowest in Col.033, Col. 071 and Col. 074 respectively. These records are on par with that of Tindall (1994) that the intial fruit set may reach 25 per cent but a high level of abortion contributes to a much lower level of production at harvest ( $1-3$ per cent).

Reports say that rambutan fruit shape varies from roundish to oval, $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $4-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ wide, pericarp soft with many abundant hairy growths, which changes its colour from green to red on ripening (Kothagoda and Rao, 2012). Rambutan fruits are green when immature and eventually turn red or yellow which is cultivar dependent (van Welzen and Verheij, 1991). In our studies, more than half of the collections (57.1 4per cent) came under the shape oblong. This particular aspect can be traced back to the point from where it spread to India. Undoubtedly the earliest introduced materials were from South East Asiatic countries which is proven (Hiranpradit et al., 1992). They recorded this fruit shape as a characteristic feature of most of the Asiatic cultivars. Some collections (29.59per cent) came under the shape globose and 13.27 per cent with the shape ovoid. Fruit length varied between 3.5 cm to 7.5 cm with the highest value in Col. 052 and the lowest value in Col. 012 and the fruit diameter ranged from 2 cm to 4.2 cm . The highest fruit diameter was observed in Col. 047 and the lowest in Col.041. The rind thickness varied between 2 mm and 7 mm with the highest value in Col. 037 and lowest value in Col.046. The collections with a rind thickness of 5 mm or above (24.4 9per cent of the collections) can be categorised as thick and those with less than 5 mm in the category thin (IPGRI, 2003).

Spine length varied between 6 mm to 22 mm . The maximum spine length was noticed in Col. 034 and minimum in Col.006. Most of the collections ( 82.65 per cent) possessed soft textured spines whereas 17.35 per cent of the collections exhibited stiff spines. The density of spine in a $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ area varied between 12 to 45 with the highest density in Col. 030 and the lowest in Col.052. The spine density of above 30 can be grouped as dense ( 34.7 per cent), 20-30 (50per cent) as medium and less than 20 (15.31 per cent) as sparse (IPGRI, 2003). With regard to spine colour, the predominant spine colour observed was red with light green tip ( 79.59 per cent) followed by red ( 7.14 per cent), yellow (4.08per cent), light green (4.08), light green with pink base ( 4.08 per cent) and pink with light green tip ( 1.02 per cent).

The collections varied in rind colour from light greenish yellow (5. 1per cent) to pale yellow (11.2 2per cent) to strong yellowish pink (14.29per cent), from strong reddish orange ( 31.63 per cent) to strong red ( 17.35 per cent) to vivid red (12.2 4per cent) and from dark red ( 3.06 per cent) to deep purplish red ( 5.1 per cent) when described with UCL. (Col.020, Col.061) to strong red (Col.015, Col.016, Col.052, Col.053, Col.096) and from strong reddish orange (Col.021) to pale yellow (Col.023, Col.042).

The individual fruit weight reported for rambutan in the studies of Paul and Chin (1987) was 20 to 60 g . According to Codex Standard, a size code of 1 is given for fruits weighing above 43 g (Codex Alimentarious, 2008). However, the international market suggests fruits weighing more than 30 g for the category 'extra class' (Nader, 2009). The highest maximum fruit weight obtained from Mexican selections was 34.7 g (Arenas et al., 2010) and a highest fruit weight of 42.38 g was observed in IE 20 among the hybrids studied in Thailand (Aifaa et al., 2013). Our results show that Kerala selections not only compare favourably with these references but even excelled over the prevailing varieties. Among the selections studied from Kerala state, thirty eight collections (Col.007, Col.014, Col.019, Col.020,Col.021,Col.022,Col.023,Col.025,Col.027,Col.028,Col.033,Col.034,Col. 039,Col.043,Col.052,Col.053,Col.054,Col.055,Col.056,Col.057,Col.059,Col.061, Col.063,Col.064,Col.065,Col.066,Col.068,Col.069,Col.070,Col.072,Col.074,Col. 076,Col.081Col.083,Col.085,Col.086, Col. 093 and Col.096) weighed above 30 g and hence could be classified in 'extra class'. With regard to the individual fruit weight highest fruit weight of 61.5 g was recorded in Col. 052 and the lowest fruit weight of 12 g was observed in Col. 012 and Col.013. The collections that weighed 43 g and above (Col.052, Col.021, Col.027, Col.023, Col.022, Col.053, Col. 055 and Col.086) fell under the category I of the Codex Alimentarious.

Aril weight, the character of paramount importance and the economic part varied from 2.2 to 21 g with the highest in Col. 052 and lowest in Col. 008 and aril thickness from 3 to 10 mm . The largest edible portion (aril percentage) was found in Col. 072 (5 2per cent), while Col. 008 had the lowest percentage of aril. A comparison with some of the internationally accepted varieties revealed that some
of the Mexican selections have 40.2 to 41.4 per cent edible portion (Arenas et al., 2010), some others in Brazil have 18 to 50per cent (Andrade et al., 2008), the best known cultivar of Thailand, 'Rong Rien' has an aril that makes up to 30 to 50per cent of the entire fruit (Paull and Chen, 1987) and 'Amarillo' the first Philippine yellow variety of rambutan recorded relatively large edible portion (60.7per cent) (Magdalita and Valencia, 2009). Thus the selections made by us are equally if not more superior than the improved available varieties. Vanderlinden et al. (2004) revealed that fruit weight, spintern appearance and colour, as well as fruit diameter and aril to fruit weight are the indicators for identifying fruit quality which are modified by environment and management practices.

With regard to aril juiciness, a desirable character for processing industry, 8.16per cent of the collections produced fruits which could be characterized as very juicy types, 47.99 per cent were juicy type and 43.88 per cent were non-juicy type. Free seed aril was found in 19.39 per cent of the collections, while the attachment was medium in 16.33per cent of the collections which all are preferred from the consumer point of view, export trade and in processing industry (Landrigan et al., 1996).

