## EVALUATION OF COWPEA + FODDER MAIZE INTERCROPPING UNDER VARYING FERTILIZER LEVELS IN SUMMER RICE FALLOWS

A study was undertaken to asses the feasibility and efficiency of introduction of fodder maize with cowpea in the popular rice- rice-cowpea cropping system. The experiment was conducted in the summer rice fallows of the Instructional Farm attached to the College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala (India) during 1988-'89 with the objective of selecting the best crop arrangement for a cowpea + fodder maize intercropping system under different fertility levels. The cowpea variety used was C-152 while the fodder maize was CO-H-2. The different crop arrangements tried were pure crop of cowpea at 25 x 15 cm spacing  $(S_1)$ , pure crop of fodder maize at  $30 \times 15 \text{ cm spacing } (S_2)$ , cowpea and maize in alternate rows with cowpea at 30 x 15 cm spacing (S<sub>3</sub>), paired rows of cowpea at 45/15 x 15 cm spacing with one row of maize in between (S<sub>4</sub>) and triple rows of cowpea at 30/15 x 15 cm with one row of maize in between  $(S_5)$ . The fertilizer levels tried were 100 per cent  $(F_1)$ , 75 per cent  $(F_2)$ and 50 per cent (F<sub>3</sub>) of the recommended doses of nutrients (20:30:10 kg N, P and K per hectare for cowpea and 120:60:40 kg N, P and K per hectare for fodder maize) based on the crop arrangement and area occupied by each crop. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four replications. Yields, nutrient uptakes and biological efficiency indices like land equivalentratio (LER) and land equivalent coefficient (LEC) and the economic efficiency index, income equivalent ratio (1ER) were worked out to evaluate the systems.

Results revealed that intercropping; id not decrease the grain yield of cowpea (Table 1). The yields from different treatnents were on par with the sole crop yield. The fodder yield of maize in the alternate •ow arrangement (30.71 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was on par with the sole crop yield (32.99 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Hundred per cent dose of fertilizers produced significantly higher fodder yield than the other fertilizer levels tried. Intercropping resulted in higher uptake of nutrients as compared to sole cropping. Similar findings were obtained by Dalai (1974) and Chui (1988). The alternate row arrangement recorded the maximum uptake of N, P and K. This arrangement might have resulted in better exploitation of resources and hence recorded the maximum uptake values.

The individual uptake of nutrients by component crops showed a declining trend with decreasing level of fertilizers. The full dose of fertilizers resulted in the maximum total uptake of nutrients followed by 75 per cent dose which in turn was followed by 50 per cent dose. Willey (1979) concluded that the most generally useful single index for expressing the yield advantage is LER and it represents the increased biological efficiency achieved by growing two crops together in the particular environment used. In the present investigation, the highest value for LER (Table 2) was recorded by the alternate row arrangement (S<sub>3</sub>). The LER value for this arrangement was 1.9 meaning 90 per cent more land would be required as sole crops to produce the same yields as in intercropping. It was 90 per cent more efficient than the respective sole crops. Even though triple row arrangement recorded the lowest value, it was 50 per cent more efficient than its corresponding pure crops. With the three fertilizer levels, 100 per cent dose was on par with 75 per cent dose.

Land equivalent coefficient has been found to be very effective in deciding the mixture yields as well as the inter-crop proportion that gives agronomic advantage (Adetiloye *et al.*, 1983). The LEC value (Table 2) for alternate row arrangement was 0.94. When LEC for a two crop mixture is greater than 0.25, but less than

RESEARCH NOTE

unity the neighbourhood effects involve competitive complementarity. In the present study all the intercropping arrangements fall in this category which indicates that they are in a situation involving competitive complementarity. The higher two levels of fertilizers were on par.

