

## FORECASTING OF SUGARCANE YIELD

Reliable preharvest forecasts of crop production are of immense use as a decision making basis for planners, policy makers and agriculturists alike. Weather variables, agricultural inputs, biometric characters or their combinations serve as independent variables in such prediction models. Some earlier workers like Jha *et al.* (1981) and Chandras *et al.* (1983) included biometrical variables in linear regression models. The present study is an attempt to develop linear prediction models for sugarcane with relatively lesser number of variables through the technique of principle component analysis.

The study was confined to two popular varieties of sugarcane namely Co 997 and Co 62175. The experiment was laid out at the Sugarcane Research Station, Thiruvalla, Kerala. Fifty plots of equal size (0.5 m x 0.5 m) were located in the field. In each plot three plants were randomly demarcated for recording biometrical observations. Observations were recorded at the fifth month after planting and thereafter at an interval of one month till harvest. Data on yield (Y kg), number of canes ( $X_5$ ), number of tillers ( $X_6$ ) and number of leaves ( $X_7$ ) were recorded on a whole plot basis. In the first two months of study, number of canes and number of tillers could not be easily distinguishable and hence were considered as a single unit. The other characters included were height of cane ( $X_1$  cm), girth of cane ( $X_2$  cm), width of 3rd leaf ( $X_3$  cm) and length of third leaf ( $X_4$  cm).

The third leaf was considered to be the most stable leaf among the set of leaves of the sugarcane plant and so it

was customary to choose it as the index leaf for recording biometrical observations. Observations on plant characters are the mean values of the three tagged plants.

Zero order correlation coefficients of the various characters among themselves and also with plot yield were calculated for each month separately. As there had been relatively higher degree of inter-relationship among the different variables, the method of principal component analysis was attempted on the intercorrelation matrix to avoid multicollinearity and to reduce dimensionality. The biometric variables so obtained were used as the independent variables in stepwise regression analysis with plot yield as the dependent variable.

The sets of correlation coefficients of the relevant biometric characters with the yield of the two varieties of sugarcane are presented in Table 1.

The cane yield is positively related to most of the above biometric characters, the only exception being that with the length of the third leaf at specific periods. As the variables were strongly inter-correlated principal component analysis was applied on the inter-correlation matrix and the component vectors ( $Z_i$ ) were identified.

The per cent variability ( $P_j$ ) explained by each component was also determined. The first four principal components that explained more than seventy per cent variation in plot yield were used as regressors in the respective prediction equations. The best subset of generated variables that contributed significantly towards the total variations in crop yield was identified for crop

Table 1. Correlation coefficients of plot yield with biometric characters

Characters	Co 997			Co 62175		
	5th month	6th month	7th month	5th month	6th month	7th month
Height of cane (X <sub>1</sub> )	0.462**	0.521**	0.518	0.751"	0.659"	0.753"
Girth of cane (X <sub>2</sub> )	0.428"	0.567"	0.510"	0.406	0.512"	0.514"
Width of 3rd leaf (X <sub>3</sub> )	0.434**	0.286	0.077	0.641"	0.577"	0.322"
Length of 3rd leaf (X <sub>4</sub> )	-0.199	0.031	0.101	0.570"	0.217	0.226
No. of canes (X <sub>5</sub> )	0.685"	0.819"	0.826"	0.430"	0.619"	0.585**
No. of tillers (X <sub>6</sub> )	-	-	0.495"	-	-	0.539"
No. of leaves (X <sub>7</sub> )	0.719"	0.893"	0.890"	0.776"	0.816"	0.644**

\*\* significant at 1% level

Table 2. Principal components used for forecasting (Co 997)

Characters	5th month		6th month		7th month	
	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>3</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>3</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	0.453	0.284	0.303	0.214	0.416	-0.189
X <sub>2</sub>	0.398	0.431	0.481	0.199	0.387	0.332
X <sub>3</sub>	0.438	0.433	0.439	0.260	0.047	-0.434
X <sub>4</sub>	-0.185	0.190	-0.008	-0.823	0.095	0.564
X <sub>5</sub>	0.439	-0.531	0.449	-0.324	0.488	-0.280
X <sub>6</sub>	-	-	-	-	0.384	0.463
X <sub>7</sub>	-0.471	-0.471	0.532	-0.255	0.530	-0.234
P <sub>i</sub>	40.6	26.9	44.4	14.9	38.5	10.9

Table 3. Principal components used for forecasting (Co 62175)

Characters	5th month		6th month		7th month	
	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>4</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>1</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	0.478	-0.125	0.520	0.085	0.484	-0.175
X <sub>2</sub>	0.327	-0.271	0.469	0.184	0.489	-0.050
X <sub>3</sub>	0.452	0.708	0.449	0.309	0.476	0.231
X <sub>4</sub>	0.438	-0.177	0.232	0.486	0.387	0.285
X <sub>5</sub>	0.302	0.369	0.288	-0.622	0.048	-0.634
X <sub>6</sub>	-	-	-	-	0.379	-0.118
X <sub>7</sub>	0.419	-0.492	0.416	-0.491	0.055	-0.645
P <sub>i</sub>	54.4	6.8	46.9	29.7	39.1	30.5

Table 4. Prediction equations at different months after planting

Variety	Months ft.	Equations	Coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> )
Co 997	5	Y = 9.664 + 1.654* Z <sub>1</sub> -0.455*	Z <sub>2</sub> 65
	6	Y = 9.639 + 1.714* Z <sub>1</sub> -0.797*	Z <sub>3</sub> 81
	7	Y = 9.681 + 1.807* Z <sub>1</sub> -0.475*	Z <sub>3</sub> 84
Co 62175	5	Y = 7.081 + 0.972* Z <sub>1</sub> -0.773*	Z <sub>4</sub> 61
	6	Y = 7.073 + 1.039* Z <sub>1</sub> -0.567*	Z <sub>2</sub> 66
	7	Y = 7.115 + 0.871 Z <sub>1</sub> -0.794*	Z <sub>2</sub> 62

(\* Significant at 5% level)

forecasting through step-wise regression analysis. It was found that just two significant factors had to be retained in each of the prediction models. The

eigen vectors (Z<sub>i</sub>) used as regressors in prediction models and the per cent contribution of each of these vectors are given in Tables 2 and 3.

The principal components are linear functions of original variables and are given by

$$Z_j = \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ij} X_i$$

Where  $a_{ij}$  denote the entries in the eigen vector of the  $j$ th component (which are given in Tables 2 and 3) and  $X_j$  the standarised values of the biometrical variables.

The percentage variability explained by the first principal component ranged from 38.5 to 54.4. Thus it could be inferred that the first principal component accounted for at least one third of the total variability and could be used as an index of growth during the entire period.

The prediction equations for the forecast of yield at 5, 6 or 7 months after planting are given in Table 4.

College of Agriculture  
Vellayani 695 522, Thiruvananthapuram

Alphi Korath  
P.V. Prabhakaran

## REFERENCES

- Chandrabas, S.R., Bapat, K. and Singh, B.H. 1983. On preharvest forecasting of yield of sugarcane. *Agric. Situation in India* 38: 291-292
- Jha, M.P., Jain, R.C. and Singh, D. 1981. Preharvest forecasting of sugarcane yield. *Indian J. agric. Sci.* 51: 757-761