

## EFFECT OF FOOD, GROWTH AND BODY LIPID CONTENTS ON THE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF *TRIBOLIUM CASTANEUM* HERBST TO TOXICITY OF INSECTICIDES

A. VISALAKSHY and M. R. G. K. NAIR

*College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala*

That susceptibility of insects to insecticide toxicity is substantially influenced by variations in their food has been reported by various workers such as Rattan Lal and Nayak (1963). Devaraj Urs and Mukerjee (1966). Rattan Lal and Singh (1966), Devaraj Urs and Mukerjee (1967), Rattan Lal and Attri (1967), Teotia and Gupta (1970), Murthy and Sreevastava (1971) and Bhaskaran and Mukerjee (1971). The tolerance of insects to toxicity of insecticides associated with food of the insects can be expected to be imparted by the vigour of the insects (vigour tolerance). The present paper gives results of studies conducted on the effect of various natural foods on the growth, size and lipid contents of *Tribolium castaneum* on the one hand and their susceptibility to endrin and parathion on the other.

### Materials and Methods

Wheat, wheat + 5% yeast, coconut oil cake, groundnut oil cake and gingelly oil cake were the different foods used. These were used in the form of finely ground powders. Growth of *T. castaneum* in the different foods was assessed in terms of growth index (Srivastava, 1959) for which individual rearings were made on the different foods. For the toxicity tests, bulk rearings of the insect were done on the different foods utilising the eggs collected from the same culture. Toxicity of endrin and parathion to adults of *T. castaneum* reared on different foods was assessed in terms of ld-p relations. The insecticides were applied as emulsions under Potter's tower for the ld-p evaluations. The emulsions were prepared from technical material using benzene as solvent and triton X-100 as emulsifier; concentrations of these in the emulsions were maintained at 5 and 0.625 per cent respectively.

The lipid contents of the adults reared on the different food media were estimated following Otr (1964).

### Results and Discussion

Table 1 gives the dosage- mortality regressions between the two insecticides and adults of *T. castaneum* and the calculated LD 50 values. It may be seen that based on LD 50 values the insects reared on gingelly oil cake were the most highly susceptible to parathion, followed by those reared on ground nut oil cake, coconut oil cake, wheat + 5% yeast and wheat. In the case of endrin, the insects reared on

Table 1

Toxicity of Endrin and Parathion to adults of *Tribolium castaneum* herbst reared in different food media

Insecticide	Food	Heterogeneity	Regression equation	L. D. 50 Values	Fiducial limit
Parathion	Wheat	$X^2 = 2.7581$ (2)	$Y = 3.855X + 0.187389$	0.0018	0.001533 0.002047
	Wheat + 5% yeast	$X^2 = 4.35979$ (2)	$Y = 7.5283X - 4.27263$	0.00170	0.001588 0.001830
	Coconut oil cake	$X^2 = 0.061999$ (2)	$Y = 3.4074X + 1.0060$	0.00149	0.001176 0.001879
	Groundnut oil cake	$X^2 = 1.24956$ (2)	$Y = 5.28435X - 1.17755$	0.00148	0.001352 0.001611
	Gingelly oil cake	$X^2 = 0.014154$ (2)	$Y = 9.7198X - 2.5736$	0.00134	0.001207 0.001488
Endrin	Wheat	$X^2 = 1.88462$ (3)	$Y = 2.3532X + 0.7269$	0.0655	0.05398 0.07936
	Wheat + 5% yeast	$X^2 = 8.124278^*$ (3)	$Y = 1.33693X + 2.62792$	0.0594	0.08279 1.621
	Coconut oil cake	$X^2 = 0.659$ (3)	$Y = 1.0484X + 3.0298$	0.0757	0.05208 0.91011
	Groundnut oil cake	$X^2 = 3.89939$ (3)	$Y = 1.2311X + 1.2367$	0.0700	0.06319 0.07766
	Gingelly oil cake	$X^2 = 9.89162$ (3)	$Y = 1.7950X + 1.9870$	0.0645	0.02115 0.01790

\* Significant at 5% level. In the rest of the cases data were found to be homogenous at  $P = 0.05$   
 $X = \text{Log dose} \times 10^4$        $Y = \text{Probit kill}$ .