According to Kader (2006) the most preferable quality attributes for fresh rambutan fruits are $16^{\circ}$ Brix, low acidity ( 0.3 per cent) and Vitamin C content of $70 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ of flesh. In selections from Brazil, the total soluble solids ranged from $8.0^{\circ}$ Brix to $19.5^{\circ}$ Brix (Andrade et al., 2008). In our study, about half of the collections (48.97per cent) had a total soluble solids content of above $20^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}$. The collections that showed TSS above $25^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}$ (Col. 015 with $26^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}$ and Col. 061 with $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix) can be classified under the category 'very sweet'. The titrable acidity in our collections ( 0.12 to 1.4 per cent) is higher than acidity found in 'Rong Rien'(0.25-0.29per cent) (Ketsa and Klaewkasetkorn, 1992). The highest acidity of 1.4 per cent was recorded in Col. 020 but had an acid sweet taste because both total sugar and acidity were equally high. Total sugar ranged from 13 to 18.4 per cent and ascorbic acid from 26.2 to $45.45 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$. All the collections recorded a meagre content of total carotenoids which ranged from 0.011 to $0.036 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$. Col. 020 ,

Col. 035 and Col. 089 recorded the highest value of $0.036 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ whereas Col. 080 and Col. 099 recorded the lowest value of $0.011 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$.

The comparative studies of rambutan collections of Kerala with the existing standards and popular varieties in the world revealed that Kerala selections possess improved traits which are not only comparable with the best known cultivars in the world, but even exhibit superiority over them (Table 22).

The principal component analysis performed with twenty six quantitative traits of fruit revealed eight significant principal components which accounted for 75.3 per cent of the total divergence among the collections with Eigen value $>1$. The first principal component (PC1) explained 25.6per cent of the total divergence and was determined by aril weight, fruit weight and aril thickness. The second component (PC2) contributed 14per cent of the total variance and was correlated with titratable acidity, total soluble solids, reducing sugar and total sugar. The third component (PC3) explained 9per cent of the total divergence and was composed of characters such as seed weight, seed width, seed to fruit ratio and seed to aril ratio. The fourth component (PC4) which accounted for 7.4 per cent of the total variance, was contributed by characters such as aril to fruit ratio, spine length and titratable acidity. The fifth component (PC5) contributed 5.7per cent of the total divergence and was correlated with characters such as total carotenoids, percentage fruit set, number of fruits per bunch and fruit length. The sixth component (PC6) exhibited 4.8per cent of the total variation and was associated with spine density, length of fruit bunch and total carotenoids. The seventh component (PC7) contributed 4.6 per cent of the total variation, which was determined by characters such as shelf life, spine length, percentage fruit set and spine density. The eighth component (PC8) described 4.1 per cent of the total divergence, which was mainly contributed by length of fruit bunch and rind thickness. There is no reported work of this kind in rambutan or for that matter on other Sapindaceous fruits.

Shelf life of all the collections until peel browning varied from 3 to 4 days under ambient conditions. Majority of the collections (79.59per cent) had a shelf life of 4 days. According to Landrigan et al. (1994) rambutan fruit lose visual quality rapidly as a consequence of rapid desiccation and browning of the

| Quality standards/cultivars | Quality attributes | References | Kerala collections |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Export <br> specifications | Extra class (fruit weight $>30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) | (Landrigen et al., 1996 ; <br> Kader, 2001) | Fruit weight $\geq 30 \mathrm{~g}: 38$ collections | $10$ <br> collections |
|  | TSS $\geq 16^{\circ}$ Brix |  | TSS $\geq 16^{\circ}$ Brix : 84 collections |  |
|  | Free stone aril |  | 19 collections |  |
| Codex Standard | Category l (fruit weight $>43 \mathrm{~g}$ ) | (Codex Alimentarious, 2008) | Fruit weight $>43 \mathrm{~g}: 8$ collections |  |
| Thailand varieties |  |  |  |  |
| Rong-rien | Extra class (fruit weight $\geq 40 \mathrm{~g}$ ) | (Hiranpradit et al., 1992) | Fruit weight $\geq 40 \mathrm{~g}: 14$ collections |  |
| See-chompoo | Extra class (fruit weight $\geq 35 \mathrm{~g}$ ) |  | Fruit weight $\geq 35 \mathrm{~g}: 20$ collections |  |
| Thailand hybrid (IE 20) | Maximum fruit weight- 43 g | (Aifaa et al., 2013) | Fruit weight $>43 \mathrm{~g}: 8$ collections |  |
| Mexican selections | Maximum fruit weight -35 g | (Arenas et al., 2010) | Fruit weight $\geq 35 \mathrm{~g}$ : 20 collections |  |
| IIHR Selections |  |  |  |  |
| Arka Coorg Arun | Fruit weight : 45 g , Aril percentage : $42 \%$, TSS : $17.5^{\circ}$ Brix | (Kumar et al., 2016) | Fruit weight $\geq 45 \mathrm{~g}: 6$ collections <br> Aril percentage $\geq 42 \%: 15$ collections <br> TSS $\geq 20^{\circ}$ Brix : 48 collections |  |
| Arka Coorg Patib | ```Fruit weight : 30 g, Aril percentage : 30% TSS : 18.5 Brix``` |  |  | $0$ |

spinterns,. Browning of the spinterns usually occur within 4-5 days after harvest at ambient temperature and relative humidity. Browning of the rind and spinterns are the major factors affecting the shelf life of rambutan which result in weight loss during storage and transportation. The major cause of browning is water loss (Landrigan et al., 1996; Nathiwatthana, 1981; Mendoza et al., 1972; Wells and Bagshaw, 1989). Spintern browning was more strongly correlated with weight loss than rind browning.

According to Landrigan et al. (1996) and Kader (2001) collections having a spine length of more than 1 cm are not preferred for export. But later, Yingsanga et al. (2006) found that the rate of water loss from rambutan fruits is greatly affected by the stomatal density rather than the number and length of spinterns. In this study no correlation was recorded between spine length and shelf life. In this context, the quality specifications suggested by Landrigan et al. (1996) and Kader (2001) also necessarily needs to be reviewed.