Table 1. Grain yield of cowpea and fodder yield of maize and nutrient uptake under different intercropping arrangements and fertility levels

Treatments	Grain yield of cowpea	Fodder yied of maize	τ	Uptake (kg ha	1)
	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	N	P	K
s <sub>1</sub>	1373	-	67.76	14.73	56.91
S <sub>2</sub>	-	32.99	76.85	13.49	56.99
S3	1301	30.71	147.51	28.19	79.34
$S_4$	1255	22.14	132.42	21.91	63.60
%	1244	19.04	121.45	23.20	79.12
CD(0.05)	NS	9.27	23.52	5.96	11.26
Fertilizer levels (F)					
F <sub>1</sub>	1325	29.59	156.29	26.49	96.69
$F_2$	1284	24.94	134.29	23.81	78.73
F <sub>3</sub>	1271	24.14	120.39	25.85	76.55
CD(0.05)	NS	4.17	23.39	NS	8.60
S x F interactions					
$S_1F_1$	1414	-	73.94	15.53	54.33
$S_1F_2$	1331	- 1	71.18	13.20	54.66
$S_1F_3$	1374	- 1	58.16	15.45	61.75
$S_2F_1$	-	34.14	72.45	10.12	57.53
$S_2F_2$	-	31.18	81.09	12.72	54.41
S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	-	33.66	77.01	17.66	59.05
$S_3F_1$	1336	37.80	181.88	31.90	90.80
S <sub>3</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	1334	29.69	136.61	27.22	87.59
$S_3F_3$	1234	24.66	124.01	25.48	59.60
$S_4F_1$	1269	23.62	134.12	23.67	66.48
$S_4F_2$	1234	21.38	140.53	18.37	66.19
S <sub>4</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	1263	21.42	128.62	23.79	58.12
$S_5F_1$	1283	22.42	162.77	24.76	117.60
$S_5F_2$	1236	17.50	107.78	23.81	52.08
$S_5F_3$	1214	16.81	93.78	21.00	67.67
CD(0.05)	NS	8.35	56.78	7.52	19.24

Table 2. LER, LEC and IER of cowpea + fodder maize inter-cropping

Treatments	LER	LEC	IER
Crop arrange	ement (S)		
$S_3$	1.90	0.94	1.87
$S_4$	1.61	0.64	1.67
$S_5$	1.51	0.54	1.47
CD(0.05)	0.20	0.09	0.18
Fertilizer lev	els (F)		
F <sub>1</sub>	1.75	0.85	1.72
$F_2$	1.72	0.71	1.72
F3	1.55	0.57	1.51
CD(0.05)	0.14	0.15	0.16
SxF			
$F_3F_1$	2.08	1.30	2.06
5 <sub>3</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	1.97	0.86	1.94
5 <sub>3</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	1.65	0.66	1.60
$54F_1$	1.58	0.62	1.60
$54F_2$	1.67	0.71	1.68
6 <sub>4</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	1.58	0.59	1.56
$55F_1$	1.58	0.61	1.50
$55F_2$	1.52	0.56	1.53
55f3	1.42	0.46	1.38
CD(0.05)	0.25	0.26	0.28

The analysis of various biological and economic efficiency indices clearly reveals the vast potential of introducing a

crop like fodder maize along with cowpea in the rice-rice-cowpea cropping system. Yield of cowpea was not decreased from that of sole cropping by the inclusion of fodder maize as an inter-crop. The efficiency indices showed that the best arrangement was alternate rows of cowpea and fodder maize. Seventy five per cent of the recommended dose of fertilizers was as good as 100 per cent dose. So the alternate row arrangement of cowpea and fodder maize with cowpea at 30 x 15 cm spacing supplied with 75 per cent dose of fertilizers recommended for the crops is ideal for getting increased returns from summer rice fallows.

College of Agriculture S. Sunitha Vellayani 695 522, Lekha **Sreekantan** Triyandrum

## REFERENCES

- Adetiloye, P.O., Ezedinma, F.O.C. and Okigbo, B.N. 1983. A land equivalent coefficient concept for the evaluation of competitive and productive interaction in simple to complex crop mixtures. *Ecol. Modelling* 19: 27-39
- Chui, J.N. Effectof maize in tercropping and nitrogen rates on the performance and nutrient uptake of an associated bean crop. E. Afr. agric. for. J. 53:93
- Dalai, R.C. 1974. Effect of intercropping maize with pigeon peas on grain yield and nutrient uptake. *Exp. agric.* 10: 219-224
- Willey, R.VV. 1979. Intercropping its importance and its research needs. I. Competition and yield advantages. Field Crop Abstr. 32:1-10