Table 2

**Susceptibility of *T. castaneum* adults to toxicity of endrin and parathion in relation to food, growth, weight and lipid contents**

Insecticides	Food	L. D. 50 Value	Growth Index	Weight of 100 insects	Fat contents (%)
Parathion	Gingelly oil cake	0.00134	1.39	165 mg	14.75
	Groundnut oil cake	0.00148	2.30	195 „	14.16
	Coconut oil cake	0.00149	1.19	150 „	
	Wheat + 5% Yeast	0.0017	2.69	198 „	19.00
	Wheat	0.0018	1.50	185 „	24.12
Endrin	Wheat + 5% Yeast	0.0594	2.69	198 „	19.00
	Gingelly oil cake	0.0645	1.39	165 „	14.75
	Wheat	0.0655	1.50	185 „	24.12
	Groundnut oil cake	0.0700	2.30	195 „	14.16
	Cocount oil cake	0.0757	1.19	150 „	

wheat-fyeast were the most highly susceptible followed by those reared on gingelly oil cake, wheat, groundnut oil cake and cocount oil cake. It is seen thus, that there is no uniformity in the order of relative susceptibility of the insects reared on different food materials to toxicity of the two insecticides.

Table 2 gives the data on growth index, weight of adults and fat contents of *T. castaneum* reared on the different food media in relation to the LD 50 values of parathion and endrin. It is observed that the growth index of the insect varied considerably when reared on the different food materials. Thus wheat + 5% yeast gave the highest growth index (2.60) closely followed by groundnut oil cake (2.30). Wheat and gingelly oil cake gave much lower growth indices (1.5 & 1.39 respectively) and coconut oil cake gave the least growth index (1.19). The weights of insects reared on the different foods also showed similar relationship as those of growth indices. As regards lipid contents, however, insects reared on wheat had the highest fat contents of 24.12 percent followed by those reared on wheat-fyeast (19 per cent), gingelly oil cake (14.75 per cent) and groundnut oil cake (14.16 per cent). In the case of both parathion and endrin there was no correlation between the LD 50 values on the one hand and growth indices and weights of insects on the other. In the case of parathion there is a general correlation between LD 50 and fat

contents of the insects reared on different food materials; thus the insects with fat contents of 24.12 per cent were more resistant to parathion than the other insects with lower fat contents. This observation is in agreement with those of Mer and Furmansha (1953), Mc Govern (1949), Fisk (1958) and Bridges and Cox (1959). Such a correlation was, however, lacking in the case of endrin.

In general, it appears that the vigour factors such as high growth index and increased weight of insects contributed by the food materials do not have any influence on the susceptibility of *T. castaneum* adults to parathion and endrin. It is probable that the factors contributing to vigour tolerance of this insect to toxicity of insecticides may be other than a vigorous growth and a heavy built.

**Summary**

The growth index and weight of *Tribolium castaneum* were the highest when reared on wheat + 5% yeast followed in the descending order by those of insects reared on groundnut oil cake, gingelly oil cake, wheat and coconut oil cake. There was no correlation between the LD 50 values of parathion and endrin on the adults *T. castaneum* on the one hand and the growth index and weight of the insects on the other. There was positive correlation between the LD 50 values of parathion and the body lipid contents of *T. castaneum* while there was no such correlations in the case of endrin.