### 5.2 Correlation studies

The association of various qualitative traits were studied by Spearman correlation coefficient which provided the information on the nature and relationship among various traits. The collections having soft textured aril exhibited very strong adherence of aril to seed whereas the collections having crispy aril were of free seed aril as indicated by the high negative genotypic correlation between aril texture and aril adherence $(-0.713)$. The taste sweetness found to tend towards soft textured ( 0.411 ) and creamy white aril ( 0.314 ), but moved away from free seed aril (-0.318). The studies of Hiranpradit et al. (1992) confirmed that aril colour, flavour and texture are not associated with fruit weight as observed in this study.

Correlation studies of quantitative variables by Pearson coefficient revealed significant positive correlations between rachis length and spine length (0.200), petiole length and seed width (0.261), leaflet width and fruit length (0.202) and percentage fruit set and number of fruits per bunch (0.331).

The loading plot based on principal components of tree characters explained positive correlation between rachis length and leaflet width; leaflet width and leaflet length; tree age and number of leaflets per leaf as indicated by the small acute angles between their vectors $(\mathrm{r}=\cos 0=+1)$. It was also observed a near zero correlation between leaflet width and number of leaflets per leaf as indicated by mutually near perpendicular vectors $(\mathrm{r}=\cos 90=0)$ and a negative correlation between leaflet width and length of petiole as indicated by the approximate angle of $180^{\circ}$ between their vectors $(\mathrm{r}=\cos 180=-1)$

Fruit weight expressed high significant positive correlations with aril weight $(0.864)$, rind weight ( 0.837 ), seed length ( 0.699 ), fruit length $(0.694)$, fruit diameter $(0.636)$, aril thickness $(0.627)$, seed weight ( 0.449 ) and rind thickness $(0.435)$. These results confirms the findings of Hiranpradit et al. (1992) that fruit size (width, length, thickness), rind weight and thickness, aril weight and thickness and seed weight are highly associated with fruit weight.

Aril weight had got high significant positive correlations with fruit weight $(0.864)$, aril thickness $(0.728)$, aril to fruit ratio ( 0.638 ) and seed length $(0.530)$. On the other hand, it was negatively correlated with seed to aril ratio $(-0.630)$, seed to fruit ratio $(-0.628)$ and age of the tree $(-0.297)$.

Spine length showed significant positive correlation with aril weight $(0.341)$, aril thickness $(0.303)$, seed length $(0.281)$, seed weight $(0.252)$ and rind weight ( 0.222 ) whereas it had significant negative correlation with petiole length ($0.255)$.

It was observed that total soluble solids had got very high significant positive correlations with total sugar ( 0.946 ), reducing sugar ( 0.937 ) and nonreducing sugar ( 0.812 ) whereas there was no correlation among TSS, ascorbic acid and total carotenoids as confirmed by Wall et al. (2006). The loading plot drawn with first two principal components of fruit and quality characters also showed strong positive associations between reducing sugar, total soluble solids, total sugar and non-reducing sugar; fruit weight, fruit diameter, rind weight, spine length, aril
weight and aril thickness; seed weight, seed length and seed width as indicated by the acute angles between their vectors. The angle of approximate $180^{\circ}$ between the vectors revealed a negative correlation between acidity and TSS

### 5.3 Path coefficient analysis

The negligible residual effect $(0.023)$ indicates that almost all characters which contribute to fruit weight were considered in the study. The highest positive direct genotypic effect on fruit weight was exhibited by aril weight ( 0.546 ) and its correlation with fruit weight was also positive ( 0.864 ) which reveals true relationship between them and direct selection for this trait will be rewarding for fruit weight improvement.

Seed weight showed high and positive direct effect on fruit weight (0.339) and its correlation with fruit weight was also positive ( 0.449 ). The negative direct effects of percentage fruit set $(-0.033)$ and seed width $(-0.059)$ on fruit weight were negligible.

In addition, number of fruits per bunch (0.007), fruit length ( 0.061 ), fruit diameter ( 0.02 ), rind thickness $(0.037)$, rind weight $(0.027)$, spine length $(0.005)$, aril thickness $(0.05)$ and seed length (0.014) showed negligible positive and direct effects on fruit weight.

Aril weight showed moderate positive and indirect effect on fruit weight $(0.275)$ through the negligible and positive direct effect of fruit length (0.061) and expressed high positive and indirect effect on fruit weight ( 0.377 ) through the negligible positive and direct effect of fruit diameter ( 0.020 ) .

Aril weight $(0.144)$ got low positive and indirect effect on fruit weight through the negligible positive and direct effect of rind thickness (0.037) and expressed high positive and indirect effect on fruit weight (0.392) through the negligible positive and direct effect of rind weight (0.027). The indirect effect of seed weight ( 0.111 ) through the negligible positive and direct effect of rind weight ( 0.027 ) was low and positive. Also aril weight $(0.186)$ exhibited low positive and
indirect effect on fruit weight through the negligible positive and direct effect of spine length (0.005).

### 5.4 Cluster analysis

Clustering of all the thirty seven elite selections of rambutan based on qualitative traits of tree and fruit using dendrogram gave rise to ten distinct clusters at the similarity coefficient status of 60 per cent and at about 45 per cent similarity all the collections were united indicating that the genetic distance among them is small as observed by Andrade et al. (2009) while characterizing rambutan plants by foliar aspects. This can be due to the fact that though basically heterozygous the early introductions to the state were from a narrow small area as the full crossing that occurred in the early introductions were confined to a narrow small population

### 5.4.1 Clustering of elite selections of rambutan

Clustering of elite selections of rambutan (37 collections) based on the first two principal components formed ten major distinct clusters. The score plot indicated that cluster I (Col.061), II (Col.020 and Col.018) and III (Col.042, Col.072, Col.033, Col.028, Col.073, Col.055, Col.027, Col.023, Col.086, Col.022, Col. 021 and Col.019) positioned at the first quadrant ( +ve side of PCI and +ve side of PC2) were exhibited their superiority over other clusters. Hence they can be categorized as the super elite among the collections. Col. 052 ( 61.5 g ) and Col. 053 $(45 \mathrm{~g})$ located in the fourth quadrant and fell under the category 1 of the Codex Alimentarious (2008) with free seed aril can also be considered as superior among the elite selections. The importance of the first three groups is that they were superior in almost all the improved traits.

### 5.4.2 Clustering of rambutan collections of export quality

The score plot constructed based on PCI and PC2 for the clustering of rambutan collections of export quality ( 20 collections) revealed that clusters I (Col.074, Col.068, Col.034, Col.069) and cluster II (Col. 063 and Col.53) possessed the best export attributes compared to all other clusters. In addition, clusters VI
(Col.027), VII (Col.022, Col. 023 and Col.055) and VIII (Col.021) which exhibited preferred qualities such as fruit weight above 43 g and compare favourably with the superior category suggested by Codex Alimentarious (2008) with better TSS of above $20^{\circ}$ Brix and free seed aril were also taken or could be classed as superior export types. Cluster IV (Col.061) satisfied all the export attributes with the highest TSS of $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix except the adherence of aril which was found to be medium and Cluster IX containing only Col. 052 showed its superiority over other collections with a distinctive fruit weight of 61.5 g and free seed aril but lagged behind other clusters with regard to $\operatorname{TSS}\left(15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{Brix}\right)$ and was slightly short of basic requirement of $16^{\circ}$ Brix. Hence, the farmer who wants to grow export quality rambutan can select from a wide array of collections made available from the study.

### 5.4.3 Clustering of rambutan collections having promise for processing attributes

With regard to processing attributes, clusters I (Col.061), II (Col.098), III (Col.042) and IV (Col.018, Col.096, Col.084, Col. 086 and Col.028) exhibited the best values compared to other clusters as indicated by their position in the score plot (first quadrant). Besides these, clusters VIII (Col.052) and X (Col.019, Col.021, Col.023, Col.027, Col.033, Col.055, Col. 072 and Col.073) also possessed favourable processing attributes and hence could be categorized as superior among the selections of processing/industrial use. Thus, again the study presents another distinct group of improved selections that favourably combines all the important traits and meets the requirement of the processing industry.

From the above clustering of each category of rambutan selections, an attempt was made to classify a 'super group' that can be grouped as elite and fulfills the requirements of an export class and also satisfies the needs of a processing industry. Six collections (Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.052, Col. 055 and Col.061) came under all the three categories which can be recommended as elite for commercial cultivation, export purpose and for industrial use.


Plate 27. Selected collections of rambutan which fell under all the three categories viz, elite, export and industrial use groups.

The quality profile of these selected collections of rambutan is presented in Fig. 20.

### 5.5 Selection criteria for rambutan

Six collections, namely Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.052, Col. 055 and Col. 061 possessing most optimal properties with respect to the major fruit characters; fruit weight, aril weight, percentage aril, percentage seed, juiciness, total soluble solids, total sugar and acidity were selected towards developing a selection criteria for rambutan. The characteristics ranged as $32.23 \mathrm{~g}-61.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{~g}-21 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%-$ $44 \%, 5 \%-9 \%, 32.07 \%-88.33 \%, 15.5^{\circ}$ Brix- $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix, $13.57 \%-18.41 \%$ and $0.51 \%-$ $0.83 \%$ in order of fruit weight, aril weight, percentage aril, percentage seed, juiciness, total soluble solids, total sugar and acidity respectively (Fig. 21 and Fig. 22). A tree possessing above optimal characteristics may be hypothesized as having all the maximal values and can be called as 'ideotype'. It is identified as possessing a fruit weight of 61.5 g ; aril weight of 21 g ; percentage aril of $44 \%$; percentage seed of $5 \%$; juiciness of $88.33 \%$; TSS of $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix; total sugar of $18.41 \%$ and an acidity of $0.51 \%$. A tree possessing above mid values computed from the range as fruit weight- 46.865 g ; aril weight- 16.5 g ; percentage aril- $39 \%$; percentage seed- $7 \%$; juiciness- $60.2 \%$; TSS $-21.5^{\circ}$ Brix; total sugar- $15.99 \%$ and acidity- $0.67 \%$ can be labelled as 'super elite'. Further, the base values for all the attributes are identified from the minimal values as fruit weight- 32.23 g ; aril weight- 12 g ; percentage aril$34 \%$; percentage seed- $9 \%$; juiciness- $32.07 \%$; TSS- $15.5^{\circ}$ Brix; total sugar$13.57 \%$; acidity- $0.83 \%$ and the trees possessing these minimal values can be labelled as 'elite'. Thus we are giving a directional principle to the breeders from the maximum potential realised from this study that what should be an ideotype, super elite and elite type.

### 5.6 Sensory evaluation

All the collections were scored for different attributes like appearance, colour, flavour, taste, texture, aril juiciness, adherence of aril to seed and overall acceptability on 9 point hedonic scale. The fundamental attributes of fruit quality
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Fig. 20. Quality profile of selected collections of rambutan


| Fruit weight (g) | \% aril | \% seed | Juiciness (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSS } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right. \text { Brix) } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> sugar <br> (\%) | Acidity (\%) | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61.50 | 44 | 5 | 88.33 | 27.50 | 18.41 | 0.51 | Ideotype <br> (no collections) |
| 46.87 | 39 | 7 | 60.20 | 21.50 | 15.99 | 0.67 | Super elite type (no collections) |
| 32.23 | 34 | 9 | 32.07 | 15.50 | 13.57 | 0.83 | Elite type <br> ( 13 collections) |

Col.019, Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.027, Col.028, Col.033, Col.052, Col.055, Col.056, Col.061, Col.086, Col.096
Fig.22. Selection criteria for rambutan
from the consumer point of view are its visual aspects such as appearance, size, colour, texture, firmness and absence of defects, flavour, juiciness, poor attachment of aril to seed and nutrient content (Kader, 2001)

Col.061, Col. 021 and Col. 042 were most preferred/accepted by panellists because of their better fruit weight, taste, juiciness and easy detachment of aril from seed. The sensory profile of most preferred rambutan collections (Col.061, Col.021, Col.042, Col. 033 and Col. 018 ) is presented in Fig. 23.