**സംഗ്രഹം**

ഭക്ഷണോപാഹാര കാര്യങ്ങളിലും എന്ന കലവറകീടത്തെ വിവിധ തരത്തിലുള്ള ഭക്ഷ്യ പദാർത്ഥങ്ങൾ കൊടുത്ത് വളർത്തിയതിൽ ഗോതമ്പുമാവ് 5 ശതമാനം ഇഴുപ്പും ചേർത്തുള്ള ഭക്ഷണം കീടങ്ങൾക്ക് ഏറ്റവും നല്ല വളർച്ചകൊടുത്തതായി കാണപ്പെട്ടു. ഇതിൽനിന്നും പടി പടിയായി കുറഞ്ഞവളർച്ചകൊടുത്ത ഭക്ഷ്യപദാർത്ഥങ്ങൾ നിലക്കടലപ്പിണ്ണാക്ക്, എള്ളുപിണ്ണാക്ക്, ഗോതമ്പുമാവ്, അങ്ങാപ്പിണ്ണാക്ക് എന്നിവയാണ്. പരാത്തയോൺ, എൻഡ്രിൻ എന്ന കീടനാശിനികളുടെ ഈ കീടത്തിന്മേലുള്ള LD 50 യും വിവിധ ഭക്ഷണങ്ങൾ കൊണ്ട് കീടത്തിനുള്ള വളർച്ചയുമായി സഹസംബന്ധം ഇല്ല എന്നതെളിയിച്ചു. പരാത്തയോൺന്റെ LD 50 യും കീടഭേദത്തിലെ കൊഴുപ്പിന്റെതോളം തമ്മിൽ ധനാത്മക സഹസംബന്ധം ഉള്ളതായി കാണുന്നു. എന്നാൽ എൻഡ്രിന്റെ കാര്യത്തിൽ ഇങ്ങനെ ഒരു സഹസംബന്ധം കാണപ്പെട്ടില്ല.

**REFERENCES**

Baskaran, P. and Mookherjee, P. B. 1971. Effect of food on the susceptibility of *Cadra cautella*, Walker and *Trogoderma granarium* Everts to phosphine. *Indian J Ent.* 33, 23-29

Bridges, R. O. and Cox, J. T., 1959. Resistance of house flies to benzene hexachloride and dieldrin. *Nature* 184, 1740-1741.

Dcvaraj Urs, K. C. and Mookherjee, P. B. 1966. Effect of oil seed food on the biology of *T. castaneum* Herbst. *Trogoderma granarium* Everts and *Coryca cephalonica* Staint and their susceptibility to Pyrethrins. *Indian J. Ent.* 28, 234-240,

- Devaraj Urs, K. C. and Mookherjee, P. B. 1967. Effect of oil seed food on the susceptibility of three species of storage insects to methyl bromide. *Indian J. Ent.* 29, 297-298.
- Fisk, F. W. 1958, Toxicity of BHC in milk to house flies as related to butter fat content of the milk. *J. Econ. Ent.* 51, 560-561.
- Mc Govern, J. F. 1949. Effect of milk on the toxicity of chlorinated insecticides to mosquito-larvae. *2nd Quarterly Report*, U. S. D. A. Orlando Laboratory
- Mer, G. F. and Furmansha, W. 1953. The effect of fat content in the fly food on the resistance to DDT. *Riv. Parassit.* 14, 49-54
- Murthy, K. S. R. K. and Srivastava, B. P. 1971. Effect of food on the susceptibility of pulse beetle *Callosobruehus maculatus* Fab. (Bruchidae : Coleoptera) to different fumigants. *Indian J. Ent.*, 33, 148-151
- Orr, C. W. M. 1964. The influence of nutritional and hormonal factors on the chemistry of the fat body, blood and ovaries of the blow fly *Phormia regina* Meign., *J. Insect Physiol.*, 10, 103-109.
- Rattan Lal and Attri, B. S. 1967. Effect of food on the susceptibility of *Trogoderma granarium* Everts to insecticides. *Indian J. Ent.*, 29, 329-338
- Rattan Lal and Nayak 1963. Effect of food on the susceptibility of the larvae of *Prodenia litura* to endrin, pp' DDT and malathion. *Indian. J. Ent.* 25, 299-306
- Rattan Lal and Singh, Y. P. 1966. Effect of food on the susceptibility of *T. castaneum* Herbst to contact insecticides. *Indian. J. Ent.*, 28, 88-93.
- Srivastava, B. K., 1959. Growth potential of *Laphygma exigua* Hb in relation to certain winter food plants. *Madras. agric. J.*, 46, 255-259.
- Teotra, T. P. S and Gupta, G. P. 1970. Effect of host plants on the susceptibility of larvae of *Athalia proxima* Klug to insecticides. *India. J. Ent.* 32, 140-144.

(M. S. received: 24-3-1975)