### 5.7 Pests and diseases incidence

An attempt was also made to study the incidence of pests and diseases. The major pests observed during the course of the study were fruit borer (Conogethes (Dichocrocis) punctiferalis), fruit webber (Eublemma anguilifera), leaf folder (Thalassodes quadraria) and mealy bug (Planococcus citri). Besides these, other pests of minor importance like fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis), armored scale of unknown species, lobster caterpillar (Neostauropus alternus) etc have also been observed during the study. Among these pests, leaf folder, fruit borer, fruit webber and lobster caterpillar have not yet been reported in rambutan in India and this is the first report of all the four pests in rambutan from India. Osman and Chettanchitara (1987) reported the incidence of Conogethes punctiferalis and armoured scale in other Asiatic countries and the incidence of pests like mealy bug (Planococcus citri), red borer (Zeuzera sp.), bostrichid beetle (Sinoxylon sp.) and fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis and B. caryeaea) have been reported from different rambutan growing tracts of South India (Mala et al., 2015).

Fruit rot or anthracnose caused by Colletotrichum gloeosporioides is an important postharvest disease of rambutan worldwide particularly in the high rainfall regions which was observed in both harvested fruit and ripening fruit in the field. Symptoms appear as circular, dark brown to black lesions on the fruit rind and thus make unfit or unmarketable (O’Hare, 1995).

Fruit splitting was also observed in few collections during our study as observed in litchi. There are many factors that influence fruit cracking such as
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Fig. 23. Sensory profile of most preferred rambutan collections
genectic, morphological environmental and physiological factors (Khadivi-Khub, 2015). Heavy rain or sudden uptake of water during the last stages of fruit development can causes the pulp to expand at a faster rate than the skin, resulting in skin rupture (Lam and Tongumpai, 1987). According to Mandal and Mitra (2014), fruit pressure at maturity and tubercle density were found to be higher in cracked fruits.

Our studies revealed that fruit borer, fruit webber and fruit splitting are the new threats in rambutan cultivation in Kerala. Almost all the trees observed had incidence of mealy bug, leaf folder and fruit rot. Considering the extent of damage and yield loss, fruit borer and fruit webber stand as pests of major importance. The disease or pest incidence severity can be expressed in percentage disease severity index (PDS) by scoring each pest and disease. This study shows that there is an urgent need to standardize the management strategies for controlling pests and diseases as they have invaded the major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala for which an effective study is recommended.

## Summary

## 6. Summary

The experiment on "Variability studies in rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.)" was conducted in major rambutan growing tracts of Kerala viz., Pathanamthitta, Kottayam, Thrissur and Idukki from December, 2014 to April, 2016.

The study was conducted with the prime objectives of studying the existing variability of rambutan types grown in Kerala in order to select and conserve the elite/promising types and thus to serve as a basis for promotion of cultivation of this exotic fruit crop in Kerala.

The salient findings and important conclusions drawn out from the investigation are summarized hereunder.

- Wide variability was observed among the rambutan collections of Kerala with regard to both morpho-physical and biochemical traits because of the natural cross pollination and seed propagation which was in practice. This variability can serve as a basis for future crop improvement programme in rambutan.
- Phenological growth stages of rambutan were characterized according to the BBCH (Biologische Bundesansalt Bundessortenamt und Chemische industrie) scale in to seven principal growth stages starting from vegetative bud development followed by leaf development, shoot development, inflorescence emergence, flowering, fruit development and ending with fruit maturity and in to respective secondary growth stages.
- Study on phenological growth stages of rambutan in different locations of Kerala revealed that flowering and fruiting were characters more observed to be a function of the location. The variability in flowering season and subsequent harvesting season in each location shows that there is possibility of staggered fruit production of rambutan in Kerala. The fruits are available from May to October (if cultivated in the humid tropical plains as in Thrissur, Kottayam and Pathanamthitta as well as in the humid sub-tropical
belts as in the high ranges of Wayanad), thus making the availability of fresh fruits for nearly half period of a calendar year.
- The collections having soft textured aril exhibited very strong adherence of aril to seed whereas the collections having crispy aril were of free stone aril. The taste sweetness found to tend towards soft textured and creamy white aril, but moved away from free seed aril.
- The principal component analysis performed based on tree characters revealed that the first three components accounted for 58.80 per cent of the total variation and was correlated with the traits such as leaflet length, leaflet width, petiole length and rachis length.
- The use of morphological characters of tree including foliar aspects is efficient in cataloguing the genetic divergence between rambutan plants, but visual distinction is not possible, since no outstanding characteristic exists.
- The loading plot based on tree characters revealed significant positive correlations between rachis length and leaflet width; leaflet width and leaflet length and between tree age and number of leaflets per leaf. A zero correlation was observed between leaflet width and number of leaflets per leaf and a negative correlation between petiole length and leaflet width.
- The principal component analysis based on fruit characters inferred that the first eight principal components of data accounted for 75.30 per cent of the total variation and was mainly determined by characters such as fruit weight, aril weight, aril thickness, TSS and sugar content.
- Strong positive associations were observed between TSS and sugar content and among fruit weight, fruit diameter, rind weight, aril weight and aril thickness whereas, a significant negative correlation was observed between titrable acidity and TSS.
- Rind colour of rambutan was described by using UCL and categorized in to 8 distinct colour groups namely light greenish yellow, pale yellow, strong yellowish pink, strong reddish orange, strong red, vivid red, dark red and deep purplish red.
- Six types of spintern colour was recorded among the rambutan collections namely red, red with light green tip, yellow, light green, light green with pink base and pink with light green tip.
- Clustering of rambutan collections using dendrogram based on qualitative tree and fruit characters showed that there exists 40 per cent similarity ( 60 per cent variation) among the collections
- Clustering of rambuatn collections of different categories namely elite, export and industrial use by using first two principal components confirmed the superiority of six collections (Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.052, Col. 55 and Col. 061 ) over others which were selected further for developing a selection criteria in rambutan.
- A comparison with the existing quality standards and popular varieties revealed that Kerala collections of rambutan are not only comparable with the prevailing standards/ varieties, but even excelled them.
- The minimal, mid and maximal values of selected six collections of rambutan were taken for redefining the existing standards and categorized as elite, super elite and ideotype respectively.
- Col.061, Col. 021 and Col. 042 were most preferred/accepted by panellists in the sensory evaluation because of their better fruit weight, taste, juiciness and easy detachment of aril from seed.
- Incidence of pests like leaf folder (Thalassodes quadrarifolia), fruit borer (Conogethes punctiferalis), fruit webber (Eublemma angulifera) and lobster caterpillar (Neostauropus alternus) and a physiological disorder fruit splitting was observed during the study and being reported for the first time in rambutan from India.
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## APPENDIX - I

Meteorological data during the period of study (Dec. 2014 - April. 2016)

| Month | Maximum temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Minimum temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Relative humidity (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rainfall } \\ & \text { (mm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wayanad district |  |  |  |  |
| December'14 | 27.47 | 16.6 | 81.32 | 15 |
| January'15 | 27.34 | 16.1 | 83.31 | 0.4 |
| February'15 | 27.65 | 16.23 | 78.23 | 0.00 |
| March'15 | 27.5 | 17.1 | 79.21 | 2.2 |
| April'15 | 31.00 | 19.38 | 77.67 | 187.2 |
| May'15 | 29.81 | 19.24 | 78.54 | 175.0 |
| June'15 | 24.08 | 18.17 | 83.61 | 490.0 |
| July'15 | 23.81 | 18.57 | 80.14 | 180.0 |
| August'15 | 25.50 | 18.44 | 81.28 | 128.4 |
| September'15 | 26.03 | 17.61 | 79.32 | 176.0 |
| October'15 | 26.60 | 18.12 | 79.26 | 122.2 |
| November'15 | 26.86 | 18.14 | 81.12 | 106.0 |
| December'15 | 26.40 | 18.20 | 80.43 | 59.8 |
| January'16 | 26.56 | 18.31 | 80.13 | 0.00 |
| February'16 | 27.43 | 18.23 | 79.13 | 0.00 |
| March'16 | 28.21 | 18.30 | 77.71 | 0.00 |
| April'16 | 28.81 | 18.13 | 78.12 | 0.00 |
| Thrissur district |  |  |  |  |
| December'14 | 31.9 | 23.2 | 65 | 151.2 |
| January'15 | 32.5 | 22.1 | 58 | 0 |
| February'15 | 34.3 | 23.0 | 55 | 0 |
| March'15 | 35.8 | 24.9 | 63 | 72 |
| April'15 | 34.0 | 24.6 | 77 | 162.2 |
| May'15 | 32.9 | 24.7 | 80 | 259.0 |
| June'15 | 31.0 | 23.7 | 85 | 629.8 |
| July'15 | 30.3 | 23.5 | 85 | 510.1 |
| August'15 | 29.5 | 23.7 | 83 | 320.8 |
| September'15 | 31.3 | 23.7 | 81 | 248.2 |
| October'15 | 31.9 | 24.1 | 79 | 203.8 |
| November'15 | 31.6 | 23.8 | 75 | 151.2 |
| December'15 | 31.9 | 23.3 | 65 | 88.3 |
| January'16 | 33.2 | 23.0 | 56 | 23.8 |
| February'16 | 35.3 | 23.5 | 57 | 11.4 |
| March'16 | 36.3 | 25.2 | 67 | 9.8 |
| April'16 | 35.8 | 26.2 | 69 | 25.8 |


| Month | Maximum <br> temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Minimum <br> temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Rainfall <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kottayam district |  |  |  |
| January'15 | 33 | 23 | 4 |
| February'15 | 34 | 23 | 8 |
| March'15 | 34 | 24 | 7 |
| April'15 | 34 | 25 | 12 |
| May'15 | 32 | 25 | 12 |
| June'15 | 28 | 24 | 191 |
| July'15 | 27 | 24 | 144 |
| August'15 | 28 | 24 | 81 |
| September'15 | 29 | 24 | 54 |
| October'15 | 30 | 24 | 60 |
| November'15 | 31 | 23 | 48 |
| December'15 | 32 | 23 | 16 |
| Pathanamthitta district |  |  |  |
| January'15 | 32 | 22 | 5 |
| February'15 | 34 | 22 | 8 |
| March'15 | 35 | 24 | 11 |
| April'15 | 34 | 25 | 18 |
| May'15 | 32 | 25 | 59 |
| June'15 | 28 | 24 | 117 |
| July'15 | 28 | 24 | 98 |
| August'15 | 28 | 24 | 71 |
| September'15 | 29 | 24 | 71 |
| October'15 | 30 | 24 | 111 |
| November'15 | 30 | 24 | 94 |
| December'15 | 31 | 23 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX - II

Score card for sensory evaluation of rambutan collections

## Name of the judge:

## Date:

| Characteristics | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 4 | Sample 5 | Sample 6 | Sample 7 |
| Appearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flavour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juiciness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adherence of <br> aril to seed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall <br> acceptability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 point Hedonic scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Like extremely | 9 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Like very much | 8 |
| Like moderately | 7 |
| Like slightly | 6 |
| Neither like nor dislike | 5 |
| Dislike slightly | 3 |
| Dislike moderately | 2 |
| Dislike very much | 1 |
| Dislike extremely |  |

Signature:

Threshold values fixed for each quality attribute


1. Scores obtained for elite selections of rambutan

|  | Fruit weight | Aril <br> taste | Aril texture | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSS } \\ & \left(^{\circ}\right. \\ & \text { Brix) } \end{aligned}$ | Aril to fruit ratio | Seed to fruit ratio | To sco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 022 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 023 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 055 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 021 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 061 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 019 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 027 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 033 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 063 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 072 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 083 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 018 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 020 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 028 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 052 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 053 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 071 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 073 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 074 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 076 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 086 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 096 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 042 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 059 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Col. 040 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 075 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 015 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Col. 031 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. 058 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 067 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 060 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Col. 097 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Col. 006 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Col. 041 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Col. 049 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Col. 087 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Col. 092 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

2. Scores obtained for rambutan selections of export quality

|  |  | Aril juiciness | Attachment of aril to seed | TSS <br> $\mathbf{l}^{\circ}$ <br> Brix $)$ | Titrable acidity(\%) | Total sugar (\%) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 055 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 021 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 023 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 022 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 |
| Col. 027 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 072 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 019 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| $\text { Col. } 033$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 061 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Col. 073 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| Col. 052 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Col. 028 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Col. 096 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| $\text { Col. } 063$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Col. 018 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Col. 042 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| Col. 084 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| $\text { Col. } 086$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| Col. 056 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Col. 098 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Col. 039 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Col. 097 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| $\text { Col. } 081$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Col. 057 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Col. 043 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Col. 045 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Col. 079 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Col. 001 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |

3. Scores obtained for rambutan selections having industrial use

|  | Fruit <br> weight | TSS | Adherence of aril to <br> seed |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Col.019 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Col.021 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Col.022 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Col.023 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Col.027 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Col.033 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Col.034 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.052 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.053 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.055 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Col.061 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Col.063 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.065 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Col.068 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.069 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.072 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Col.074 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Col.076 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Col.083 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Col.096 | 4 | 2 | 2 |

## APPENDIX - IV

Mean value of each attribute and total score obtained for each collection in the sensory evaluation

|  | Appearance | Colour | Flavour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aril } \\ & \text { Taste } \end{aligned}$ | Aril Texture | Aril <br> Juiciness | Adherence of aril to seed | Overall acceptability | Total score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 061 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 60.2 |
| Col. 021 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 59.4 |
| Col. 042 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 56 |
| Col. 033 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 55.8 |
| Col. 018 | 8 | 7.4 | 6 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 55.8 |
| Col. 071 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 55.2 |
| Col. 016 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 55.2 |
| Col. 072 | 6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 7 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7 | 54.2 |
| Col. 063 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 54 |
| Col. 020 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 54 |
| Col. 055 | 5.6 | 7 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 6 | 6.6 | 8 | 6.8 | 53.6 |
| Col. 022 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6 | 7 | 7.2 | 6 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 53.4 |
| Col. 023 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 53.3 |
| Col. 073 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5 | 7 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 53.2 |
| Col. 040 | 7 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7 | 7 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 7 | 53 |
| Col. 015 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 6.6 | 53 |
| Col. 019 | 7 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 52.8 |
| Col. 096 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 52.2 |
| Col. 046 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 52 |
| Col. 098 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 51.8 |
| Col. 059 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7 | 3.8 | 7.2 | 51.8 |
| Col. 065 | 6.4 | 7 | 5 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 6 | 7 | 51.6 |
| Col. 035 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 7 | 7 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 7 | 51.2 |
| Col. 075 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 51.2 |
| Col. 056 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6 | 6.2 | 6 | 7.2 | 4 | 7 | 51 |
| Col. 099 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 4 | 6.4 | 51 |
| Col. 031 | 6.4 | 7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 4 | 6.8 | 50.8 |
| Col. 086 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7 | 6.6 | 4 | 6.6 | 50.8 |
| Col. 034 | 7 | 6.2 | 6 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 4 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 50.6 |
| Col. 064 | 5.6 | 7 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 7.2 | 50.4 |
| Col. 032 | 5.8 | 7 | 6 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 50.2 |
| Col. 027 | 6 | 6.8 | 5 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 50.2 |
| Col. 066 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 6 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 50 |
| Col. 045 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 6 | 49.8 |
| Col. 074 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 49.8 |
| Col. 004 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7 | 7.2 | 3 | 6 | 49.6 |
| Col. 083 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 7 | 49.6 |
| Col. 039 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 6 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 7 | 7.2 | 49.6 |
| Col. 091 | 6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 49.6 |
| Col. 007 | 6 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 49.2 |


|  | Appearance | Colour | Flavour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aril } \\ & \text { Taste } \end{aligned}$ | Aril Texture | Aril <br> Juiciness | Adherence of aril to seed | Overall acceptability | Total score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 085 | 6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 6 | 49 |
| Col. 005 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 49 |
| Col. 060 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 49 |
| Col. 047 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 6 | 48.8 |
| Col. 009 | 6.4 | 6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 4 | 5 | 48.6 |
| Col. 058 | 6.4 | 6 | 5.2 | 7 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 3 | 7.2 | 48.6 |
| Col. 090 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 7 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 3 | 5.6 | 48.6 |
| Col. 048 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 6 | 6.4 | 48.4 |
| Col. 025 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 48.2 |
| Col. 052 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 6 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 48.2 |
| Col. 067 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 48.2 |
| Col. 029 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6 | 6.8 | 7 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 48 |
| Col. 053 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 6 | 5.6 | 4 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 48 |
| Col. 076 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6.4 | 6 | 48 |
| Col. 084 | 6 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 8 | 8 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 48 |
| Col. 028 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 7 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 47.8 |
| Col. 041 | 5.8 | 7 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 6 | 47.6 |
| Col. 077 | 5.8 | 6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 6 | 6.2 | 47.6 |
| Col. 017 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 7 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 47.4 |
| Col. 006 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 47.2 |
| Col. 026 | 5 | 6 | 6.2 | 6 | 6.6 | 8 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 47.2 |
| Col. 093 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 5 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 47.2 |
| Col. 014 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7 | 6 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 47 |
| Col. 062 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 5 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6 | 4 | 6.4 | 47 |
| Col. 087 | 3.8 | 6 | 5 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 47 |
| Col. 057 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 5 | 5.8 | 6 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 47 |
| Col. 078 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 6.4 | 46.8 |
| Col. 092 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5 | 6.4 | 7 | 5.4 | 4 | 6 | 46.6 |
| Col. 002 | 4.2 | 6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 6 | 3.2 | 6 | 46.4 |
| Col. 010 | 4.6 | 6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6 | 4 | 6.6 | 46.4 |
| Col. 024 | 5.4 | 6 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 6 | 6.6 | 46.4 |
| Col. 036 | 6.4 | 7 | 5 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 5 | 3.4 | 6 | 46.4 |
| Col. 051 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 46.4 |
| Col. 069 | 6 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 4.2 | 8 | 4.4 | 46.2 |
| Col. 094 | 6.6 | 6 | 5 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 7 | 6 | 4.6 | 46.2 |
| Col. 054 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6.2 | 46 |
| Col. 097 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 6 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 4 | 6 | 46 |
| Col. 068 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 4 | 7.8 | 6 | 45.8 |
| Col. 081 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 4 | 5.8 | 45.6 |
| Col. 043 | 6.8 | 7 | 5 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 5 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 45.4 |
| Col. 001 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 6 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 6 | 45.4 |
| Col. 089 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 45.4 |
| Col. 050 | 6 | 6.2 | 6 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 3 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 45.4 |
| Col. 079 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5.6 | 3 | 6 | 44.8 |


|  | Appearance | Colour | Flavour | Aril <br> Taste | Aril Texture | Aril Juiciness | Adherence of aril to seed | Overall acceptability | Total score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Col. 044 | $5.8$ | 6.8 | $5.2$ | 5.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 44.6 |
| $\text { Col. } 049$ | 6.4 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 6 | 44.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 070$ | 5 | $6.2$ | $5.2$ | 5.4 | $6.8$ | 4.2 | 7.6 | 3.8 | 44.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 088$ | $5.4$ | $6.2$ | $6.2$ | $5.8$ | $6.8$ | 6 | 2.6 | 5 | 44 |
| $\text { Col. } 080$ | $5.6$ | 6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 6 | 4.4 | 43.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 012$ | $6.2$ | 6 | 5 | $3.8$ | $6.4$ | 6 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 42.8 |
| $\text { Col. } 082$ | 4.4 | 6.2 | 5 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 5 | 7 | 3.8 | 42 |
| $\text { Col. } 037$ | $4.8$ | $6$ | $4.8$ | 4 | 7 | $5.6$ | $4.2$ | 5 | 41.4 |
| $\text { Col. } 030$ | $5.2$ | $6.6$ | $6.2$ | 4.2 | $6.2$ | 5 | $3.4$ | 4.4 | 41.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 100$ | 5 | $6.4$ | $4.8$ | $5.8$ | 6.2 | 3.6 | 3 | 5.4 | 40.2 |
| $\text { Col. } 095$ | $4.8$ | $6.2$ | 6 | $4.2$ | $7.6$ | 5 | 3 | 3 | 39.8 |
| $\text { Col. } 038$ | 5.8 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 39 |
| Col. 008 | 4.6 | 6 | $4.8$ | 4 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 4 | 3.8 | 38.4 |
| Col. 013 | 4.4 | 6 | 4.2 | 4 | 6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 35.2 |
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#### Abstract

Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) or 'hairy litchi' is an exotic fruit crop with tremendous potential for cultivation in the whole state of Kerala. There exists great variability in rambutan because of the natural cross pollination and seed propagation, which in turn provides great scope for selection.

It is in this context that the hundred variable types of rambutan collected from important rambutan growing tracts of Kerala (Pathanamthitta-40, Kottayam-37, Thrissur-18 and Idukki-5) were evaluated for various morpho-physiochemical traits in addition to sensorial perception. The study was taken up with the prime objectives of recording the existing variability and genetic wealth available in order to select and conserve the elite/promising types and thereby, to serve as a basis for promotion of cultivation of this exotic fruit crop in Kerala. The study confirmed that rambutan fruits varied widely in their morpho-physical and biochemical traits such as tree characters, inflorescence characters, fruit characters and quality parameters, which were recorded as per IPGRI crop descriptor.

Flowering and fruiting were characters more observed to be a function of the location. The study on phenological growth stages of rambutan according to BBCH scale in different locations opens out a new area on the possibility of staggered fruit production of rambutan in Kerala. The fruits are available from May to October (if cultivated in the humid tropical plains as in Thrissur, Kottayam and Pathanamthitta as well as in the humid sub-tropical belts as in the high ranges of Wayanad), thus making the availability of fresh fruits for nearly half period of a calendar year.


With regard to the individual fruit weight, highest fruit weight of 61.5 g was recorded in Col. 052 and the lowest fruit weight of 12 g was observed in Col. 012 and Col.013. The largest proportionate edible part was found in Col. 072 (52\%) and the lowest in Col. $008(15 \%)$. Free seed aril was found in 19.39 per cent of the collections, while the adherence of aril to seed was medium in 16.33 per cent of the
collections. Only 8.16 per cent of the collections produced fruits which could be characterized as very juicy types and 47.99 per cent were grouped as juicy type. About half of the collections ( $48.97 \%$ ) had a TSS above $20^{\circ}$ Brix. The collections having TSS above $25^{\circ}$ Brix (Col. 015 with $26^{\circ}$ Brix and Col. 061 with $27.5^{\circ}$ Brix) were classified under the category 'very sweet'. The titrable acidity and total sugar content ranged from 0.12 to $1.4 \%$ and 13 to $18.4 \%$ respectively.

The loading plot based on tree characters revealed significant positive correlations between rachis length and leaflet width; leaflet width and leaflet length and between tree age and number of leaflets per leaf. Strong positive associations were also observed among fruit characters such as fruit weight, fruit diameter, rind weight, aril weight, aril thickness and spine length.

The principal component analysis performed based on tree characters revealed the major contribution of leaflet length, leaflet width and petiole length towards the genetic divergence in rambutan and about 75 per cent of the total variance in fruit characters was mainly determined by the traits such as fruit weight, aril weight, aril thickness, seed weight, seed width, titrable acidity, TSS and sugar content.

Clustering of all the three categories of rambutan collections namely elite, export and industrial use types using score plot confirmed the superiority of six collections (Col.021, Col.022, Col.023, Col.052, Col. 55 and Col. 061 ) over others, which were selected further for developing a selection criteria in rambutan. In the sensory analysis, Col.061, Col. 021 and Col. 042 were most preferred/accepted by panelists because of their better fruit weight, taste, juiciness and easy detachment of aril from seed.

Two new pests of economic importance (fruit borer, Conogethes punctiferalis and fruit weber, Eublemma anguilifera) have been identified from the rambutan growing tracts of Kerala from fruit set to fruit ripening stage. Their nature of damage
and symptoms of infestation have been clearly studied and being reported for the first time in rambutan from India.

The study revealed that rambutan selections from Kerala are not only comparable with the best known cultivars in the world, but even excell them. There is an urgent need to standardize the management practices including the varietal wealth in rambutan by using these genetic resources as this crop has already become one of the most treasured fruit particularly as a courtyard crop in Kerala.
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